

Partly cloudy
High around 70
Thursday: Cloudy
High in the 60s

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Happy
Valentine's
Day

Volume 97, Issue 128

Wednesday, February 14, 1990

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163



DTH/Ami Vitale

A mom and a pop

Claudia Cushman and her daughter Kurtis enjoy a breezy Tuesday morning picnicking in Polk Place.

Enrollment shift debated

Pit session addresses higher out-of-state percentage

By MYRON B. PITTS

Staff Writer

Students in the Pit Tuesday afternoon told Student Body President Brien Lewis that they favored increased out-of-state enrollment but had mixed opinions on increasing overall enrollment to accommodate more out-of-state students.

Lewis focused on the issue in a "Pit-Side Chat" after the UNC Board of Trustees (BOT) Thursday discussed a possible increase in the number of out-of-state students. The percentage of out-of-state students enrolled is now at 18 percent, having been raised from 15 percent in 1984.

T.J. Rohr, a junior from Chapel Hill who was accepted as an out-of-state applicant, said he supported an increased out-of-state percentage, but not one as high as the 35 percent enrollment at the University of Virginia (UVA). "A higher increase would increase the diversity (of UNC). We ought to raise it a little, but not up to 35 percent."

Chancellor Paul Hardin had mentioned UVA's out-of-state percentage as a basis of comparison at last week's board meeting.

When asked by Lewis to propose a specific percentage, Rohr said it would be difficult to set a definite figure be-

cause the number of applicants fluctuates each year.

A year in which UNC received a high number of out-of-state applicants would require the University to accept a correspondingly high number of out-of-state students, he said.

A 25 percent out-of-state enrollment percentage might be a workable figure, Rohr said.

Lewis told the crowd the issue was a "hot topic" in the BOT, and one students should be concerned with. "This is an issue that the student body should get some input on, because it decides who is going to be your peers."

He also told students about the BOT

meeting and Hardin's support of the increase in out-of-state students for the sake of diversity.

One student said he supported increasing the out-of-state enrollment cap to 20 percent. He added that a decline in the applicant pool would mean that the University would be forced to accept more students from outside North Carolina, he said.

Lewis noted that the decrease in college applicants represented a national trend, and he asked the crowd about its opinion on an overall increase in the size of the student body, which

See LEWIS, page 3

Campus building plan in works

By MYRON B. PITTS

Staff Writer

A long-range University building and campus growth plan is being formulated by a special committee, John Sanders, chairman of the Buildings and Grounds Committee, said this week.

Sanders said that a plan detailing the placement of buildings and the direction of University growth would be devised by a special committee comprising students, faculty and administrators. If completed and approved by the Board of Trustees (BOT), it will be the first definite building plan for the University since 1920, he said.

The original long-range proposal was drafted after World War I when it was decided that UNC would need more

space, Sanders said. In compliance with the plan, the University gradually expanded southward across Cameron Avenue.

"What they (UNC officials) were trying to do was to make an orderly plan rather than just drop buildings down here and there. By 1950 all of that plan had been carried out," Sanders said.

After 1950, the University abandoned a definite building plan and placed new structures where they would be needed and as centrally located as possible.

"Instead of then drawing up a new long-range plan to look several years into the future, we (the University) tended to react as each new building

need or opportunity came along. The result was the University didn't have a comprehensive long-range plan as to how to use the space and the land it had better," Sanders said.

In the post-plan era, occasionally a building would be placed next to another, allowing no room for expansion by the latter facility. But most of the decisions about where to place buildings were not necessarily unsound, he added.

"That isn't to say the decisions made were not sensible or responsible; the people involved did the best they could."

Joe Andronaco, student body vice president, said a long-range plan was needed to avoid some of the poorly planned building placement decisions

of the past and cure the "nearsightedness" of the University's building practices.

"I think the University has been myopic in its development in a lot of ways," he said.

Sanders said the placement of Davis Library, which was built on an old baseball diamond, was one example of a building that possibly could have been better located.

