

THE DUPLIN TIMES
 Published each Friday in Kenansville, N. C., County Seat of
 DUPLIN COUNTY
 Editorial business and printing plant, Kenansville, N. C.
J. ROBERT GRADY, EDITOR — OWNER
 Entered at the Post Office, Kenansville, N. C.
 as second class matter.
TELEPHONE
 Kenansville, 255-6
SUBSCRIPTION RATES: \$3.00 per year in Duplin County
 Lenoir, Jones, Onslow, Pender, Sampson and Wayne coun-
 ties; \$4.50 per year outside this area in North Carolina; and
 \$4.00 Per year elsewhere.

Advertising rates furnished on request.
 A Democratic Journal, devoted to the material, educational,
 economic and agricultural interests of Duplin County.



**REMEMBER that time is money. Don't
 thou love life? Then do not squander
 time, for that is the stuff life is made of.**
 Benjamin Franklin

THE SAME OLD MORTAL SIN
 By: RALPH W. GWINN

The 8th Chapter of First Samuel tells how the people of Israel wearied of personal responsibility and freedom. They said, "Make us a king to judge us like all the nations." And they put their trust in foreign, heathen deceivers who made their controls seem better than self-government under God's moral law.

In Dr. Melchior Paly's new book, "Compulsory Medical Care and The Welfare State," is a study of how modern nations have been similarly deceived. It was Bismarck who introduced worship of the new golden calf -- governmental old-age security, medical care and hospitalization. The German bureaucrats took the money from the people to build up the power of the Kaiser. Then they failed to give the care they promised.

The same device was used to build up power by Lloyd George in Britain, Franz Joseph in Austro-Hungary and Admiral Horthy in Hungary; King Alexander and Tito in Jugoslavia; by the Czar, Lenin and Stalin in Russia; Vargas, would-be-dictator in Brazil; Siazar, dictator in Bulgaria; Mussolini, Hitler, Franco and Peron; King Carol of Rumania; Dimitrov in Bulgaria; and Gottwald in Czechoslovakia. These are the modern megalomaniacs who promise to help the people. New or old, the promise of the tempters is the same: "Give us your money and we will manage everything." And the modern ones added: "You will have more doctors and nurses, hospitals and drugs to meet every need."

Paly shows the gross degeneration of the art of healing that sets in under compulsory management of doctors by the State. German physicians thought they would have more income, more professional opportunity, more chance for advanced study -- just what young doctors in our country are promised today. This was dream planning. There was no way to get it. The number of German patients for free treatment mounted. The State retaliated, as it always does by reducing the doctors' compensation. Some of the doctors organized a union, and strikes, lockouts and boycotts followed. The Government set wages, quotas of eligibility, and the right to enter the profession.

"The system has led to the unprecedented proletarianization of the German profession," says Paly. "Even under the stable monetary conditions of the late 1920's a doctor was paid, for consultation less than one-half of what a barber received for a haircut."

The same thing is now happening in Britain. Every dental operation is timed to the minute, and dentists are paid a standard fee for each. By these mass production techniques, dentists reaped a temporary harvest, but the Minister of Health has caught up with the bureaucrats' miscalculations, and arbitrary cuts in earnings have been ordered.

This is the story of compulsory social security, socialized housing, health insurance and compulsory medicine--everywhere. The "strong men" who promised the Hebrew people "to fight their battles" actually took from them freedom and self-government in the time of Samuel as co-called "strong men" have done ever since, and are doing now. America stands today in the same place as the Israelites a thousand years before Christ. The question is: Will we fight the sin within ourselves? Will we hold to the faith of our forefathers, who set up the constitutional Republic?

THE SPEAKER'S RACE



SCRIPTURE: Psalms 119:11; Acts 17:28-30; II Timothy 3:16-17.
 DEVOTIONAL READING: Luke 24:27-32.

Using Your Bible

Lesson for October 15, 1950

THE BIBLE must be approached in three ways: with the head, with the heart and with the will. With the head for understanding, the heart for appreciation and reverence, and the will for obedience. That was the first question Philip asked the Ethiopian: Do you understand what you are reading? Not do you enjoy it, or do you believe it, but do you understand it? If not, then the reader can neither fully believe nor rightly enjoy it.

The King James Version

THE BEST and simplest help in understanding the Bible is to read it in the language we speak. Now unfortunately it was not written in that tongue, but in Hebrew and Greek. We have to read it in translations. There are scores of translations in English alone.

