

Winter is only

3-NC CO 01/31/89
NC COLLECTION
WILSON LIBRARY
UNC CAMPUS
CHAPEL HILL

Living on or near campus:
Complete guide — See insert

Women's track runs
away with ACC title — Page 10

Tuesday is the last
day to drop a class
or declare pass/fail
Just a friendly reminder

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 95, Issue 135

Monday, February 22, 1988

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163

Elections official rejects SBP candidate's appeal

By BRIAN McCOLLUM
Staff Writer

Elections Board chairwoman Julie Miller rejected an appeal from third-place finisher Keith Poston Friday that, if accepted, would have required a re-election for student body president.

Poston had placed the appeal Wednesday, claiming a front-page article in last Tuesday's Daily Tar Heel had a negative influence on his campaign. In his appeal, he asked to be included in Tuesday's student body president runoff election between

Campus Elections

Kevin Martin and Bill Yelverton. In a letter sent to Poston Friday, Miller said there is no provision in the election bylaws that would allow for a third candidate in runoff elections. Miller also said the situation did not merit a complete re-election.

"It is my decision that the media coverage is not of enough significance to call for re-election," she wrote.

Poston said Thursday he does not plan to take his appeal any further. The next step in the appeal process would require Poston to submit a written appeal within 48 hours to the entire Elections Board, which could overrule the chairwoman.

"I don't plan on pursuing a new election," Poston said. "I don't think it's good for me or for the democratic process on this campus."

He also said he would have taken himself out of the race if Miller had ruled in favor of his appeal.

"I don't want to put myself or the

people who worked for me through another week of that," Poston said.

Poston originally lodged the appeal in protest of an article that appeared in last Tuesday's DTH concerning anti-CGLA fliers Poston distributed before the elections.

The fliers described his opposition to the use of student activities fees to fund the CGLA and included excerpts from an AIDS guidelines pamphlet kept in the CGLA office.

Poston called the article "bad journalism," saying he was given no chance to respond to "half-truths and

innuendos" before voters took to the polls.

Poston said lodging an appeal was the best way to publicize his concerns about campaign media coverage. Simply filing a complaint with the Elections Board would not have garnered enough response, he said.

"I want to prevent this from happening again," Poston said. "I'm not out to further my campaign."

In her letter to Poston, Miller said it was her duty as Elections Board chairwoman to "negotiate with the media in question to try to get an

adequate chance for the candidate to respond." Miller said she contacted the DTH about the article and felt that "no malice was intended" on the part of the newspaper.

"This article did not appear to contain false information," she wrote. "It was a response to campaign materials in which you were able to participate."

Poston said although he was pleased with Miller's response, he still thinks candidates in future elections

See APPEAL page 7

Top-ranked Temple rips Heels, 83-66

By MIKE BERARDINO
Sports Editor

It took the Temple Owls about two hours Sunday afternoon to dispel two recurring myths of the college basketball world.

First, Temple's breathtaking 83-66 Smith Center destruction of North Carolina proved that the Owls, America's much-maligned No. 1 team, deserve their lofty ranking. Moreover, the runaway victory, UNC's worst defeat in eight years, alerted this nation's hoops community to the fifth-ranked Tar Heels' many weaknesses.

In the process Temple served to disavow thousands of observers, both those in attendance and watching on national television, of the notion that this year's North Carolina team was another in a long line of smooth-running, teflon-coated, championship-caliber machines.

"There's a fine line between being an average team and a good team, a good team and a great team," said UNC guard Jeff Lebo, who scored a team-high 18 points, including five three-pointers, and dished out seven assists. "I think we're a good team, but this game showed us we've got a lot of work to do to get over that fine line and become a great team."

On Sunday greatness belonged to the Owls, a team whose detractors insist lacks the depth and offensive consistency to make it to the Final Four. For a 10-minute span at the start of the second half, they were darn near perfect. Down 39-34 after one half, Temple roared out of the locker room to outscore the Tar Heels 19-0 over the first 6:38.

The loss, only UNC's third-ever in the Smith Center, dropped the ACC-leading Tar Heels to 20-4 overall. Temple, whose only loss this season was a 59-58 setback on the road to Nevada-Las Vegas, improved to 22-1 with its eighth straight win.

After a Lebo four-point play (he was fouled in treyland and converted the free throw), the Owls extended their run to 21-4 over 10:12. In a stretch of futility reminiscent of UNC's scoring drought against Villanova in the 1985 NCAA Tournament, the Tar Heels turned the ball over nine times and went 1-of-10 from the floor.

"You could kind of sense a little bit of panic on their part," Temple swingman Mike Vreeswyk said of the Tar Heels. "We tried to step it up on defense, and they just kept coming



Mike Vreeswyk harasses UNC's Steve Bucknall Sunday

DTH/Janet Jarman

down and quick-shooting. They got a bit out of character."

Vreeswyk, a 6-foot-7 junior who scored 18 of his game-high 26 points after intermission, started the Owls' megarun with a follow shot 16 seconds into the half. Temple's next

five points came from freshman guard Mark Macon, an immense talent who had 15 of his 19 down the stretch.

Macon darted out from a maze of low-post screens to nail a three-

See TEMPLE page 10

Vice chancellor accepts post at the University of Michigan

By MARK FOLK
Senior Writer

Farris Womack, UNC chief financial officer and state controller, will take over July 1 as vice president and chief financial officer at the University of Michigan.

