

Pin the tail . . .
We don't want to make asses of ourselves four days in a row, but today's high will be . . . um, may be 50 degrees.

Copyright 1986 The Daily Tar Heel

Volume 93, Issue 130

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Friday, January 31, 1986

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

May graduates

Today's the last day to get graduation application forms in. Pick 'em up in 314 Steele Building and return 'em by 5 p.m.

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163



Chillin' out

Michael Olson, a resident of Old Well Condominiums in Carrboro, taking a breather after a midday game of basketball at Granville

Towers' court and soaking up some of Thursday's welcome sunshine and warmer temperatures.

DTH/Jamie Cobb

For first loss

Cavaliers ambush Tar Heels, 86-73

By LEE ROBERTS
Sports Editor

CHARLOTTESVILLE, Va. — Virginia center Olden Polynice, in the postgame excitement of the Cavaliers' locker room, gave a high-five to teammate Andrew Kennedy.

"I can't believe it," Kennedy said.
"You better believe it, baby," Polynice responded.
You better believe it because it happened Thursday night before a packed University Hall. The Virginia Cavaliers did what no other team had done this season: they beat No. 1-ranked and previously unbeaten North Carolina, the Evil Empire of the ACC. Yes, the final score was Virginia 86, North Carolina 73, and no, the score was not deceiving.

Virginia (14-5, 4-3 in the conference) outshot, outrebounded and outplayed UNC (21-1, 5-1) in most every aspect of the game. In the process, UNC lost its 22nd game after winning its first 21 for the second time in three years.

"It was a great team effort, and we're deliriously happy," Virginia coach Terry Holland said. "We played hard the whole 40 minutes, but I'd like to think we didn't put our whole season out on the floor tonight."

Polynice scored 19 points to pace one of the biggest upsets of this college basketball season, and his teammates chipped in with balanced scoring that placed five Cavs in double figures. John Johnson scored 13 points, Mel Kennedy and Richard Morgan scored 12 apiece, and Tom Sheehy and Tom Calloway each

had 11.

The keys to the game were Virginia's dominance on the boards and its ability to handle the UNC pressure defense late in the game. The Cavaliers outrebounded North Carolina 44-41, and had 21 offensive rebounds. Virginia's ability to consistently get second shots stifled the UNC fast break game.

"I thought they beat us on the boards," UNC coach Dean Smith said. "I know they had 14 points on offensive rebounds in the first half and they went hard to the boards the whole game."

The Tar Heels trailed 45-32 at halftime, and when Sheehy connected on a hook shot from the lane 1:08 into the second half, it was 49-32 and the 9,000 Wahoo fans roared their thunderous approval.

Enter Kenny Smith. North Carolina's point guard immediately hit three of UNC's next four baskets via the long bomb route, the Tar Heels went on a 12-0 run and Virginia's lead was cut to five at 49-44. Smith wound up with 20 points on nine-for-14 shooting. Brad Daugherty tied for game-high honors with 20 while Steve Hale added 14.

Virginia then went on a 15-8 run keyed by the inside play of Polynice and Sheehy and the perimeter jumpers of Morgan. "We knew North Carolina was going to make a run at us," Holland said. "It would have been easy for our guys to fold when they scored 12 straight points, but we stayed aggressive the whole 40 minutes."

UNC had its chances later, but repeatedly failed to

capitalize. By the time there was 2:44 left, the Cavaliers led 72-58 and Polynice was eagerly orchestrating the booming University Hall chorus of "U-V-A! U-V-A!"

In the first half, Virginia dominated by playing tenacious defense, controlling the boards and shooting well inside and out. The Cavaliers led the entire half, from Sheehy's one-handed bank shot to make it 2-0 all the way to Johnson's banker to make it 45-32 at half.

Virginia jumped out to a 10-4 lead, but when Hale followed his own miss North Carolina got the closest it would come at 10-9. Polynice immediately countered with a layup, and Virginia eventually stretched its lead to 27-20. Two dunks moved the margin to 13 points at 35-22, a margin UVA. maintained for the remainder of the first 20 minutes.

Daugherty said the loss may help take some of the pressure of being undefeated off the Tar Heels' shoulders. "No one likes to lose," he said, "but I think this will help us in the long run. It was just one of those nights and we played poorly. Hopefully this will help us bounce back for the next game."