The most widely sold of these is the one known by various names: the King James, or the Authorized, or the 1611, version. This was first published in 1611, being essentially a revision of the Bishop's Bible, a still earlier translation. The name "authorized" is misleading, however, for it never was authorized by church or state. It is a noble example of 17th century English and has had a wide influence wherever English-speaking people have gone.

The fact is, however, that people today do not speak 17th-century English any more, and very few of us even read it. Consequently the Bible, in this King James version, has a quaint Shakespearean sound, and the difficulty of the language is one of the main reasons why the average American today finds it hard to read.

Furthermore, the King James is inaccurate in many places. At the time it was published, the translators were working from Greek manuscripts which are now known not to be either the earliest or the best available. For these reasons, fresh translations of the Bible were greatly needed.

20th Century Translations

THESE modern-English translations of the Bible haven't changed the Book; they've simply helped the reader to get back to the ideas of the original apostles and prophets; they are putting the Bible again into the language people actually speak.

Some of the more important versions may be noted here. Practically all the churches of Protestant North America have been co-operating through the International Council of Religious Education, in producing what is called the "Revised Standard Version" of which the New Testament is already out, and very popular too. The whole Bible in this version is due to be off the press by September 1952.

This is an excellent version for church or liturgical use, as it keeps close to the King James rhythm wherever it can; for the King James version, whatever its faults, has a rhythm about parts of it (notably the Psalms) which has never been surpassed.

Two other translations have been before the public, and have won many friends, for a score of years now: Moffat's, and the American Translation by Goodspeed, J.M.P. Smith and others. (This latter includes the Apocrypha, to which most Protestants are strangers.)

At One Sitting

THE average reader, used to taking his Bible in snippets of a verse or two, or a chapter at most, at one time, will find that he can sit down with one of these modern translations and just read on and on, fascinated by the book he has discovered for the first time.

One of the "hardest" yet most important parts of the Bible, for instance, is the Epistles section of the New Testament. Every one of these epistles is a short letter, but how few persons ever read one straight through! Let the reader who is puzzled by the Epistles get a copy of "Letters to Young Churches," a translation by J. B. Phillips, and he will find that dark part of the New Testament simply opening up and shining.

The work of translating will go on and on as long as language keeps changing; let us thank God for all those who in our time have been making the Word of God again an open book for all men.

Raleigh, N. C. Oct. 8.—Technically, the congressional district rallies are being held to promote peace and harmony within the Democratic party and to inspire party members to roll up a record Democratic vote in the November 7 election.

State leaders and officeholders are journeying over the state for these sessions, and are putting their arms around each other to sing of party harmony -- for public consumption, at least.

But back of the scenes -- in smoke-filled rooms and on wind-swept corners -- the boys are trying to get their ducks in a row for a conservative-liberal fight that will get into full swing the day after election, Nov. 8.

Some of the maneuvering, in fact, makes you wonder if they really know there is a general election coming up in less than a month.

Out of the first week's tour -- with big rallies at Sylva, Marion and Morganton -- comes an indication that the conservatives are getting jumpy. The public touting of Bill Umstead of Durham as "the next governor" is an indication of this. The first mention of Umstead in this vein came after an indication at Sylva that Governor Scott, titular head of the party and leader of the liberals, is gaining in popularity.

The tooting of Umstead's name in the gubernatorial pot was done by Monroe Redden, 12th district congressman, at Sylva. Redden was introduced by Umstead -- for no apparent reason. The reason showed up when Redden began to talk. He called Umstead the next governor, and pledged his support.

The heaving of Umstead's hat in the ring caused some raised eyebrows. Although the Durham man has been repeatedly mentioned as a conservative entry, it's a long time until the race starts for the next governor. Some folks remembered Charlie Johnson's failure being attributed to too early a start. Some folks wondered if Umstead wasn't being thrown to the wolves; others thought his backers were trying to sound out opinion as to the strength of the old east-west tradition (Umstead being a definite eastern man); and some folks were wondering how the conservatives would counteract their own claims last spring that Umstead had failed to run against Graham because of a "deal" with Scott.

Despite Umstead's host of friends on both sides of the fence in the Democratic party, some of the liberals believe that he would be the easiest of any conservative candidate to beat. Having lost once (being beaten by Broughton), and with his failure to run for the Senate against Graham because of ill health -- despite the stories -- they feel that Umstead would have trouble getting a majority.

Meantime, others mentioned as gubernatorial candidates in 1952 were much in evidence on the district rally circuit. Secretary of State Thad Eure had a red face and a ready answer when the AP carried a report that he had announced his intention of running for governor. Somebody just got the wrong idea from some kidding that was going on, Eure said. That flasco is being tabbed by some as a trial balloon. Others think it was just what Eure said, a slip. Even if Thad decides to run -- and it's no secret that he would like to be governor -- his friends think the hand-shaking Secretary of State has too much political savvy to come out this early.

