

The Reidsville Review.

THE STATESVILLE LANDMARK SHOWS WEBSTER'S WEEKLY UP IN BAD LIGHT

The Landmark, as its readers know, has not taken a rank hold in the Senatorial campaign. While it favors the candidacy of Gov. Kitchin, it is a newspaper and not an organ and does not devote all its space and time to boosting the fortunes of any individual. While it is not in agreement with Senator Simmons it has been fair to him and has gone out of its way to say a good word for Judge Clark when he thought he was unjustly assailed. It went out of its way within the past few months to say that it did not take seriously certain charges made against Senator Simmons, for which it received a letter of thanks from the Senator. The attitude of the paper is not a matter of policy, but preference.

The Landmark strenuously opposed Senator Simmons when he was a candidate for the Senate the first time and earnestly supported Gen. Carr. It has never been a supporter of the Senator, for reasons entirely satisfactory to itself, but it has commended him when we thought he deserved commendation and expects to continue to do so. While disagreeing with him entirely in his first Lorimer vote, this paper gave him credit for honesty. It criticized his second Lorimer vote, because he seemed to run away from his position after a storm was raised.

The Landmark opposed Governor Kitchin when he was a candidate for Governor four years ago because it preferred Mr. Craig. In this contest it prefers Gov. Kitchin to Senator Simmons. It has criticized the Governor and expects to do so again whenever it disagrees with him. Also, as is well known, this paper has been a strong opponent of Judge Clark.

All this for the benefit of Webster's Weekly and any others interested. Webster's Weekly, which keeps a file of its exchanges and on occasions publishes past utterances of its contemporaries which it thinks will confuse or confound them, has recently published several extracts from The Landmark complimentary to Senator Simmons and in criticism of Gov. Kitchin, which were calculated, if not intended, to give the impression that this paper had changed its attitude toward Senator Simmons. The Weekly is good enough to say The Landmark is a fair paper. It tries to be. If it wanted to be unfair it could say with truth that it was in company with the Weekly in 1909 in championing Gen. Carr and fighting Simmons. But that would not be fair, for the Weekly has changed editors since that time. Our contemporary will pardon us, however, for saying that it has not been exactly fair to The Landmark. While publishing the complimentary things this paper has said of Senator Simmons, and which it has no desire to retract, it did not publish the criticisms this paper has made of the Senator's record. If the Weekly will examine the file of The Landmark during the period the Payne-Aldrich tariff bill was under consideration, in 1909, it will find numerous criticisms of the Senator's tariff votes, which Governor Kitchin has since criticized. For instance, The Landmark charged, when the Senator was advocating a duty on cotton seed oil, that he was standing for protection pure and simple.

The Landmark has not changed its views about the Senator's attitude on the tariff. Therefore it is

supporting Gov. Kitchin, with whose tariff views it is in agreement, and because it believes he is the equal any man in the State in character and ability.

The readers of this paper who have kept track of its views know its position. This statement is made for the benefit of any interested who might be misled by misrepresentations through failure to state all the facts.—Statesville Landmark.

REPUBLICANS FAVOR SIMMONS:

After copying what Bryan said about Simmons, the Wilkes Patriot (Republican) says:

"We doubt if the above from William Jennings Bryan's paper, the Commoner, can defeat Mr. Simmons in North Carolina. It is an undeniable fact that there is a strong and rapidly growing sentiment in this State for protection and the votes Mr. Simmons gave for protection against the majority of the Democrats of the Senate show that he knows the sentiment of this State. It will be only a question of time, as our great manufacturing interests increase, when this State will be strong for protection. Governor Kitchin is making his fight against Senator Simmons upon his record favoring protection, and as the fight is to be settled by the Democrats alone, we cannot predict the result, but if all the voters of the State could participate in the election, including the Republicans, the record of Senator Simmons for protection would be endorsed by a large majority."

The Elkin Times, also Republican, says:

"The Republicans cannot elect the United States Senator, but if Simmons is elected it will be a triumph for Republican policies, and these policies will be safe with such men as Simmons in the Senate."

WILSON MAKES AN APPEAL FOR A DEMOCRATIC TEAM.

Here are the last words we will quote from Woodrow Wilson in this campaign. Apply this to North Carolina and think of the significance of his words:

"Do not elect me captain unless you are going to give me a team. For if I am captain and either of these Republican scrub teams is put alongside of me I cannot do anything at all. What I leave with you, therefore, is this suggestion: It is a team or nothing. Is that a bargain? You will go back on me, you will go back on your Governor, if you vote for me and do not give me a team. Therefore, my bargain, my exhortation to you today is, go to the polls and vote by this rule, either give him a team or vote for somebody else."

