

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Cold news

Sunny and cold today, with a high in the mid 40s. Low tonight in the mid 20s.

'DTH' meeting

The Daily Tar Heel sports staff will meet at 2 p.m. today in the office. All sports writers must attend.

Copyright The Daily Tar Heel 1982

Volume 90, Issue 108

Thursday, January 13, 1983

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 982-0245
Business/Advertising 982-1163

Heels edge Twerps, 72-71

By S.L. PRICE
Sports Editor

Bar your doors, shutter your windows. Mothers, toss your kids in the cellar and throw away the key. And you ACC coaches better start making plane reservations for Anywhere, USA, because Lefty Driesell is mad, fighting mad, and it looks like no one is safe.

Ask Dean Smith. After Jim Braddock popped in a just-over-the-line three-point shot with :09 left on the clock to put the Tar Heels ahead for good 72-71, after all the timeouts to set up the last Maryland play, a play that ended with Sam Perkins and Michael Jordan holding the ball, after all the confusion that a last-minute win at Carmichael Auditorium brings — Lefty Driesell was mad.

So mad, in fact, that when Smith walked over to shake the distracted Driesell's hand, Driesell turned and shoved Smith's hand away, causing UNC assistant coach Bill Guthridge to go to Smith's aid, causing UNC Sports Information Director Rick Brewer to emerge from the crowd and pull Guthridge away, causing dozens of post-game questions about an incident that's sure to be blown into ACC legend.

Smith: "I guess he didn't want to shake."

Driesell: "Well, that's between him and me. I had to shake his hand — he came sprinting at me."

First Driesell was angry on Saturday at Terry Holland, the University of Virginia, Ralph Sampson, and various referees for what he thought was slack officiating on some foul play.

Wednesday, it was a different game, a different place, but the same Driesell.

After the Terrapins' Herman Veal grabbed Adrian Branch's pass underneath and banked it in to put Maryland ahead 71-69 with 30 seconds left in the game, Braddock took Buzz Peterson's pass on the left side of the top-of-the-key, checked his feet to insure that they were jumping from three-point territory, and launched himself toward the basket. He pumped once, and then let it go.

"I thought it would go in after I shot it," Braddock said.

It did, and when all the timeout smoke cleared, the Terrapins set up with five seconds left, worked the ball to Driesell's son — Chuck — who dribbled down the baseline and pulled up to shoot to find both Jordan's and Perkins' hands on the ball.

The clock ran out for everybody but Driesell.

"I think there were a couple of seconds left on the clock," Driesell said. "When you play on the road, you're fighting the refs and you're fighting the timers. When they come to Cole Fieldhouse, the same thing's gonna happen — we'll cut the clock off on them."

In the first half, Maryland cut off the inside to the Tar Heels, forcing the ball out to Peterson, Braddock, and Matt Doherty. North Carolina took over half of its first-half shots from beyond the three-point mark, converting on 6-of-15, while the Terrapins' Ben Coleman continually powered his way to the basket. Coleman finished with 20 points and 12 rebounds to lead in both categories.


But it wasn't enough to hold off North Carolina.

"I thought there were two seconds left on the clock, but I'm not going to cry," Maryland point guard Jeff Adkins said. "They always win games like that."

MARYLAND: Coleman 20, Branch 16, Adkins 12, Veal 11, Fothergill 10, Baxter 2, Rivers 0, Holbert 0, Bias 0, Driesell 0.

UNC: Jordan 17, Doherty 14, Perkins 12, Peterson 9, Braddock 8, Martin 4, Hale 3, Brownlee 2, Hunter 2, Daugherty 1.

Maryland 38 33-71
UNC 36 36-72



UNC guard Michael Jordan goes in for the dunk over Maryland center Ben Coleman ... he scored 15 of his 17 points in second half of Tar Heel's 72-71 victory

CGC Finance Committee OKs concert funds

By CHARLES ELLMAKER
Staff Writer

The quest for funding of the Student Government Spring Concert has taken yet another turn — this time away from the students.

In a special meeting called at the request of Student Body President Mike Vandenberg, the Campus Governing Council Finance Committee passed a bill to allocate \$100,000 for the benefit concert. The bill still must be approved by the full CGC at a special meeting scheduled for Monday.

