

**THE YANCEY RECORD**  
 BURNSVILLE NORTH CAROLINA  
 ESTABLISHED 1936  
 EDWARD A. YUZIUK - EDITOR & PUBLISHER  
 CAROLYN R. YUZIUK - ASSOCIATE EDITOR  
 ARCHIE BALLEW - PHOTOGRAPHER & PRESSMAN  
 JERRY McGUIRE - ADVERTISING MANAGER  
 MISS PATSY BRIGGS - OFFICE MANAGER  
 PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY BY  
 YANCEY PUBLISHING COMPANY  
 SECOND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT BURNSVILLE, N. C.  
 THURSDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1969 NUMBER SIXTY-FIVE  
 SUBSCRIPTION RATES \$3.00/YEAR  
 OUT OF COUNTY \$5.00/YEAR

**SENATOR  
 SAM ERVIN  
 SAYS**



WASHINGTON- As Congress begins the final month of the current legislative session, the major problem continues to be the enactment of appropriations bills.

Only five of the thirteen regular money bills have been sent to the President for the fiscal year which began July 1. Federal departments have been operating for months under continuing resolutions which permit agencies whose appropriations have not yet been enacted to continue operation at the same level as last year. The five regular money bills which have cleared Congress are those which fund public works, independent agencies, and the Treasury, Post Office, Interior, and Agriculture Departments. Two other appropriations are in Senate-House conferences. These are bills providing monies for the State-Justice-Commerce Departments and Congress itself. The military construction and District of Columbia money bills are now on the Senate Calendar, but the tax reforms bill under debate has delayed action on them.

Three appropriation bills are still awaiting House action. Under Congressional protocol, the Senate must defer action on them until the House acts. Two of these measures are highly controversial. These are the Defense Department appropriation, which constitutes the largest single item in the fiscal 1970 budget, and the foreign-aid appropriation. In recent years, the foreign aid bill has often delayed adjournment.

One other appropriation bill may become the center of controversy in the remaining days of the session. This is the HEW money bill which provides Federal aid for public school desegregation. As written by the House, HEW funds shall not be used to force Southern schools to integrate on penalty of losing Federal funds. Whether this House provision will remain in the bill is uncertain. Previous House actions dealing with this subject have come to a standstill in the Senate. This year, however, with Federal decrees requiring more and more bussing of students in to non-neighborhood schools, the public is intensely interested in the problem. As the readers of this column know, I recently offered a resolution to permit freedom of choice by parents and students in the selection of the public school they attend.

The appropriations logjam has raised questions as to whether Congress can complete action on many other bills. These include the tax reform bill, social security benefits, the poverty program, aid to elementary and secondary schools, the airport-airways program, revision of the welfare laws, crime control bills, electoral-college reform, job training programs, and a multitude of the other Administration programs.

The prospects are good that Congress will move more rapidly on several of these bills. Even so, I would anticipate that when the Senate completes action on essential appropriation bills, there will not be enough time at this session to clear the calendar of all the pending major bills. While this virtually assures that Congress will have a full agenda to deal with at the opening of the next session, the delay on some of these measures may have some virtue. Any good legislation requires thorough consideration before it becomes a law.

**NC Travel Industry Lucrative**

Travel is North Carolina's third largest industry. In 1968, thirty-six million visitors toured North Carolina and while here spent approximately \$696 million.

**straight talk**

By Tom Anderson



**THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES—Part VII**

WE HAVE ALL BUT ABANDONED THE ETERNAL PRINCIPLES THAT MADE THIS COUNTRY GREAT: RELIGION AND MORALITY.

According to "Christianity Today," October 13, 1967, Western Reserve sociologist Jeffrey Hadden contacted 10,000 Protestant clergymen in the United States, asking them what they believed. Of the 10,000 inquiries, there were 7,441 replies. Tabulated results of this poll of 7,441 Protestant ministers is the most alarming survey I have read in many years.

The ministers were asked the question: "Do you believe in Jesus' physical resurrection from the grave in the same sense that you believe that Abraham Lincoln was assassinated?" In other words, do you believe that Jesus' resurrection is an historical fact? Fifty-one percent of the Methodist ministers in the United States said they could not accept Jesus' resurrection from the grave as historical fact. Thirty percent of the Episcopal priests of America could not accept it, nor could 35 percent of the United Presbyterian preachers, 33 percent of the American Baptist preachers, 13 percent of the American Lutheran preachers, and 7 percent of the Missouri Synod Lutheran ministers.