Others mentioned in the governor's derby -- Agriculture Commissioner Stag Ballentine, Highway Commission Chairman Henry Jordan, and State Treasurer Brandon Hodges -- are keeping quiet on the tour, at least they're having nothing to say for public consumption.

The clique in Raleigh that predicted and hoped for an unbalanced budget are worried. Instead of a deficit, that they hoped would discredit Kerr Scott's administration, a surplus is certain for the biennium. So just as soon as the election is over, they're planning a big anti-administration campaign.

It's not that they mind being proven wrong as much as it is that they fear the gaining popularity of the Governor, and even his severest critics admit that Kerr Scott right now is riding high in the minds of a big share of Tar Heel voters.

Battle lines are being drawn, but the ironical fact is that it isn't just on two fronts. In the early stages there apparently will be about four camps jockeying for position in the coming fight for political control -- and the Governorship. After the General Assembly is over, and the cards are on the table, there likely will be a consolidation move.

The big question right now -- and one that his opponents would love to see answered -- is "will the Scott administration back a candidate?" Up to now the Governor has been tending to his own knitting and been an onlooker in the preliminary skirmishes. Several obvious attempts have been made to get the Governor to take a position.

From Washington via Raleigh comes word that Selective Service is seriously considering establishment of draft deferments for essential occupations in agriculture. Farm labor already a critical item in some areas, is expected to be on the short side throughout the Nation come spring. The U. S. Department of Agriculture already is considering a list of proposed essential occupations. This is not expected to be put into effect until after the age limit is upped, however. Actually, the established

Ben Roney and Private Secretary John Marshall were (1) promoting D. Hixon Ramsey of Asheville and (2) pushing Highway Commissioner Jordan for the 1952 Governorship race, brought only a chuckle from the Governor.

Meanwhile, the opposition grows and Kerr Scott's popularity increases with the people. His gains, believe it or not, are not confined to original Scott supporters.

During the last month at least three boards of county commissioners have passed resolutions endorsing Scott's road program, and his drive for more rural electrification and telephones has grown into one of the most potent political weapons in North Carolina political history.

Republican Gavin could have rolled up quite a vote, possibly won the election, with a different sort of campaign. A great number of Democrats in the State, who supported Frank Graham, were bitter about the type of campaign waged against the former UNC president. A lot of them were talking about voting Republican, others about writing in Graham's name on the ballot, and still others about not voting at all.

A non-partisan campaign, such as Gavin advertised, with an appeal to vote against bigotry could have put a Republican in the Senate for the first time in many years.

But Gavin apparently got some bum advice from somewhere and has used practically all of his speeches to cuss the Democratic administration, both State and National.

Despite this, there still is a strong possibility -- from reports from over the State -- that the Republicans will run up their biggest vote in years this November.

If they do, it will be the fault of the conservatives -- winners in the primary -- who still are on the defensive about their campaign methods and are trying to discredit the State administration via a whispering campaign that is going on right now.

If State Chairman Jordan and his cohorts don't change their tactics, and start really working for a united Democratic front November 7, there still is a reasonable possibility -- and it's in the miracle class if it does happen -- that Sanford's E. L. Gavin could be the next U. S. Senator from North Carolina.

Willis Smith's failure to show up at the Alamance County YDC rally last Friday evening didn't help things.

The rally was deliberately staged at Governor Scott's Haw River farm in an effort to boost Smith's stock. Alamance is about evenly split between Democrats and Republicans, and some observers see a likelihood that the county's vote could go to GOP standard-bearer E. L. Gavin of Sanford, despite the Governor's personal popularity.

Around the fringes of the crowd of some 6,000 who ate barbecue and listened to the speeches, there was a distinct tone of disapproval of Smith's failure to be on hand. Smith sent a telegram of regret, stating that he had a previous engagement with the State Bar Association at Pinehurst. From the reports of the bar meeting, Smith's duty was to introduce the main speaker at the bar association's annual banquet. The senator-nominee was defended on all sides for not appearing, but there were a lot of folks present who seemed to think that it was more important to carry Alamance County for the Democrats than it was to put on a dress suit and introduce an outsider at a banquet.

All of which comes back to this: the conservatives are in charge of the Democratic campaign. The liberals, who fought them bitterly in the primary, are calling for a united front and a big Democratic vote for Smith. Governor Scott and defeated Senator Frank Graham are doing all they can to assure the party of a bigger-than-ever majority in the general election.

