

Keep off
the grass!
It's wet.

Mostly sunny. High 68.

Shag all night with the
best of the beach — Page 4

3 hoopsters may join
5-ring circus — Page 7

Socialism: The Prospects
presented by Lewis Lipsitz
Campus Y Lounge, 5 p.m.

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

© Copyright 1988 The Daily Tar Heel

Volume 96, Issue 31

Wednesday, April 20, 1988

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163

Political issue causes congress funding controversy

By BETHANY LITTON

Staff Writer

Controversy over the interpretation of Student Congress by-laws arose Sunday when congress members debated two groups' political partisanship as a reason for refusing to fund them.

The congress denied funding to Students for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (SETA) because of its political orientation, and voted to fund the Carolina Gay and Lesbian

Association (CGLA) after debate on the same issue.

The congress by-laws state "The Student Congress shall approve no student fees to programs, services or events of a religious or politically partisan nature."

Bobby Ferris (Dist. 14), Finance Committee chairman, said the committee voted against funding SETA because the group's stated purpose is "to promote animal rights," implying the application of political pressure

and lobbying. The organization's program also says SETA "would train and educate members to become more effective activists."

Marian Workman, a co-founder of SETA, said the group does take a stand on a political issue, but does not advocate radical activism.

"We are espousing political action in that we believe animals have rights and should be treated ethically," Workman said. "But that's the extent of our being political."

Because the congress applied this guideline to SETA, said congress member David McNeill (Dist. 19), it should also have refused funds to the Carolina Gay and Lesbian Association, because the CGLA is equally as political as SETA.

"I can't begin to understand why they (the congress) funded the CGLA and yet denied funds to SETA," McNeill said. "I'm clueless. I'm perplexed."

Congress debated the question of

the CGLA's political orientation, but decided to fund the group.

The CGLA should be considered a political organization because of the political opinions expressed in Lambda, the CGLA's newsletter, and because the group has links with the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force (NGLTF), a nationally recognized lobbying group, McNeill said.

"If it was just one incident or a few overtones, that would be one thing," McNeill said. "But the CGLA

is clearly organized to motivate gay activism on campus."

In answer to McNeill's claims, CGLA Chairman Don Suggs said a disclaimer on page two of Lambda clearly states the opinions of the writers are not the opinions of the organization.

The only link the CGLA has with the NGLTF is communication to obtain information, and the organ-

See CONGRESS page 7



DTH/Tony Mansfield

Center of attention

Jay Mahoney (left) and Jim Mackey present a \$6,000 check to Hugh Patterson of the N.C. Memorial Hospital Burn Center

Tuesday morning. Mahoney and Mackey were co-chairmen of Pi Kappa Phi Burnout, which raised the money for the center.

Union to ask for student fee increase

By JUSTIN MCGUIRE

Assistant University Editor

The Carolina Union will ask the UNC Board of Trustees to raise student activities fees by \$11.50 per semester to allow the Frank Porter Graham Student Union to keep up with escalating operating costs, officials said Tuesday.

The Union Board of Directors will also ask the BOT to increase student fees 5 to 7 percent annually to keep up with cost-of-living increases.

The Union currently receives \$18.50 per student each semester for activities fees for operational expenses.

Fee increases in the past have not kept pace with inflation, said Patrick Conway, associate professor of economics, so the increase is necessary.

"The costs of doing business in the 1980s have gone up considerably," Conway said. "The fees have not come up with this."

"If the fees do not change, the Carolina Union will continue to operate at a bigger and bigger loss."

Ellen Barnard, co-president of the Union Activities Board, said the current Union fees are not adequate for several aspects of the Union's costs.

For instance, she said, utilities costs

have increased 74 percent since 1984, and are expected to continue to rise.

Also, current fees do not provide enough funds for major repairs in the building, she said. Wall coverings, carpets, furniture and plumbing fixtures need to be repaired or replaced, she said.

"The roof will probably have to be repaired, and there's been no planning for that kind of expense," she said.

The Union has also not been able to expand its staff because of limited financial resources, Barnard said.

Archie Copeland, Union director, said the increase is needed strictly to meet operational costs. "This is totally for the operation of the building," he said.

Copeland said he hopes students will see the need for the increase. Students on the Union Board of Directors actually wanted to see more of an increase, he said.

Barnard said the Union provides so much for students that students should favor the increase.

"What would all these organizations (that have Union offices) do if the building wasn't here?" she said. "When you look at it like that, the

See UNION page 6

Store sells part of business to intensify lawsuit against UNC

By BRIAN MCCOLLUM

Staff Writer

Owners of Johnny T-Shirt said Tuesday they will sell part of their business to devote more time to their lawsuit with UNC.

The company will sell its silk-screening equipment and lease the space so the owners can intensify efforts to lobby the N.C. General Assembly.

The University filed the lawsuit against Johnny T-Shirt in July, claiming the company is infringing on the UNC trademark by printing and

selling shirts with various Tar Heel logos.

A U.S. Middle Court judge ruled against a preliminary injunction requested by the University in July that would have prevented Johnny T-Shirt from selling those items while awaiting trial.