At Michigan, Womack will be responsible for the general administration of finance in the University of Michigan system, overseeing a \$1.32 billion budget.

Womack, who has been at UNC for five and a half years, said he is going to miss UNC.

"I'm very sad to be leaving this University," Womack said. "But I feel real good about the accomplishments we've had. I've certainly had a lot of

fun working here."

UNC Chancellor Christopher Fordham said he was sorry to see Womack leaving his post at UNC.

"I regret his leaving," Fordham said. "But I'm grateful for all the good things that he's done for the University."

As chief financial officer, Womack drew praise for his role in more than doubling UNC's endowment, Fordham said.

In addition to serving as financial officer, Womack became state controller in January 1987 after state and University officials agreed to allow him to hold both posts.

He said that his goal at that time

was to establish a uniform accounting system for the state within 12 to 18 months. Once that was done, Womack said he would devote more time to his campus job.

Womack spends the majority of his time in his job as state controller, according to his employment contract. The University and N.C.'s Department of Administration share the cost of his \$102,000 annual salary.

Womack had been considered for the presidency of the University of Central Arkansas, but he withdrew his name in December. He had also been mentioned as a possible successor to Fordham, who will step down at the end of June.

Chancellor: Parking plan needs work

By MARK SHAVER
Staff Writer

Chancellor Christopher Fordham announced at Friday's Faculty Council meeting that he would not forward a proposal for a parking deck near Craige Residence Hall to the UNC Board of Trustees.

The proposed deck would have cost \$12.2 million and created 1,480 parking spaces. The planning and design of the deck had already been approved by the N.C. General Assembly and the BOT.

"More work is needed on the proposal," Fordham said. "We're still working to come up with the best way to get the job done."

Since there is almost no chance that the state will fund the construction of the parking deck, the University will have to seek other funding sources, Fordham said.

"It's not going to be easy to find someone else to pay for it," he said.

Parking officials had proposed increasing permit fees to pay for the parking deck.

A number of faculty, staff and students had reacted angrily to the proposal to raise fees to pay for the deck.

But without a parking deck proposal, parking fees will not be increased next year as drastically as had been suggested, said Claude Swecker, associate vice chancellor for facilities management.

The administration will probably propose a 10 percent increase in permit fees to the BOT, Swecker said. The largest permit fee increase would therefore amount to about \$20.

The 10 percent increase is the smallest possible that will maintain the current level of parking and traffic services, he said.

Despite Fordham's announcement, employees unhappy with the administration's handling of the

parking problem will go ahead with a petition drive and an informal rally Wednesday.

Employees and others who want to express their dissatisfaction with the parking situation will gather at South Building at lunchtime Wednesday, said Peter Schledorn, a library technical assistant and one of those spreading the word on the gathering.

Many employees are upset that the administration hasn't attempted to find ways to pay for the deck other than through higher parking fees, Schledorn said.

"Friday I had somebody come up to me and say that these parking fees are obscene," he said. "Parking is the only thing that comes solely out of our own pockets. It's sort of like making us pay for our own desks. With the high fees, people are beginning to question whether whether it's worth working at the University."

Low salaries and the high cost of living in Chapel Hill and Carrboro force many employees to drive to work from areas that are less expensive to live in, Schledorn said.

People who have to drive have to park, he said.

"Part of the resentment is that people are forced to come and park because they can't afford to live where there are commuter services," he said.

Doug Morris, a North Carolina Memorial Hospital radiology engineer, initiated a petition that he says now has more than 3,000 University and hospital employee signatures.

The petition asks that the administration and the Board of Trustees look for ways to fund the parking deck other than through higher fees, Morris said.

"It's crazy what they're doing to us now," he said. "It's going to get

See PARKING page 7

University must face its problems, Fordham says

By MARK SHAVER
Staff Writer

North Carolina must invest more in UNC or the quality of the University will decline, Chancellor Christopher Fordham told the Faculty Council at its meeting Friday.

Fordham, who was responding to a recent management audit that said the University is "coasting on its former glory," said the state, the UNC system and the University have not addressed serious problems at UNC.

These problems include non-competitive salary and fringe benefits for faculty and staff and the negative effects of 1970's inflation and the recession of early 1980 on department budgets. Another problem is the University's failure to plan for maintaining the University's physical plant,

equipment and libraries.

"The increasing size and complexity of the population and the society, and growing regional pride and political organization, have made it more difficult for the states as a whole to rally to the support of single institutions," Fordham said.

But the state must invest more money in the University to maintain its excellence, he said.

While it takes generations to build a quality university, it takes far less to produce its decline, Fordham said.

George Kennedy, faculty chairman, said the audit was a "window of opportunity" to express the faculty's concerns.

"We need to keep up the pressure," Kennedy said. "We need to keep the matter before the public."

At the council meeting, the

members accepted a report from the faculty welfare committee supporting Fordham's statement that faculty compensation is inadequate.

Fringe benefits are extremely low compared to private industry and other state-supported universities, the report said.

The report cited American Association of University Professors statistics that ranked UNC 12th out of 13 comparable universities in the level of fringe benefits paid to faculty.

Pension and disability benefits are especially inadequate, the report said.

Of the top 20 universities named in a U.S. News and World Report poll of college presidents, UNC ranked 19th in salary and total

See COUNCIL page 3

I never fall apart because I never fall together. — Andy Warhol