

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Monday, November 30, 1981

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Liberal UNC

An informal 'DTH' survey shows that people feel Carolina is a liberal school. See story on page 3.

Blue Monday

Mostly cloudy today with the high in the upper 40s. Low tonight in the low 40s, with a 40 percent chance of rain.

Volume 88, Issue 177

News/Sports/Arts 903-2245
Business/Advertising 903-1183

Inflation a reason for possible athletic fee increase

By MARK SCHOEN
DTH Staff Writer

Editor's note: This is the first of a four-part series examining fees that University students are required to pay each semester. Part one deals with the Student Athletic Fee; subsequent articles will look at the Intramural Recreational Fee, the Student Health Services Fee and the Student Activities Fee.

Officials in the UNC-Chapel Hill Department of Athletics have said they would decide this week whether to go through with a request to the University's Board of Trustees to increase Student Athletic Fees by \$10 in time for the 1982-1983 academic year.

If the request is made by the department and granted by the board during its Dec. 11 meeting, the additional revenue will go toward maintaining the athletic program at current levels, said Director of Athletics John D. Swofford last week.

Inflation and the rapid development of intercollegiate women's sports at UNC has spurred exploration of a possible increase, Swofford said.

"Inflation has had a tremendous impact on intercollegiate programs, just as it has on household budgets and businesses of all kinds," he said. "Intercollegiate athletics are not immune to inflation

because you're talking about such things as travel. The costs have gone up drastically for equipment — you can go right on down the line."

Opposition to a possible increase has come from Student Body President Scott Norberg, who says the increase would put an additional burden on an already strained student aid fund.

"If a student wants to come here and can't afford it, you have to have financial aid," he said last week. "The real point is the higher the fees are the higher the cost financial aid has to cover."

Because funding from the federal government is not expected to be as high as it once was, an increase at this point would be hard to support, Norberg said.

"It's these kinds of changing conditions that we have to consider," he said. "At the level of \$10, I don't see how I could support it. I have a lot of problems with it."

Consideration of the \$10 increase per semester is the second phase of a two-part athletic fee increase. The Board of Trustees approved a \$15 increase in time for the 1981-1982 academic year, pushing the per semester cost to \$50. It was the first Student Athletic Fee increase in five years.

The board generally considers requests on a year-to-year basis, thus making the two-phase program necessary.

As a result of the increase, students now provide

about \$1.1 million — 16 percent — of the athletic department's \$6.7 million annual budget.

The UNC athletic department has two budgets — one for operations and the other for scholarships. Student fees are used exclusively for operation expenses, Swofford said.

"The grant-in-aid program is paid from private contributions to the Educational Foundation," he said. "Student fee money doesn't go to scholarships at all."

Thus, any student fee increase would go toward maintaining UNC's 26-sport intercollegiate athletic program — one of the largest of its kind in the country, Swofford said. Currently, the University's athletic fee is "average to slightly below average" compared to other Atlantic Coast Conference institutions and schools with similar athletic programs, he said.

"We want to keep the fee as low as we can," Swofford said. "We're not at all interested in making money *per se* off of the student athletic fee. I think that's inappropriate."

"I would like for the fee to remain relatively low in comparison to other institutions," he added. "I think that's positive."

The athletic fee allows students free admission to all events of non-revenue sports — sports that cannot support themselves financially — at UNC, plus the right to get free tickets to football and

men's basketball games. The fee also allows students to play at Finley Golf Course for reduced rates.

The size of the University's program, and its status as a self-supporting unit, makes it difficult to finance.

"We like to think it's one of the better athletic programs, not only in terms of winning and losing games but in terms of operating within the appropriate university framework and hopefully being somewhat positive that the University can point to and be proud of," Swofford said.

"It costs a lot of money to have the type of program that we have," he said. "We're required to be financially self-sustaining."

The growth of non-revenue sports has put an added burden on the athletic department, he said. For example, in 1974 the department spent about \$24,000 on women's sports. Now, the cost of those sports is approaching \$1 million, Swofford said.