Simmons is not in harmony with Wilson's policies. Kitchin is. Give Wilson a Senator from North Carolina who will work with him—and who will not tie his hands and prevent him from pulling through Progressive Democratic measures.

Give Wilson his team, Mr. Democrat. Don't give him a balder or a quitter. Give him Kitchin, who is a Progressive of Progressives.

Five sisters of charity gave their lives to save their charges, one little orphan was burned to death and another is missing in a fire which practically destroyed St. Jones' Orphanage at San Antonio Wednesday.

SIMMONS' FRIENDS ADMIT DEFEAT BY BIDDING FOR REPUBLICAN ASSISTANCE

The action of the State Democratic Committee, controlled absolutely by the friends of Senator Simmons, on October 24th, amounts to a confession of certain defeat awaiting him at hands of Democrats, and the calling out of the Simmons Reserves of Mugwumps and Republicans, in a last and desperate hope to turn the tide of battle. Even this shocking and outrageous maneuver can not turn defeat into victory, as the patriotic Democratic manhood of the State will refuse to have their wishes and votes overridden and nullified by such machine methods.

While the new rule may bring to the Senator's aid some who are now fighting Woodrow Wilson and other Democratic nominees, it will disgust thousands of Democrats who have heretofore believed he was seeking his nomination at the hands of Democrats only, and they will turn upon him with righteous indignation and administer with their ballots a crushing rebuke for this bold attempt to Republicanize the Democratic primary, at the expense of Woodrow Wilson and other Democratic nominees, down to township officers.

Under the new rule, made by Senator Simmons' friends, a person may actively oppose Woodrow Wilson and other Democratic nominees and still, by merely refraining from voting against Woodrow Wilson or such nominees, vote in the primary and have a voice in the selection of a Democratic Senator. If Senator Simmons and his friends who supported this rule, among whom are many of his most active and influential friends in the State, and notably Mr. A. D. Watts, believe that the Democrats of North Carolina are going to humbly submit to this kick by the bosses and to such an outrageous sacrifice of the interests of Woodrow Wilson and other nominees of the party, in the interest of the machine politicians and Senator Simmons, they will wake up to the fact, on November 5th, that brave and courageous Democrats will not stand for such use of the party machinery, and such sacrifice of the interest of other Democratic nominees, for the purpose of saving Senator Simmons from defeat.

Lorimer, upon whom Senator Simmons conferred a Peerage, was elected by a corrupt coalition of Democrats and Republicans, but his election has been repudiated by the Senate and the Nation. And the Democrats of North Carolina will not stand for this last move on the part of the Simmons' machine to secure his nomination, by the help of Republicans and Mugwumps. The Democrats are going to nominate their own Senator, and they are going to nominate a man who has always voted with his party in season, who has never catered to Republican favor, and who in this contest has defied the political machine, and puts his faith in people instead of in the bosses, W. W. Kitchin.

It has been plain from the outset, and has been daily made clearer by the preaching of the Republican doctrine of protection by Senator Simmons and his manager, that they were looking for aid from the Republicans and Mugwumps, and that the bars would be thrown down for them to step over. The Committee, controlled by friends of Senator Simmons, has now flung down a challenge to the Democrats of the State, in a spirit of "now help yourselves if you can." But the Democrats can and will help themselves, and the Senator's friends will wish they had not dared them to do it, for they will see the seed sown by them springing up all over North Carolina as dragons' teeth, to destroy them!

On October 3d, Hon. Chas. A. Webb, State Chairman, recommended to the Managers for the Senatorial candidates a rule that was fair to all and which properly protected the Democratic nominees. All three managers agreed in writing to this rule, and when the Committee met, everybody assumed that the meeting was purely a formal one for the purpose of ratifying this agreement by the managers.

Why did the friends of Senator Simmons, on the eve of the primary, when they knew it would be impossible to reach all the voters with a statement of the facts, exposing the responsibility for such action, defeat this resolution proposed by the State Chairman, and agreed to by the managers of the Senatorial candidates, and adopt a resolution which is an open invitation to those who are opposed to Woodrow Wilson and other Democratic nominees to come into the Democratic household and have a voice in deciding our family differences?

It must have been because they were staring defeat in the face and knew Gov-

ernor Kitchin would certainly be nominated unless they could defeat him with Republican votes.

I want the Democrats of North Carolina to know that the rule adopted was upon a roll-call vote, supported by only one friend of Governor Kitchin, while it was supported by twenty-nine friends of Senator Simmons. And I want every Democratic township, county and State candidate to know that every time he is scratched at the polls on November 5th, he must hold Senator Simmons and his friends alone responsible; and if Democratic candidates in close counties fear defeat, I want them to know that Governor Kitchin and his friends on the Committee did all they could to prevent the sacrifice of their candidacy in the interest of Senator Simmons' candidacy.