"It may well be the best place it could have been built, but it was not built with reference to how the University might develop over the next 10 (years) to 20 years," he said. "The point is, a lot of ad hoc decisions were made, not necessar-

See GROWTH, page 5

Protesters accuse CIA of anti-gay recruitment policy

By ELIZABETH BYRD

Staff Writer

UNC's CIA Action Committee (CIAAC) has sent a letter to the dean of the law school asking that the CIA not be allowed to recruit on campus because of what they called discriminatory hiring practices against homosexuals.

A law professor said this week that the CIAAC may have a strong legal basis in its case.

"Not only is the CIA guilty of law-breaking, but it is also guilty of discriminatory hiring practices," wrote CIAAC member Dale McKinley in a letter to Judith Wegner, dean of the law school. "The CIA has made it well known that they do not consider lesbians and gays to be 'recruitable material.'"

"The law school (in the past) has denied recruiting privileges to certain firms who were practicing racial and sex discrimination. It seems that in the case of the CIA a double standard is

effect."

But Wegner said she knew of no examples of firms that the University has banned from campus. "Discrimination is something we deal with when it comes up during interviews," she said. "So far we have had no complaints (from interviewees) about the CIA."

Wegner said she did not foresee canceling the CIA's visit to campus, although the law school will review the school's discrimination policy for next year. "My understanding now is that the University policy does not extend to sexual preference," she said. "But we will be looking at it again."

In response, McKinley said, "We've looked at the University's policies regarding discrimination. Even though we have not found an explicit reference to (bias against homosexuals) that is no excuse to ignore it. If she (Wegner) is trying to get away without addressing the issue, that is a slap in the face to the gay and lesbian community."

Daniel Pollitt, Kenan professor of

law, said he thought the CIAAC was on firm legal ground with its request that the CIA be banned from recruiting at UNC for its alleged anti-homosexual hiring policy. "There are a lot of precedents for it," he said.

He cited Georgetown University as an example. "My understanding is that the CIA and FBI can no longer interview there. (Georgetown's) gay lib organization won a lawsuit against the university," he said.

McKinley said the CIA would not publicly admit to discrimination. "We can't cite a source because one is not available. The CIA is not going to release that information. However, our study of the CIA suggests that they follow the military's guidelines in many areas, including employment."

McKinley said there have been many instances of discrimination against homosexuals in military employment. "These indications are from experience with the military and court cases gays and lesbians have brought against

them.

"We tried to get the administration to allow us to hold a public debate with the CIA so students can hear both sides, but the University hasn't responded," McKinley said that when approached, the CIA refused a debate. "It seems that they do not want to make their views public," he said.

CIAAC member Joey Templeton said she doubted that the administration would answer the CIAAC's charge. "Chancellor Hardin has made it obvious that he wants nothing to do with the CIAAC," she said.

McKinley said he was disappointed with Chancellor Hardin's past response to the CIAAC. "We've requested meetings with him, and he just brushed us off."

The CIAAC would rather have a productive meeting with Hardin than demonstrate, he said. "That has always been our position. We have attempted to have meetings with Hardin and before him, (Chancellor Emeritus Chris-

topher) Fordham, but these attempts have been stymied every time. Obviously the next step is to protest."

Templeton said the CIAAC planned to hold a public gathering outside South Building today. "We'll be meeting in the Pit a little before 2 (p.m.) and walking up there (to South Building)."

McKinley said if the administration responds negatively to the CIAAC's request, or doesn't respond at all, the group plans to hold a vigil Friday at the law school, where the interviews will be taking place. McKinley said as of Tuesday he had heard nothing from the law school.

At the request of the CIAAC, the University has agreed to allow the group to look at information "with regards to work performed by state employees outside of normal University duties."

McKinley said CIAAC members would examine the records on Thursday.

"Obviously, given our viewpoints on the CIA as an unlawful organization, we feel it is important to know if

(UNC's) faculty are doing any work for them," he said.

He said the CIAAC would make plans for action after obtaining the requested information. "I'm not yet aware of what might be going on and where it might be happening," he said.

"This information is not just for us, but for the student body," McKinley said. "Once we get hold of the it, it definitely will be made public. Depending on the character of the work being done, we may target certain projects."

Susan Ehringhaus, assistant to the chancellor, said she had no objection to releasing the documents. "He (McKinley) has asked for records of faculty members' consulting forms," she said. "They are public documents."