"We've increased our program tremendously as far as the number of sports and yet those sports are unable to generate any revenue to pay for themselves," he said. "I think it's the right and appropriate thing to do at this University, but it has put quite a financial strain on our athletic program."

"The fee increase that may be requested would go toward maintaining the program we now have — maintaining the quality that we have as well as

the quantity, the number of sports that we have," he added.

Norberg said he supported the recent addition of non-revenue sports — especially women's sports.

"I think they're very, very important," he said. "That's one of the most positive directions the program has taken."

He objected, however, to what he saw as a readiness to turn to students whenever additional revenue was needed.

"The athletic program is so good as it is and student fees are such a small part that an additional \$100,000 a year wouldn't mean much," he said. "There are a number of students who don't have the time to stand in line to get basketball or football tickets. I see very strong resistance to paying any increase."

The Student Athletic Fee is not the only source of revenue for the athletic department. Profits from football and men's basketball — the only self-sustaining sports in the program — plus gate receipts, radio and television contracts, concession and program sales and guarantees for playing at other schools are some of the other sources of revenue.

See FEES on page 2

First season win

UNC's starting five essential in win over Kansas, 74-67, in Charlotte

By KIM ADAMS
DTH Staff Writer

Sometimes quality is a lot more important than quantity, but North Carolina's starting five had a lot of both in Saturday's 74-67 basketball win over Kansas at the Charlotte Coliseum.

James Worthy, Sam Perkins, Matt Doherty, Jimmy Black and freshman Michael Jordan logged 88 percent of UNC's playing time and scored all but two of the team's points.

Worthy had 23 of those points and added nine rebounds. He was UNC's high scorer, but he said his teammates were not looking to get the ball specifically to him.

"It wasn't a case of going to me when we needed a basket," he said. "We were just looking for the man in middle. We like to get the ball inside, but we also knew our outside shots would loosen up their zone — and they did."

"I'm very happy with our first game, UNC coach Dean Smith said. "We played well offensively. Kansas was willing to give us the 15-footer, but our players showed a lot of patience in attacking the zone. I liked our zone attack."

Jimmy Black had his share of those outside shots. One of them capped a rally that put the Heels ahead to stay, at 50-47, with just under 15 minutes left in the game.

After a 37-37 halftime score, the Jayhawks had come out to take a 47-43 lead before Perkins, who had 16 points and nine rebounds, got a basket on a goal-tending foul to begin the Tar Heels rally.

Matt Doherty then made a three-point play and Kansas coach Ted Owens had to send in his first sub, Mark Summers, when Kelly Knight was called for his fourth foul. Knight later fouled out with 13:15 to go.

Summers promptly turned the ball over and Black shot his 15-footer. Black, who ran UNC's offense, had nine points and a game-high seven assists. In a well-rounded performance, Doherty added 12 points, six rebounds, four assists and made no turnovers.

Coach Dean Smith said that it was obvious the Tar Heels needed Doherty in the game. He also praised his other starters. "Perkins was outstanding for us," he said. "Worthy did a good job on the boards and on the break. Black was superb running the attack and Michael Jordan played relaxed for his first game."

All of the Carolina players said they were pleased with Jordan's first college game. Jordan said that he was nervous at first but soon got over the jitters, especially after a fast-break pass from Worthy gave him an easy lay-up late in the first half.

"That pass from James Worthy inspired me," Jordan said. "I don't think Kansas respected my shooting ability. They thought I couldn't shoot."

Since Carolina's starters played so much, Smith didn't have a lot of chances to test UNC's depth, although Carolina subs got more playing time than the Kansas reserves. When Chris Brust, Jeb Barlow and Jim Braddock did come in, the regulars said they had confidence in them.

"I know the guys coming in can do the job," Doherty said. "I'm not worried about coming out of the game when I get tired."

"The subs didn't get much of a chance to prove themselves, but everyone will get a chance to play," Perkins said. "I feel confident in anyone that comes in, but I just didn't feel too tired today."