The issue is drawn clearly and squarely by the friends of Senator Simmons, to wit: Shall we nominate a Democratic Senator by Democratic votes, in a Democratic primary; or will the Democrats of North Carolina submit to the nomination of a Democratic Senator by a coalition of Democrats, Mugwumps, and Republicans, in a primary where the interest of every other candidate, from President down, has been sacrificed to further the interests of Senator Simmons' candidacy?

That is the challenge flung down to the Democrats by the friends of Senator Simmons. 100,000 brave, patriotic Democrats, wearing no boss's collar, will accept the challenge and go forth to battle and to victory on election day for a pure primary and the nomination of a Progressive Democrat, W. W. Kitchin, who has never known defeat and who can not be defeated by any such machine and boss methods, or by any attempted fusion between Democrats and Republicans.

To your tents! oh! Democrats, to your tents! and make ready for a Kitchin victory at the Battle of Simmons.

F. R. MCNINCH,
State Manager for W. W. Kitchin.

JAMES S. SHERMAN, VICE-PRESIDENT, IS DEAD.

Utica, N. Y., Oct. 30.—Vice-President James S. Sherman died at his home here tonight. Members of his immediate family were all present.

Not since early this morning when his attending physician made the final announcement of his inability to do more for relief than had been done was there the least probability of saving the patient's life, or even of prolonging it for any considerable time. It had been the doctor's hope up to that time that he might so stimulate the kidneys as to cause them to resume their functions, but when he was compelled to acknowledge failure in this respect, he surrendered, frankly acknowledging that the fight was lost. Since then he devoted his efforts to keeping his patient quiet and preventing suffering. His constant fear was that the uremic poison in his patient's system would cause convulsions which would result in instant death.

Dr. Peck was with his patient during the greater part of the day, but did little for him beyond hypodermic injection of morphine.

Due both to the presence of excessive uremic acid and to the sedatives employed Mr. Sherman laid in a state of coma throughout the greater part of the day.

Dr. Peck attributed the crisis in the case entirely to the failure of the kidneys to operate. This condition resulted in filling the system with a virulent poison, which rapidly destroyed the patient's vitality. The poison transformed the hitherto ruddy complexion of the Vice-President's face to a dark purple. All day long the Sherman home and the local newspaper offices were deluged with inquiries by telegraph and telephone concerning the condition of the Vice-President.

Mr. Sherman's rapid decline in health dated from August 21, when he was formally notified of his nomination as Vice-President, according to Dr. Peck. He was warned that the exertion incident to the ceremonies might have an ill effect, but insisted that the program as arranged be carried out.

"You may know all about medicine," Mr. Sherman told his physician when he urged him to arrange for a brief and informal notification, "but you don't know about politics."

Tonight, if you feel dull and stupid, or bilious and constipated, take a dose of Chamberlain's Tablets, and you will feel all right tomorrow. Sold by all dealers.

Walk a block or two, save a dollar or two and trade at Harvey's.

ONLY DEMOCRATS CAN VOTE IN THE SENATORIAL PRIMARY, SAYS BICKETT

Speaking of the recent action of the State executive committee on the Senatorial primary the Attorney-General said: "Well, I know I have in good faith abstained from participating in the Senatorial contest, but I feel that the Democratic party has a vital interest in this question. Personally I would have been glad if the committee had expressed the opinion that a man MUST vote the Presidential, Congressional and Legislative ticket before voting in the primary. The prevailing sentiment in the committee seemed to be that with respect to scratching all candidates should be treated alike—the big and the little should be placed on the same footing—that it would be unjust and unwise to force a man to vote the top of the ticket and allow him to scratch the bottom. The net result that it deduces from the OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE is that a man may scratch his ticket and it makes no difference for what office the man he scratches is running.

But the POINTION of the committee (and mark you it professes to be nothing but an opinion) must be construed in connection with the MANDATE OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION. That MANDATE is tant before an elector can vote in the Senatorial primary he "SHALL have voted the DEMOCRATIC TICKET." Then Rule 6 empowers to State executive committee to pass rules and regulations "NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS HEREIN SET FORTH."

It follows as the night the day that the committee is wholly without power to abrogate the basic requirement that to vote in the Senatorial primary one shall have voted the Democratic ticket. It is to be assumed the committee intended its opinion to be considered in connection with this fundamental requirement.

Therefore, construing the OPINION of the committee and the MANDATE of the constitution together and giving due effect to both, my conclusion is that it is the plain duty of the primary pollholders to require a man before he votes in the Senatorial primary to vote the Democratic ticket generally from top to bottom, but allow him to scratch such nominees as may be objectionable to him. In a word, he must vote for DEMOCRACY, but he may scratch individuals. He is, and should be allowed, to scratch PERSONS, but he is not and should not be allowed to scratch THE PARTY. A man may scratch any number of individuals who may be objectionable to him and still in good faith vote the Democratic ticket, but if he scratches the TICKET or refuses to vote it BECAUSE IT IS A DEMOCRATIC TICKET, then in no sense can he be said to have voted the Democratic ticket and under the law of the constitution he can not participate in the primary.

