

VOL. 7

DUNN, N. C., JULY 23, 1920.

NUMBER 31.

SUBMIT AWARD TO  
REFERENDUM VOTE  
OF RAIL WORKERSAppears Probable Union Leaders  
Will Not Make Any  
RecommendationOUTRIGHT REJECTION  
OF AWARD NOT FAVOREDRailway Labor Board Declines To Re-  
Open Case at Request of Brother-  
hood Heads On Ground It Would  
Prevent Rules Going Into Effect at  
Once.

Chicago, July 21.—Submission of the \$600,000,000 rail wage award to a referendum vote by the 1,800,000 railroad workers without recommendation from the union leaders either for its acceptance or rejection appeared probable tonight.

This was the opinion in labor circles after rejection by the United States Railway Labor Board of a petition for a rehearing of the case.

Three courses were open to the union chiefs:

First, submission without recommendation; second, recommendation that the award be accepted; and third that it be rejected.

## Six Decide to Accept

At midnight it was reported that six of the sixteen great transportation brotherhoods, in addition to the Masters, Matrons and Pilots of America had decided definitely to accept the award.

Eight of the remainder were said to have tentatively rejected the award, with provision that the final decision be left to a referendum vote of the membership.

The Order of Railway Conductors was said to be still undecided, while the Order of Railroad Telegraphers were reported to have issued strike ballots.

In view of the division it is believed that the award would be submitted to a referendum by all of the brotherhoods, either without recommendation or with the recommendation of each group.

## Those Who Have Accepted

The following brotherhoods were reported to have accepted the award: Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers; Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen; Switchmen's Union of America; Brotherhood of Stationary Firemen and Oilers; United Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees, and Railroad Shop Laborers; Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Engineers; and the Masters, Matrons and Pilots of America.

## Favoring Referendum

The brotherhoods favoring a referendum were the International Association of Machinists, Sheet Metal Workers' International Alliance, Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees; Brotherhood of Railway Signalmen of America; Brotherhood of Railway Carmen; International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Shipbuilders and Helpers of America; and the International Brotherhood of Blacksmiths, Drop Forges and Helpers.

## USE LESS SUGAR

## IN JELLIES AND JAMS

One-fifth to one quarter less sugar can be used in making jelly and jams, experiments made by household experts in the United States Department of Agriculture experimental kitchen indicate.

Another sugar-saving wrinkle tested by the experiment kitchen is to add one-quarter teaspoon of salt to each cup of fruit juice for jelly or pulp for jam, marmalade and conserve. In the case of nonacid fruit this makes the absence of the full amount of sugar less noticeable. The salty taste will disappear after the product has stood for a few weeks, but the flavor will be much the richer for the addition of the salt. Salt was used in England during the war, and the method was based on reports of the process.

With fruits of pronounced flavor, or where lemon and orange peel or spices are used for flavoring those with mild flavor, various syrups take the place of part of the granulated sugar. Usually half a cup is the proportion used in substitution.

## CLINTON TO HAVE

## NEEDED ICE PLANT

Hubbard Brothers Start Work On  
Modern Factory  
Here

(News Dispatch.)

Clinton is to have a much needed ice plant in the near future according to the Hubbards, R. H., J. C. and H. J., promoters of the new scheme. R. H. and J. C. Hubbard have recently returned from buying the plant which when completed will be a \$25,000 plant, with a capacity of 15 tons of ice per day.

The building, a galvanneal iron structure 75 x 75 feet will be erected near the Power Plant. They expect to have it completed in two weeks. Owing to freight delay it will be from 60-90 days before the plant will be in operation.

The Hubbards are planning to deliver ice to the patrons at a price on a par with that of surrounding towns. They state no ice will be shipped away from here. During the winter months when the demand is not so great for ice, the owners are planning to make ice one or two days a week according to the demand.

TEN HELPS IN MARKET-  
ING WOODLAND PRO-  
DUCTS

Get prices for various wood products from as many sawmills and other wood-using plants as possible.

Before selling, consult neighbors who have sold timber and benefit from their experience.

Investigate local timber requirements and prices. Your products may be worth more locally because transportation is saved.

Advertise in papers and otherwise secure outside competition.

Secure bids if practicable both by the lump and log-scale measure.

Be sure that you are selling to responsible purchasers.

Get a reliable estimate of the amount and value of the material before selling.

Market the higher grades of timber and use the cheaper for farm purposes.

Remember that standing timber can wait over a period of low prices without rapid deterioration.

Use a written agreement in selling timber, especially if cutting is done by purchaser.

Write the Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, or State forester if you need further help.

PROHIBITIONISTS NAME  
BRYAN BY ACCLAMATIONIs Nominated for Presidency Despite  
His Announcement He Could  
Not Accept

Lincoln, Neb., July 21.—W. J. Bryan was nominated by acclamation as the prohibition party's presidential nominee at the national convention today. The nomination came after a resolution "tendering" him the position of standard-bearer had brought out the fact in debate that he had telegraphed friends here that he "accepted."

W. G. Calderwood, vice-chairman of the prohibition national committee, late today presented a resolution before the convention calling for unanimous nomination of Mr. Bryan as the party's presidential candidate.