The Tar Heels needed all the effort they could get. Kansas came out inspired to upset the nation's No. 1 ranked team, and the Jayhawks gave UNC a taste of what this season will be like for the team that is everyone's favorite enemy. Dave Magley shot 12 of 17 from all over the court for a career-high 24 points and Tony Guy added 18 for the Jayhawks.

Kansas' Owens said that he was pleased with his team's effort, especially since he has a lot of young players. "It seemed to me the small edge Carolina had was at the free throw line," he said. "This was a game we can grow on, it's way early to judge who's number one." North Carolina



UNC's Matt Doherty goes up for the ball ... against Kansas' Brian Martin (45) Saturday

is going to be a great club."

UNC did have the big free-throw advantage, shooting 72.7 percent on 16-of-22. Kansas made only half, on 7-of-14. The Tar Heels shot 56.8 percent from the floor on 29 of 51 and out-rebounded the Jayhawks 31 to 22.

Kansas led for a good part of the first half and cut UNC's lead to four, with 3:31

left in the game, before a James Worthy dunk put the Heels in control for good. Worthy said that although all teams would be out to get the Heels, he thought his team could control the tension mentally.

"There's no doubt that teams will be ready for us because of our ranking and tradition," he said. "Even so, if I had a choice, I'd still want to ranked No. 1."



Jim Wallace

to get our attorney and his colleagues to scour the statutes of other states and paste up an ordinance relating to the conversion of apartments to condominiums for the town of Chapel Hill.

"A statewide enabling law will help us," he said. "We will not be able to forestall this action in courts unless we have a law."

"The current suit requiring restraining will proceed."

The Town Council voted in October to take legal action against a local realtor for failing to secure a modification of a special-use permit that would have let him convert Brookside and University Garden apartments into condominiums.

Council advises drafting of ordinance to regulate condominium conversion

By MICHELLE CHRISTENBURY
DTH Staff Writer

The Chapel Hill Town Council advised Deputy Town Attorney Grainger Barrett to draft a local ordinance regulating condominium conversion at a council work session last week.

Currently, there is no state law specifically concerning condominium conversion regulation.

Because Barrett said that a local ordinance would probably be challenged by the courts, the ordinance would be devised with possible judicial challenge in mind.

See related story on page 2

Mayor Joe Nassif proposed that an ordinance be designed to establish alterations to the state building codes which would bring certain standards to condominium conversions.

Nassif explained that the state building codes currently have more stringent requirements for townhouses than for condominiums or apartments. For example, townhouses have different fire ratings than condominiums and apartments, and townhouses have more privacy.

But because both condominiums and townhouses are independently owned, Nassif said condominiums should meet the same building code standards as townhouses.

Nassif said the expense of bringing particular housing units up to the required housing standards might have the effect of controlling condominium conversions.

Nassif said other alternatives included making the proposal to the State Building Code Council or sending a specific bill to the state legislature.

However, Barrett said there would be no assurances that any approach would be upheld by the state courts.

"Amendments to the state building codes may be difficult to get because of a lack of precedent (concerning condominium conversions) in other places in North Carolina," he said.

"But, there may be a possibility that we could go to the State Building Code Council and get approval."

Council Member Jim Wallace proposed that a local ordinance be designed with the idea that it eventually would be examined on the administrative, judicial and legislative levels of state government.

"The courts have been extremely reluctant to favor such proposals (concerning condominium conversion regulation)," Wallace said.

"On the other hand, the tone of the court has not at all been reflected in the Building Code Council or in the General Assembly," he said. "The best approach is

Allen on leave pending inquiry

The Associated Press

WASHINGTON — National Security Adviser Richard V. Allen announced Sunday he has taken administrative leave from his White House post pending completion of a Justice Department inquiry into his receipt of \$1,000 in cash from Japanese journalists who interviewed Nancy Reagan.

Allen, who has withstood more than two weeks of scrutiny about the case, said he asked President Ronald Reagan for the leave Saturday because "the interest in this case had developed to an extent that great pressures have been brought to bear on the White House."

Allen called the president, who was vacationing at his ranch near Santa Barbara, Calif., at 11:20 p.m. (EST) Saturday, White House deputy press secretary Larry Speakes said Sunday.