It ought to be easy for the pollholders to enforce this interpretation of the mandate of the constitution, and the opinion of the committee.

Each candidate will be represented by one pollholder, and if it appears to them that a man has in good faith voted the Democratic ticket, although he may have scratched any number of names on that ticket that are objectionable to him, he should be allowed to vote in the primary, but if it appears that his opposition is not to the nominees, but to the ticket itself, because it IS A

DEMOCRATIC TICKET, and he votes for a number of people on the ticket for the purpose of qualifying himself to vote in the Senatorial primary, this would be an attempt to perpetrate a fraud upon the party, an attempt to name the nominees of the party by people not in sympathy with the purposes of the party and ought not and under the law of the constitution cannot be tolerated."

In a letter to a Thomasville gentleman, Chairman C. A. Webb makes it plain that the Senatorial primary is a Democratic affair, and that only Democrats should be permitted to vote therein. We append just one extract from Mr. Webb's letter:

"Further answering your letter, I feel it my duty to say that this Senatorial primary is to be a Democratic primary. Only electors who shall have voted the Democratic ticket should be permitted to participate in it. It is to be determined by Democratic voters and no persons except those who vote the Democratic ticket on November 5 should expect to or be allowed to take part in it."

FIFTEEN MILLIONS ARE TO CAST VOTES ON TUESDAY

Reidsville and Rockingham county will furnish their quota of the 15,000,000 people who will record their votes for President in the election to be held on Tuesday of the coming week. According to figures gathered by the Bureau of Statistics, upwards of 1,400,000 women, in the States of California, Colorado, Idaho, Washington, Utah and Wyoming, are eligible to vote.

By no means all the men of twenty-one in the country are qualified voters, for the varying laws of the States not only bar convictions in prisons, in most cases, but place other restrictions on suffrage that become exceedingly important in Presidential years.

As nearly every State bars convicts from voting and there are 150,000 convicts in the prisons of the country, election day will mean nothing to a whole army of them.

Nearly all the States penalize pauperism, idiocy, insanity and felony by taking away the voting privilege. In addition, a number of the States bar delinquent taxpayers from the right of suffrage.

United States soldiers, sailors and marines are not qualified to vote in Arkansas, Indiana, Iowa, South Dakota, Texas and New Mexico.

Florida and Wisconsin place a ban on "duellists" and Idaho and Mississippi deny the right of voting to bigamists and polygamists. A voter in Wyoming must be able to read the State Constitution in the English language. In Maine, Michigan, Montana, South Dakota and Washington, special restrictions are placed on the voting privileges of Indians.

Only a Fire Hero

but the crowd cheered, as, with burned hands, he held up a small round box. "Fellows," he shouted, "this Bucklen's Arnica Salve I hold has everything beat for burns." Right also for boils, ulcers, sores, pimples, eczema, cuts, sprains, bruises. Surest pile cure. It subdues inflammation, kills pain. Only 25 cents at Fetzner & Tucker's and the Gardner Drug Co's.

Now is the time to subscribe.

It's Such a Comfort



To know that when you send your clothes to the laundry, they will come back done up just right—snow-white. Do you feel that way about your laundry? If not, we would like you to try ours. We know if you do you will always have that safe feeling about your laundry, and be pleased with it in every respect.

Phone for the wagon—No. 223-L.

STAR LAUNDRY CO.

Hester Bros., Agents, Reidsville.

Package can be left at Mrs. J. N. Hester's residence

You Work Hard

For Your Money. Why Not Put Some Of It To Work For You?

By adjusting living expenses so that the SAVINGS FUND will get its share you will build a surplus that will, in time, pay you a nice income.

Why not start NOW to CREATE A SURPLUS at this bank? Interest paid on your deposits will help wonderfully in doing so.

CITIZENS BANK.

Capital \$75,000. Profits \$40,000

R. L. WATT, Resident. A. J. WHITTEMORE, V.P.

EUGENE IRVIN, Cashier.

You Work Hard

For Your Money. Why Not Put Some Of It To Work For You?

By adjusting living expenses so that the SAVINGS FUND will get its share you will build a surplus that will, in time, pay you a nice income.

Why not start NOW to CREATE A SURPLUS at this bank? Interest paid on your deposits will help wonderfully in doing so.

CITIZENS BANK.

Capital \$75,000. Profits \$40,000

R. L. WATT, Resident. A. J. WHITTEMORE, V.P.

EUGENE IRVIN, Cashier.