Before the meeting, Vandenberg told Elections Board Chairman Stan Evans not to hold the student referendum on funding for the concert, depending on the Finance Committee's decision.

But after the meeting Vandenberg said he would proceed with the referendum if the bill did not pass the full CGC.

If passed by a majority of the students, the referendum organized Sunday would have allotted \$100,000 to the Student Government Spring Concert, regardless of the CGC Finance Committee's earlier decision not to fund the concert.

Vandenberg, who drew up the funding bill, was a major supporter of the petition, which drew more than 3,000 student signatures Monday. Ten percent of the student body had to sign the petition in order to initiate a student referendum on funding for the spring concert.

Vandenberg termed the constitutionality of his decision to cancel the referendum "an interesting question."

According to the Student Constitution, the student body president must determine that the bill and petition initiating such a referendum correspond with the constitu-

tion, and then must direct the Elections Board to conduct the referendum within 15 days of that date.

But there is no provision in either the constitution or the Elections Board By-Laws for retracting such an initiative.

Vandenberg said he canceled the referendum because he questioned the constitutionality of presenting two similar bills to two different voting bodies at the same time. He said another motive was the cost of a referendum.

Vandenberg formally presented the petition and bill to Evans Tuesday afternoon, before he requested the special meeting.

By passing the funding bill through the CGC instead of by student referendum, valuable time will be saved in planning for the concert, he said during the Finance Committee meeting.

The committee passed the bill virtually unchanged, except for an appended article which gives the CGC the power to cancel the concert. If the CGC does not think the bands selected will draw enough students to make the concert financially feasible, it can cancel the concert with a two-thirds vote.

Some Finance Committee members were dissatisfied with Vandenberg's action.

Finance Committee member Dan Bryson (District 18) said he thought the referendum was being used as a pressure tactic to force the committee to vote for the proposal.

"They're holding the referendum over our head," Bryson said. "Let's go ahead and let them take it to the students."

Although Vandenberg said the referendum was not used to pressure the committee, See FINANCE on page 2

Thoroughfare plans may ease traffic flow

By SARAH RAPER
Staff Writer

Chapel Hill Town Council and Carrboro Board of Aldermen members are considering a thoroughfare plan which includes an outer loop system, widening the U.S. 15-501 bypass and changing the present traffic flow between downtown Chapel Hill and Carrboro.

Danny Pleasant, Chapel Hill transportation director, said the plan had two purposes: to provide guidelines for funding requests for improvements and for developers' decisions.

If adopted, the plan would replace the one that was first adopted in 1965. Pleasant called the old plan "obsolete."

The proposed outer loop would be two lanes wide and would be formed by extending existing roads. This loop would encircle the Chapel Hill-Carrboro area.

Plans for downtown call for several changes including a proposal to make four one-way streets. Franklin and Rosemary streets would each run one way east and west, and Pittsboro and Columbia streets would run one way north and south.

The plan also includes a proposal to widen the U.S. 15-501 bypass by making four lanes from South Columbia heading west to Rangelwood and by making six lanes from South Columbia heading east to Durham Boulevard. At a neighborhood meeting Tuesday night, residents that would be affected by the eastern extension of the bypass voiced their concern, Pleasant said.

"Although we hadn't really planned to have a public debate, the meeting last night certainly turned into one," Pleasant said Wednesday.

"Citizens expressed concern about the six lanes on the bypass," he said. "We explained that the thoroughfare plan is not one of lanes, but of lines."

He explained that lanes were not an official part of the plan and said that many of the residents were not aware of this.

"We'd eventually like to go back and adopt specific proposals for widening lanes," he added.

Another potentially controversial part of the plans for downtown would extend Pittsboro Street through the Granville Towers parking lot and would require the relocation or demolition of the Kappa Alpha fraternity house and perhaps part of the Zeta Psi house, Pleasant said.

Other plans include the extension of West Franklin Street, which would relieve congestion along Main Street in Carrboro and the extension of McCauley Street to connect with Merritt Mill Road. This extension would improve movement between the southwest community and the University and North Carolina Memorial Hospital.