A letter from Charles W. Bryan, brother of W. J. Bryan, was read to the convention, stating that his name was not mentioned in connection with the nomination and that the Calderwood motion tendering Bryan the nomination was overwhelmingly defeated.

WILSON TOBACCONISTS LIKE  
GEORGIA TOBACCO PRICESCrop in Eastern North Carolina Re-  
ported As in Flourishing Con-  
dition

Wilson, July 21.—Reports from the Georgia tobacco markets, published in this morning's News and Observer, sounds good to the tobacco growers of Eastern Carolina, and buyers on the South Carolina markets report sales \$7 per hundred higher than on opening day last year.

Thus far Wilson has been very fortunate this season. The crop is flourishing and the yield is expected to be as large as the crop of 1919, and the planters have reason to be thankful for good seasons and no hail. Notwithstanding predictions were made that the price of the weed would slump this year, everything looks bright to the farmer.

## DUKE NEWS

We enjoyed having W. A. Erwin, Secretary and Treasurer of the Erwin Cotton Mills Company, spend several days with us this week.

Mr. E. K. Kelly left Thursday for an extended vacation, making Monday, N. C., her first stop.

Mr. and Mrs. C. S. Hicks left Thursday, by automobile, for a two weeks' vacation, through the valley of Virginia. They were accompanied by Mr. Hicks' parents, Mr. and Mrs. R. W. Hicks of West Durham.

Mr. and Mrs. S. C. Gaddy, Mr. and Mrs. T. V. Brannock and family, Miss Mabel and Myrtle Woodworth, Margaret Barnes, Conelia and Ida Myrtle Teddy, and Iona Haakett; Messrs. R. A. McConaghey, L. B. Martin, Frederick and Edward Thomas, W. B. Suggs and Masters Edgar and Cochran Gaddie motored over to Stewart's Pond Wednesday evening, and while there very much enjoyed a "dip" into the pond. On the way back to Duke, a part of the crowd stopped in Dunn and attended the "movies." Saw Harold Lloyd, and he did "move," too. The evening was pleasantly spent.

Miss Mabel Woodworth entertained a party of friends, Thursday evening, in honor of her guests, Miss Eunice Byrd, of Bunnlevel, and Miss Myrtle White, of New Bern.

A game of baseball on our home grounds, was scheduled with West Durham for Saturday afternoon 17, but on account of the bad weather, the game was called off. West Durham was to have "run" an excursion train—too bad there was such a disappointment.

TAR HEELS MEET GOVERNOR  
COX IN THE CAPITAL CITY

Washington, July 20.—Among the Tar Heels who shook hands and talked politics with Governor Cox during his stay in Washington Sunday, were Representative Hannibal Godwin and Wade H. Cooper, the latter a North Carolinian now in the banking business here.

Misses Emma Lee and Corinne Jackson, of Dunn, are visiting the daughter of Representative Godwin, Miss Mattie Belle Godwin.

Mrs. Joseph Isaacs, of Goldsboro, is here to visit her daughter, Mrs. Elsie Goldstein.

CONDITION OF COTTON  
GENERALLY IS BETTERAlthough the Crop Varies In Differ-  
ent States It Is "Quite  
Satisfactory."

Washington, July 21.—With temperatures remaining close to normal together with an abundance of rainfall in most of the southern states, the condition of cotton improved generally throughout the belt, according to the weekly national weather and crop bulletin, made public today.

While the crop's condition was variable in different states and even in different parts of the same state, on the whole, the report said, "it was quite satisfactory."

Heavy to excessive rain occurred in a few places which unfavorably affected the progress of cotton and delayed cultivation, the report said, especially affecting the crop in central and northern North Carolina. The weather was too dry for the crop in western Texas and parts of Alabama.

Good to excellent growth was shown in South Carolina, the report said, while the improvement made in Florida the past week was maintained. In Alabama and Tennessee very good progress was made while only a fair showing was made in Mississippi. Cotton made excellent progress in Arkansas, Texas and parts of Oklahoma.

Wet damage increased in the southern part of the belt wherever frequent rains occurred, the reports added.

## GODWIN NEWS

Mr. and Mrs. C. P. Ward and Miss Eloise Connolly have returned from Richmond, Va., where they were guests of Mrs. Ward's mother, Mrs. C. C. Ward.

Miss Edna Williams returned Tuesday from Mount Olive, where she visited friends and relatives.

Mrs. Edna McNeill of Vam, has returned to her home after spending several days with her father, C. C. McNeill.

W. G. Calderwood, vice-chairman of the prohibition national committee, late today presented a resolution before the convention calling for unanimous nomination of Mr. Bryan as the party's presidential candidate.

A letter from Charles W. Bryan, brother of W. J. Bryan, was read to the convention, stating that his name was not mentioned in connection with the nomination and that the Calderwood motion tendering Bryan the nomination was overwhelmingly defeated.

Mr. and Mrs. P. C. Spell and sister, Miss Eva Spell, of Red Springs, spent Sunday in Godwin with their brother, C. W. Spell.