Ehringhaus said she did not know of any UNC employees involved with the CIA. "But if someone wants to take the time to look through thousands of forms, that is certainly his prerogative," she said.

CAA hopefuls focus on campaign topics

By MARCIE BAILEY

Staff Writer

and DIONNE LOY

Staff Writer

Candidates for Carolina Athletic Association (CAA) president addressed non-revenue sports attendance and basketball ticket distribution problems Tuesday night in Carmichael Residence Hall.

Demp Bradford and Lisa Frye discussed ways in which they, if elected CAA president, would handle those issues.

Also at the forum, candidates for Residence Hall Association (RHA) president and Daily Tar Heel (DTH) co-editors outlined platforms and an-

CAMPUS Elections '90

swered questions.

Bradford said he wanted to work out a ticket distribution policy that would appeal to students' wishes. One policy would be made and announced to students by the end of the spring semester and would not be changed without adequate notice. Bradford said he believed the confusion at last November's Duke ticket distribution was the result of changing the policy without notifying students.

Bradford also said he believed that poor showings at non-revenue sports events were because of lack of publicity about game times. He proposed to put a sign in the Pit and at a South Campus location that would list every game and would be changed weekly. He said that he could see there was not enough support for non-revenue games and that one way to get more student support would be through advertising.

Frye said she believed the CAA failed with the Duke ticket distribution because it was not sufficiently publicized. Frye said she was already beginning to solve the problem by polling students and writing to other universities for ideas. She said she would focus on creating a policy that students would be educated about and one that would work toward the needs of the students.

See CAA, page 4

SBP contenders tackle campus security

By JASON KELLY

Assistant University Editor

Campus safety concerns and potential uses for a proposed 35-cent increase in student fees for SAFE Escort were among issues debated by student body president candidates at Carmichael Residence Hall Tuesday night.

Candidates Bill Hildebolt, Mark Bibbs, Jonathan Martin and John Lomax attended the forum. Candidate Mike Strickland did not attend.

The Carmichael forum was one of two held last night. The Black Student Movement also sponsored a later forum in Granville Towers.

A referendum proposing the 35-cent increase in fees will appear on the Feb. 20 elections ballot. The fees would go to pay members of SAFE Escort to walk students from campus libraries between the hours of midnight and 3 a.m. — hours when Davis and Undergraduate libraries are open but SAFE Escort is not.

Hildebolt said students do not use all of the existing security measures available to them. "Many security measures are there if the students care to use them — like locking their doors. Security comes back to the individual."

The major security improvement needed on campus is a change in the present condition of the University police, Hildebolt said. "What is a guy who is from traffic ticketing (Acting Public Safety Director John DeVitto, formerly transportation and parking services director) doing running the campus police? What does he know about running a police force? We need to get them (Univer-



DTH/Catherine Pinckert

SBP candidates speak at Tuesday's forum at Carmichael Residence Hall

sity police) back in order."

Hildebolt said many security problems come not from students, but from off campus. "It should be more difficult for outsiders to get on campus."

Bibbs said he would change SAFE Escort to include two escorts walking people to their residence halls. "Having two escorts, including a female escort, would be better because some females who would call SAFE Escort feel that the escort might be just as bad as the

rapist."

Using golf carts to take students back to their residence halls would also add to the program, he said. "UNC-Charlotte uses golf carts, and I would like to see this brought to Carolina. Escorts might not mind driving someone back to South Campus, and even have a little fun in the process."

Bibbs added that he would like to use some of the money that would be left over from the referendum towards other

security purposes. "There have been many thefts from lockers in Woollen Gym, and that's a security need as well."

Martin said he would set up a committee to put the money to the best possible use if the 35-cent referendum passes. "I served on the safety-walk committee, and I feel good about the 35-cent increase in student fees."

See SBP, page 3

Inside

I want your sex

Focus on results of DTH campus sex survey 9

Say 'Uncle'

Wrestling team wipes mat with Blue Devils 11

Campus and city 3

State and national 5

Arts and features 8

Focus 9

Sports 11

Classified 12

Comics 13

Love stinks! — The J. Geils Band