UNC must bounce back quickly — the Tar Heels will face Clemson Saturday at 4 p.m.

UNC (73) — Wolf 4-8 9-0 8, Martin 0-1 0-0 0, Daugherty 9-13 3-5 21, K. Smith 9-14 2-2 20, Hale 5-11 2-3 14, Polynice 3-4 0-0 6, Lobo 0-8 2-2 2, Madden 1-3 0-1 2, Hunter 0-1 0-0 0, R. Smith 0-2 0-0 0, Dye 0-0 0-0 0. Totals: 32-65 9-13 73.
Virginia (86) — A. Kennedy 1-3 2-2 4, Sheehy 5-12 1-2 11, Polynice 6-15 7-8 19, Calloway 4-7 3-6 11, M. Kennedy 6-16 0-0 12, Johnson 3-5 7-8 13, Morgan 6-10 0-0 12, Dye 1-1 0-0 2, Solomon 0-0 2-2 2. Totals: 32-69 22-29 86.

Permit holders barred from lots to make space for SAC donors

By JO FLEISCHER
Staff Writer

The UNC Athletic Association posted notices on cars parked near the Dean E. Smith Student Activities Center during last weekend's basketball games, asking owners to park elsewhere for this season's remaining four games.

The notices said the lots were reserved for game use. The association circulated the notices in the FR, F and Ram's Head commuter lots and in two faculty/staff lots near Morrison dorm and one near the Bennett Building.

The notice was circulated to inform students and faculty who use the lots that they may have to make other parking arrangements on days of home basketball games, said William E. Scroggs, assistant athletic director of operations.

When asked if faculty and students' cars would be towed, Scroggs said that the "possibility exists" but that the decision hadn't been made yet.

"The situation is that . . . (parking) permits are given for special hours, and we sometimes have to make provisions

for special events," he said.

The University administration approved reserving the lots on game days several years ago, so it could offer parking privileges to Educational Foundation members making large donations toward SAC construction, said Charles C. Antle Jr., vice-chancellor of business and finance.

"We're honoring commitments we made when the fund drive occurred," Antle said. The foundation members' donations made it possible to build the lots near the SAC, he said, and parking spaces had to be made available before the project got under way.

"The folks who pay for permits are assigned a spot, and that assignment is for Monday through Friday," he added.

Parking permits are required for parking in University lots between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, according to UNC's parking regulations. The chancellor may, at his discretion, designate any parking area "reserved" for special events, the regulations state.

The reserved lots will close at 6 a.m.

on game Saturdays and 5 p.m. on the two remaining weekday home games, according to the athletic association's notice.

Stacy Lentine, an N.C. Memorial Hospital employee, said the reserved lots would create problems for hospital employees. "It doesn't affect me, but when I paid \$60 (for a parking permit), they didn't tell us that anything like this would happen," she said. "There are a lot of hospital employees who work late, and they simply have nowhere else to park their cars."

Allen Cameron, a graduate student who parks in the FR lot below the SAC, said he was "mildly upset" that the University reserved the lots for game days. " . . . But it didn't surprise me," he said. "It seems to be in keeping with the way athletics work around here."

Robert E. Sherman, UNC director of security services, said campus parking was designed to provide flexibility in accommodating students' needs and providing adequate parking facilities for games.

Discrepancy in 'Tar Heel' statistics an honest error, say editor candidates

By RANDY FARMER
Staff Writer

Candidates for *Daily Tar Heel* editor agreed Thursday that their discrepancy over profit interpretations for the 1985 summer *Tar Heel* was caused by quoting different accounting sheets and that it should not be a campaign issue.

At a forum Wednesday night, Jim Zook countered co-editor candidates Jim Greenhill and Catherine Cowan's statement that the *Tar Heel* made a \$14,000 profit while Greenhill was editor. Zook said the paper had lost \$36,349.

"We don't think that the finances of the paper should become a big campaign issue," Zook said after Thursday night's forum at Mangum dormitory. "I just wanted to defend myself and clear up an inaccuracy."