Mr. Taylor and son, of Jacksonville, Fla., spent a few days with their sister, Mrs. J. C. Williams.

Hector Strickland, of Raleigh, is visiting his sister-in-law, Mrs. E. J. McIntyre.

Mrs. Geo. Jones and children, of Jacksonville, are spending a few days with their mother, Mrs. A. B. Yarbrough.

Perry Godwin of Dunn, spent a few hours in Godwin Monday afternoon. Misses Johnnie McLean and Louise Prigden, of Dunn, are spending several days with Miss McLean's relatives at Cameron.

C. C. McNeill, Jr., and Donald McNeill were business visitors in Fayetteville Thursday afternoon.

Mrs. Percy Maxwell, of Falcon, spent Monday in Godwin with Mrs. J. Q. Jones.

LEAGUE AND TREATY  
ARE NOT FIXED ISSUESWashington Officials Are Pessimistic  
date For Vice-Presi-  
dent

Washington, July 21.—The peace treaty and the league of nations can in no way be regarded as "fixed issues" in the presidential campaign, inasmuch as their present status may be "much changed" between now and March 4, Franklin D. Roosevelt, Democratic vice presidential nominee, declared today on his return to Washington from attendance at the meeting of the Democratic national committee at Columbus.

In connection with the statements by the vice presidential nominee on the treaty question, it was asserted today by a prominent member of the Democratic party that President Wilson and Governor Cox, in their recent conference here, expressed an accord only on the broad issues on the peace treaty and league of nations and did not discuss details.

Affirming his support of the stand taken by Governor Cox on the question of campaign expenditures, Mr. Roosevelt declared:

"Everybody knows that the Republican party three months ago, in planning to raise a huge campaign fund, divided the country into districts and assigned a definite quota against each. The assignment against the Democratic party was \$1,000,000, although the population is less than 10,000. Nobody has any idea that such an assessment will be raised by one dollar or five dollar subscriptions."

Mr. Roosevelt said his resignation as assistant secretary of the Navy would be tendered to the president to take effect on the date of his address accepting the vice presidential nomination, probably August 9.

From the more or less wild and woolly west come reports that the attorney for the Rev. M. Kvale, alleged to have lured Volstead the Dry, asked him on the witness stand: "Do you believe that Christ made wine out of water?" "Yes," said the representative. "Do you think it was right for Him to make wine out of water?" The author of the congressional act which prescribes the punishment, etc. of persons who make wine out of anything at all asked to be excused from answering, and was. The Rev. Mr. Kvale was seeking to prove the other an atheist. Anyhow, a court has decided that Mr. Volstead got the nomination for Congress and Rev. Mr. Kvale didn't, notwithstanding the latter's impression to the contrary.—Greensboro News.

VIRGINIA CITIES  
WANT HEARING  
ON FREIGHT RATEWill Petition U. S. C. To Re-  
Open Case Won By  
North CarolinaANY MOVEMENT WILL BE  
BITTERLY OPPOSEDCorporation Commission and Traffic  
Association Prepared To Go  
Through What Fight Again To Re-  
tain Advantage Won In Decision  
Handed Down Last May.

(News Observer.)

Virginia cities, and the railroads serving them, are disturbed by the recent decision handed down by the Interstate Commerce Commission granting North Carolina towns a readjustment of freight rates, and are preparing to ask the first step in having the decision reversed.

The North Carolina Corporation Commission has been advised by the I. C. C. that a step is contemplated by the Virginia cities, and has given assurance that opportunity will be given to the Virginia cities to present their case to the Commission.

The North Carolina Traffic Association, and the Corporation Commission are making every preparation to be ready for the reopening of the case, and the matter will be bitterly contested, and if the case is given another hearing, the fight will be continued.

It is not known here upon what grounds the petition for a rehearing will be based, but it is understood that the Virginia cities object most strongly to the northern adjustment that concerns the shipment of freight from what is known as the eastern classification territory into Virginia and South Carolina.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

The Norfolk and Richmond Chambers of Commerce, railroads, and the Virginia Corporation Commission are understood to have joined their interests and will make a common cause of the effort to nullify the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

MEANS TELLS WHY HE  
DELAYED FILING WILLGives Several Reasons For Not Hav-  
ing It Filed When First  
Found

Chicago, July 21.—Reasons for not offering for probate an alleged second will of James C. King, millionaire lumberman, immediately after its discovery were given today by Gaston B. Means in the hearing of the contest over the disputed document. Means, who claims to have found the will in 1915, is the chief witness for Mrs. Mary C. Melvin, sister of Mr. King's widow, in her effort to have the will admitted to probate.

"After I found the will," said Means, "I hesitated about presenting it for probate for several reasons. One of these was to see if Mrs. King would not get more money out of the proposed settlement with the Northern Trust company, trustee under the first will."

"I wished also to investigate the circumstances of the making of the second will, to make sure that its genuineness could be proved."

Means explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by Mrs. King in a trust fund for her mother, Mrs. Anna L. Robinson, came into his possession in October, 1915, as security for \$85,000 he had advanced to Mrs. King to cover the expenses of investigating the new will.

Means also explained that \$79,000 in securities which had been placed by