Chapel Hill Town Council member Marilyn Boulton, who attended the neighborhood meeting, declined to comment on the views expressed at the meeting or on her personal views of the thoroughfare plan until after the joint public hearing.

See LOOP on page 2

Parcourse called unsafe; creates controversy

By LISBETH LEVINE
Staff Writer

Warning: Student Government's fitness course may be hazardous to your health.

Since the Executive Branch purchased the Parcourse in the 1979-80 academic year, the course has deteriorated to its present unsafe and virtually unusable condition, UNC student leaders and administrators said recently.

But if the Campus Governing Council appropriates in coming weeks the \$2,300 necessary to renovate the course, the fitness trail will have a bright and functional future, Perry Morrison, Carolina Athletic Association President, said Tuesday.

Located near the Varsity tennis courts on Country Club Road, the Parcourse comprises a two-mile jogging path with 18 exercise stations along the trail. The stations are set up for such exercises as chin-ups, push-ups and jumping jacks, said Intramural Director Edgar Shields.

But Shields described the course in its present

condition as "very dangerous."

Some areas of the course contain 3-4 feet deep holes that are obscured by tall grass, and poor drainage has led to the formation of gullies, he said.

In addition, certain stations in low-lying areas were difficult to maintain because the ground was too soft to support the equipment, Shields said.

The cost of renovating the Parcourse was estimated at \$2,300 in August 1982 by the UNC Physical Plant, said Harvey Underwood, the plant's Engineering and Estimating Superintendent. The estimate included "relocating six of the exercise stations and reinstalling them in concrete, plus building a step bridge over a drainage ditch," Underwood said.

Shields said the relocation of those stations would shorten the course to about one and one-half miles.

Originally purchased for about \$6,000, the Parcourse has deteriorated to its present condition because Student Government never made provisions for maintenance, Morrison said.

Student Body President Mike Vandenberg said Wednesday he did not know why no maintenance

was ensured. "I don't know if it was oversight or what, but we won't make the same mistake twice," he said.

But a former student body president said Wednesday that provisions were indeed made for the upkeep of the Parcourse — but not by the Executive Branch.

An intramural fee passed by a student referendum in February 1980 was intended to cover the maintenance of the Parcourse, said J.B. Kelly, student body president at the time of the purchase and current Chief Justice for the Student Supreme Court.

Kelly said he had an agreement with a Physical Education Department official that the cost of the Parcourse's upkeep would come from the Intramural Recreational Sports/Club Sports fee.

But Intramural Director Shields, who wrote the proposal for the fee, professed no knowledge of such an agreement.

"To the best of my knowledge, there was nothing in there that covered the Parcourse," he said Wednesday.

The \$3.75 fee, which generates about \$150,000 a year, is used for Intramural and club sports, Shields said.

But for now, the University and Student Government are discussing maintenance provisions for the Parcourse.

If Student Government agrees to pay for the renovations, the University is prepared to take responsibility for the maintenance of the course, Morrison said.

James Exum, Campus Governing Council Speaker Pro Tem (District 15), said he planned to propose the funds allocation at the Finance Committee meeting on Monday, Jan. 18.

If a majority of the committee approves the allocation, Exum said the full CGC would vote on it Jan. 25.

Vandenberg said he thought Student Government could work out a maintenance plan with the physical education department.

"I'm in favor of the idea of renewing the Parcourse and making it available again," he said. "The cost does not seem unreasonable."

The proposal had a good chance of passing in the CGC, Morrison said. Because the Parcourse is located on University property, the University is liable if anyone incurred injuries by using the course, he said.

The Parcourse is presently "a waste and more importantly, a potential hazard," Exum said. "If someone is injured, we could easily get sued." He added that a lawsuit against the University could cost much more than \$2,300.

The renovated Parcourse would be useful to several groups in the University community, Morrison said, adding that the course could be used by the general public, physical education classes, intramural teams and the NROTC.

"The Parcourse has been a white elephant for the executive branch since it was built," he said. "But this proposal has really opened the eyes of the intramural and physical education departments to the value of the course."

Staff writer Jeff Slagle also contributed to the story.