David Bennett, an attorney for Johnny T-Shirt, said lawyers for both parties have finished researching their cases, and that a pre-trial hearing has been scheduled for mid-July.

Bennett would not say which motions Johnny T-Shirt may file

against the University at that time. He did say the company's lawyers don't have the resources to call in as many experts to research the situation as they would like.

"We haven't done the things we'd like to have done in this case," he said. "We're going to have to rely on the force of argument."

The University's licensing policy is in violation of North Carolina's Umstead Act, Bennett said, which prevents departments and agencies of the state from being involved in the exchange or sale of goods.

Susan Ehringhaus, an assistant to the chancellor, refused to comment on the case Tuesday afternoon.

Michael Helpingstine, co-owner of Johnny T-Shirt, said the lawsuit has resulted in a lot of extra work for the company. "It's a real bear," he said. "We need time to be able to work on it."

The silk-screening department is actually a division of JTS Promotions, a corporation within Johnny T-Shirt, and is located underneath the Johnny T-Shirt retail store on Franklin Street. Helpingstine said the

company silk-screens around 750 shirts a year, mostly special orders from outside companies and organizations.

The silk-screening division brings in close to \$100,000 a year. But Helpingstine said money played no role in the decision to sell.

"There's a major time element involved," he said, referring to the silk-screening process. "It's a large part of our business that's time demanding. We have to win this case."

The extra time spent on the case

should pay off in the end, Helpingstine said.

"It's going to be worth it in the long run," he said. "If we don't win, it'll be an injustice."

Helpingstine said the decision to drop the silk-screening division was made after considering its role in the company.

"We felt silk-screening would have the least negative effect," he said. "Retail is really our bread and butter."

See STORE page 7

Board of Trustees to review plans for Alumni Center

By JACKIE DOUGLAS

Staff Writer

The plans for the Alumni Center are ready and will go before the Board of Trustees for approval on Friday, according to alumni officials, but a student representative said Tuesday that he is concerned with how the center will affect student life.

Doug Dibbert, General Alumni Association director, said he is very optimistic that the board will approve the plans. The Faculty Committee on Buildings and Grounds has already approved the building, he said.

The Alumni Center, which will be constructed near the Ramshead parking lot, will complement the site, Dibbert said.

"The Alumni Center will be nestled in the woods close to the Ramshead parking lot," he said. "It will blend

in excellently with the location and will also preserve the brick walkway and the trees."

Brian Sipe, Scott Residence College (SRC) governor, said he was concerned with how the construction of the center will affect student life, whether the architecture of the building will complement dormitories in the area and if commuter and resident student parking will be affected.

SRC officials and residents plan to see that their concerns are met by the Alumni Association, Sipe said.

Alumni Center parking will be in the Ramshead parking lot, Dibbert said, but he does not anticipate that this will conflict with student parking because most Alumni Association functions will take place at night.

Construction of the Alumni Center

will begin in a few months, he said, and should be complete within 20 to 24 months.

A budget for the cost of the Alumni Center has not been worked out. However, it will be paid for by a challenge gift and several matching gifts from alumni, Dibbert said.

George Watts Hill, treasurer of the Alumni Association for 35 years and a 1922 UNC graduate, gave a challenge gift of \$3.5 million to help build the center. Since then, his gift has been matched by other Alumni Association members, Dibbert said.

The Alumni Center will provide the Alumni Association with the space it needs to carry out many events, he said.

"The center will give us the ade-

See CENTER page 6

Students debate deployment of 'Star Wars' defense system

By STACI COX

Staff Writer

The U.S. Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) space shield should be deployed, two students said in a debate of the Carolina Debate Team Tuesday night in Bingham Hall.

But the opposing two students said deployment would make a nuclear war likely because superpower tensions would increase.

"SDI will encourage a spiraling arms race," said Channing Rouse, a sophomore psychology and international studies major from LaGrange.

The team argued under the assumptions that deployment of SDI is possible, that the act of deployment would not provoke a

war, and that the Soviet Union will deploy its own space defense system.

Rouse said possession of an SDI system by both the United States and the Soviet Union would make a first strike the only choice in a tense situation, destroying the enemy's space shield before they can reciprocate.

"SDI only precipitates a nuclear war," Rouse said.

And an SDI system promotes the myth that a nuclear war is winnable, Rouse said. More cost-effective ways to avoid early detection systems could be researched without creating the tension of an SDI system, she said.

But Bryan Wells, a freshman international studies major from

Lexington, Ky., said mutual deployment of a "Star Wars" defense system would serve as a deterrent to any strike, making it suicidal to fire offensive weapons.

"The United States is a nice country," Wells said. "It is incredibly unlikely that the United States would initiate a first strike . . . The only world worse than the current world of thousands of Soviet missiles is a world with thousands of Soviet missiles and a Soviet defense system."

SDI could shift the world environment from offensive to defensive and lead to a reduction in land-based arms, said Geoff Burgess, a sophomore math major from

See STAR WARS page 7

In the fight between you and the world, back the world. — Franz Kafka