Greenhill and Zook met for two hours Thursday morning with *DTH* general manager Anne Fulcher and Jim Slaughter, a member of the *DTH* Board of Directors, Zook said, and they cleared up their discrepancy.

"All parties agree that no malicious intent was involved," Zook said. "I stand behind everything I said at the BSM forum yesterday."

Greenhill said he agreed with Zook's statement and had "nothing further to add."

The \$14,000 figure Greenhill quoted was from the *DTH* Expense and Revenue sheet, which is an estimate of the paper's advertising revenues compared with the printing cost for each issue, Fulcher said in an interview Thursday. Zook's \$36,000 came from the *DTH* monthly balance sheet which

shows the paper's exact revenue, she said.

Balance sheets normally are given to the editor at the monthly board of directors meeting, Fulcher said, but no formal board meetings were held this summer. She said she gave Greenhill the balance sheet in person.

Fulcher said she explained the finances of the paper to Greenhill at the beginning of his summer editorship and kept him posted about the paper's finances with daily memos. She said she felt she had explained the finances adequately to him.

The paper's profit and loss is not controlled by the editor, she said, but by the *DTH* Board of Directors in accordance with the *DTH* bylaws. The board consists of 11 members appointed by various campus organizations and approved by the Campus Governing Council. The editor serves as a non-voting member.

Slaughter said he wanted to emphasize that the finances should not be an issue in the *DTH* editor's race. "It seems that people are wanting to find who is right and who is wrong about the finances," he said. "But finance is a Board of Director's policy and should not be used to decide who one will vote for."

Fulcher said she feared Zook and Greenhill's discrepancy might have caused students to think the *DTH* was in financial trouble. "The *DTH* is a viable and strong business," Fulcher said.

Advertising sales were up 3 percent for a profit of \$15,000 at the end of December 1985, Fulcher said.



DTH/Jamie Cobb

SBP candidate Ryke Longest presenting his platform as Jack Zemp (left) and Jimmy Greene look on

SBP candidates reveal cabinet plans

By KAREN YOUNGBLOOD
Staff Writer

About 10 people attended the Mangum forum Thursday night and heard how each student body president candidate would organize his cabinet.

Jack Zemp said he planned to have seven executive assistants who were interested in responding to students. The assistants would join a council with members of other organizations, he said.

"I want to have a conglomerate from the . . . (Campus Governing Council, Residence Hall Association) and other groups to accurately represent students' concerns," David Brady said he would have a larger cabinet to accomplish more of his goals.

"I'd set up seven to accomplish . . . (various) projects," he said. "There would be a total of 12 in the cabinet. Of the last five, one will be a representative to the Faculty Council, one to the Board of Trustees, one will deal with the administration, one with the media and one will have free reign."

Brady said the heads of the seven project committees would continue as assistants after completing their projects.

"Of the 12 people, we're going to have a wide range of base," he said. "We'll have six people that I've come into contact with during the campaign. The others will be some from South Campus, some freshmen."

Ryke Longest said he probably wouldn't have a large cabinet. "The idea of 12 followers bothers me for some reason," he said. "Basically, I want to have a cross between

what (1984-85 SBP) Paul Parker had with some additional standing committees. I'd try to give my executive assistants a wide range of work and credit for that work."

Parker's cabinet consisted of student body treasurer, executive branch secretary, executive press secretary, a six-member policy board and a 15-member projects board. Longest described his cabinet as "a . . . forward-looking hybrid of executive assistants and project-specific government."

Jimmy Greene said he would have six or seven executive assistants, who also would serve as committee heads.

"One would be the head of a committee dealing with student issues and ideas," he said. "The others would be the heads of a standing committee and other committees."

"My cabinet would be made up of diverse backgrounds and areas on campus . . . to better represent parts of the campus," he said.

Bryan Hassel said his cabinet members would have more than one job.

"I'll have 10 executive assistants," he said. "They'll have a wide freedom to act within the confines of government. They'll have specific areas of concentration, but their main work will be on major projects as they arise. This is where the flexibility comes in, because they'll be able to move from project to project."

Hassel said he would create a grievance task force as part of his cabinet. "It's . . . sole responsibility will be to be in touch with students through whatever means," he said. "They're going to be like case workers."

When a subject becomes totally obsolete we make it a required course — Peter Drucker