Allen's surprise announcement came as he submitted to his first lengthy interview about the matter since the investigation became public Nov. 13. He answered questions about the case for nearly 30 minutes on NBC's "Meet the Press."

Speakes said Reagan watched the interview. Asked if the president thought Allen had made the right decision, Speakes said, "I think he honored Allen's request. He hasn't passed judgment either way."

The White House spokesman said he didn't know if anyone tried to talk Allen out of his decision.

During the interview, Allen denied any wrongdoing but conceded he "did exercise bad judgment" in not reporting his receipt of the cash to a White House lawyer immediately.

Attorney General William French Smith has about two weeks until he will be required by federal law to drop the Justice Department's preliminary investigation of the matter or seek appointment of a special prosecutor to decide whether there is reason to believe Allen committed a crime.

Allen said he expected to be cleared

without the appointment of a special prosecutor and added, "I fully expect to resume my duties."

He said if a special prosecutor was named he would expect to remain on leave but not resign.

In the meantime, Allen said, Adm. James Nance, the deputy director of the national security council staff, will take over for him. Nance was called on some weeks ago to take control of the council's military affairs office when Allen fired Maj. Gen. Robert Schweitzer for making an unauthorized speech in which the Army officer portrayed a dire threat of nuclear war.

Allen said Sunday he intercepted an envelope containing the money and some papers as "someone tried to thrust (them) into Mrs. Reagan's hand" at the conclusion of a brief interview Jan. 21, the president's first full day in office.

It has been Allen's contention from the start that he gave the money to a secretary, who put it into a file safe. He has said he intended to turn the money over to the proper authorities but forgot about it in the crush of business at the outset of the administration.

He disclosed Sunday that the money actually was moved from the safe to another before it was discovered and turned over to the FBI in mid-September. Allen said he did not know who moved the money and also said he had no recollection of being reminded that the cash was there before he was called by FBI agents.

One of the Japanese journalists who took part in the interview with Reagan was quoted by a Tokyo newspaper as saying she repeatedly requested a receipt for the money, which she said the White House had agreed to give to charity.

It was not clear from Allen's answers what the other papers were that he intercepted along with the envelope, although he did refer to some documents shown to him by investigators and presumably removed from the safe when the money was discovered.

Fordham vetoes plan

Calendar stays

By KEN MINGIS
DTH Staff Writer

UNC Chancellor Christopher C. Fordham III said Sunday that he had decided to leave the 1982-83 school calendar as it is, approving the original schedule presented to him by the Calendar Committee.

"It seemed to me that the great body of students and faculty were all opposed to the idea of moving everything so close to Christmas," he said, "so I approved the calendar as it was first drawn up by the committee."

The Calendar Committee had been asked by the Committee of Instructional Personnel, to look at ways of beginning the fall semester a week later than had been planned. That change would have pushed exams back to Dec. 22 and cut a week from next year's Christmas vacation.

"It (the proposed change in the calendar) was presented too late to do all the moving around that would have been needed, not just for students, but faculty as well," said Dean of Student Affairs Donald Boulton. "There are too many diverse needs in the University and the community that cannot react quickly to changes (such as those proposed)," he said.

Various reasons had been presented both for the change and against it.

Supporters said because the fall semester begins each year on a Monday, the opening date keeps getting earlier. Moving the calendar ahead one week would provide more time between summer school and the fall semester, and would have given students another week of summer vacation.

Opponents to the move said taking a week from Christmas break would have made getting a job during Christmas more difficult. Students would also have to attend classes on Labor Day.

Fordham said the arguments against the move and the problems it would have entailed were the main reasons for his decision to leave the calendar unchanged.

"People made some pretty valid reasons for leaving it alone," he said.

But, Fordham said the calendar would eventually have to be moved.

"Every several years, we have a problem, and it has to be changed," he said.

Before moves in the calendar come up in the future, Boulton said the proposals would have to be made earlier.

"It was simply a matter of (moving the calendar) being too late to do," he said.



Joe Nassif