If the vote falls off, with the Republicans getting more than a normal 21 to 30% of the ballots, it will be the conservative faction which is to blame -- no matter how hard they may try to pin it on the Scott-Graham-Daniels group.

First things should come first, but State Democratic Chairman Everette Jordan, Willis Smith and fellow conservatives apparently consider the election in the bag and not worth worrying about. They seem to be more interested in a victory in the 1952 gubernatorial campaign.

Reporters have been unable to find State employees who can prove they were put on the spot for a campaign fund shakedown, but the usual story of someone coming by with a list of names and a "suggested" amount for the employees to give is going around.

Scott forces were charged by the conservatives with doing the same thing during the road-bond election campaign and the senatorial primary. This time the shoe's on the other foot, with the conservatives (or Old Guard) boys being accused of asking for the money.

There hasn't been an election in recent years that the same charge hasn't been made.

Some folks say it shouldn't be done; others say that the jobholders wouldn't be working -- or should I say drawing pay -- if it wasn't for the Democratic party, so they should be happy to donate to the cause.

Workers should be chosen for their ability, not for their political connections, and should be free to donate or not as they see fit. But that's just a beautiful dream.

Dr. H. W. Colwell
 OPTOMETRIST
 Eyes Examined, Glasses Fitted
 Next Door to Carver
 Christ Church

INSPIRATION
Inner Peace

AN EDITORIAL
 NEW HUMAN BEINGS are impatient to the stress and tension of modern life. It is not easy to keep aloof from the tears and anxieties which prey upon mankind in general. There are times when the world's crimes, follies and stupidities seem too much for the wisest and strongest of us.

It is necessary, therefore, for men to have some refuge where, at times, they may run away from the world in order to return strengthened and reinvigorated.

Where is this refuge to be found? It lies in a man's own soul -- in that deep, inner consciousness where he may commune with God, finding in prayer sources of new courage and strength.

Christ Himself many times sought isolation and silence to escape the opportunities and pressures of the world. In the presence of His Father, His troubles and confusion faded away and He found Himself with a renewed peace and uplift of spirit.

To God only may men go for asylum from the hates, worries, pressures and fears of life. His is the sole consolation that will ease the pain of suffering, revive the wounded mind, restore the peace and happiness of which the world too often robs the individual.

There are those who comprehend the serenity and quiet endurance which can be found in communion with God. In hours of trial, the peace and meditation is what things go well, and in hours of sorrow, they find their need for God. They are deeply concerned about the peace of the world, and they are not of the world. It is not that they are not of the world, but that they are not of the world's ways. They are of the world of God, and they are of the world of peace.

Palatine Guard . . . This man is a member of the Palatine Guard, now celebrating its 100th anniversary. The Guard was established by Pope Pius IX in 1850. Membership is restricted to Romans because they defend their bishop, the pope, who is the bishop of Rome. Guards, who serve without pay, come from a variety of occupations, from university professors to manual laborers.

Palatine Guard . . . This man is a member of the Palatine Guard, now celebrating its 100th anniversary. The Guard was established by Pope Pius IX in 1850. Membership is restricted to Romans because they defend their bishop, the pope, who is the bishop of Rome. Guards, who serve without pay, come from a variety of occupations, from university professors to manual laborers.

Plan Bible Films For Television
 HOLLYWOOD--Plans for a series of Bible films for television use were announced here by Dr. Louis H. Evans, pastor of Hollywood Presbyterian church.

Production of the films will be financed by the Alexander Robert Currie broadcasting fund, established by Alexander Robert Currie, who died last year, for spreading Christianity through radio or television across the nation.

Religion Question Box
 Q: Who were the Brothers of the Free Spirit?
 A: A fanatical sect which sprang up along the Rhine early in the 12th century and spread into France, Switzerland, Italy, and the Netherlands. They promulgated gnostic doctrines and were accused of immoral conduct.

Q: What Jewish festivals are known as the Pilgrimage Festivals?
 A: Passover, Shabuoth and Succoth. During the time of the Temple, the Jews came on these festivals from all parts of the country to offer up their sacrifices in the Temple at Jerusalem.

Q: What is a Beatitude?
 A: The answer of the Pope or of a Sacred Congregation in Rome to a question or a petition.

Q: What is Salvation?
 A: A state of freedom from sin and its consequences; escaping God's wrath at the final judgment.

Q: What is the Holy Shroud?
 A: The linen in which the body of Christ was wrapped in the tomb.

WILLIAMS' FIBRINOL
 Billy Graham
 Underlies - Bloodlines
 Antibiotics - Bacteria
 Kill - Cancer - Cells
 Kill - Cancer - Cells