When asked if they believed in the virgin birth of Jesus Christ as a biological miracle, 60 percent of America's Methodist preachers said emphatically, "no," as did 44 percent of the Episcopal priests, 49 percent of the Presbyterian ministers, 44 percent of the Baptist clergymen, and 5 percent of the Lutheran ministers in the Missouri Synod along with 19 percent of the American Lutheran ministers.

When asked if they believed in Satan and in the existence of demonic evil powers, 62 percent of the Methodist preachers said they did not believe in Satan or evil, as did 37 percent of the Episcopal priests, 47 percent of the Presbyterian clergy, 33 percent of the American Baptists, 14 percent of the American Lutherans and 9 percent of the Missouri Synod Lutherans.

But, the most alarming result of this poll was this: When asked if they believed that the

Bible is the inspired word of God, that is, if they personally accepted a nearly literal interpretation of the Bible, 82 percent of the Methodist preachers rejected the inspiration of the Bible, as did 89 percent of the Episcopal priests, 81 percent of the Presbyterian clergy, 57 percent of the American Baptist clergy, 57 percent of the American Lutheran clergy.

Not only have such misguided churchmen, traitors or Communist plants, as the case may be, been able to sell the big lie that the basis of Christianity is Marxism, but they have been successful in turning many ill-informed Americans against our fundamentalist Christians and anti-Communists.

In sworn testimony concerning activities of the party (Communist) in the field of religion, Mr. Manning Johnson, former member of the Communist Party, USA, stated: "The plan is to make the seminaries the neck of a funnel through which thousands of potential clergymen would issue forth carrying with them, in varying degrees, an ideology and slant which would aid in neutralizing the anti-Communist character of the church and also to use the clergy to spearhead important Communist projects. This project was successful beyond even Communist expectation."

This great patriot, a Negro, died mysteriously a few years later.

J. Edgar Hoover warned: "Many Communist fronts have operated under the guise of some church commission or religious body. IT IS GHASTLY TO SEE THE MONSTER ATHEISM BEING NOURISHED IN THE CHURCHES WHICH IT SEEKS TO DESTROY. . . . Any minister who cannot find in his Bible sufficient arguments for the cause of liberty and social justice, who has to borrow the double-talk of the Communists, is in the wrong profession and should be carefully watched by his official superiors and his congregation."

Of course, all atheists are not Communists; but all Communists are atheists.—American Way Features

*The Manion Forum*

By Marilyn Manion

**NEW MORALITY BREEDS VIOLENCE**



*"Colleges are not churches, clinics, or even parents. Whether or not a student burns a draft card, participates in a civil rights march, engages in premarital or extramarital sexual activity, becomes pregnant, attends church, sleeps all day or drinks all night, is not really the concern of an educational institution."*

Are these the words of a young radical? No, indeed. The paragraph above is an excerpt from the inaugural address of Lewis B. Mayhew when he was named president of the American Association of Higher Education. His attitude is shared by many modern educators.

Might this outlook have produced our present-day campus crisis? One recent guest on the Manion Forum radio program thinks so. Dr. John A. Howard, President of Rockford College in Rockford, Illinois, was interviewed by Dean Clarence Manion. When asked what factors he thought served to promote campus violence, he answered this way:

"One of the problems is that we have had increasingly outspoken commitments to violence on the part of members of different academic communities. I took part in a discussion a year ago in New York, and on the panel was one of the officers of the Columbia University student body. The student panel was explaining why students must be given more power in making decisions of the university. A man in the audience got up and said, 'Now wait a minute, let's call these things by their right name. What you students at Columbia did was to commit a series of crimes.' And he listed them, breaking and entering, etc.

"At that point, the student from Columbia said, 'Mister, let's get something straight. In a revolution there are no crimes, and this is a

revolution.'

"In February of this year, *Newsweek* quoted an instructor at San Francisco State, Jerry Varnado. The quotation was, in part: 'What we are involved in here at State is not a reform movement. That is what the civil rights movement was. This is a revolution. Reformists work within existing rules and regulations. We will use any means necessary to uphold the principle that people of the third world have a right to determine what kind of human beings they want to be. Violence is the best means....'

"Now, one of the things is this acceptance of revolution and violence within the academic community. This, it seems to me, is simply an extension of something that started about the time of the end of the second World War, where, one after another, the agencies of the public media, the platforms in the colleges and universities, the television, the radio, the newspapers, the news magazines—presented statements attacking all the institutions of restraint upon human conduct—law, morality, religion, marriage, etc.

"I believe very firmly that civilization has only been possible because of these institutions of restraint. Certainly they are human, and therefore fallible, and need to be corrected. But if we discredit them and destroy them, then we can't possibly live together."

Dr. Howard has this advice for parents: "Make sure that when your youngster goes off to college that it is to a college which isn't altogether committed to destroying the limits on human conduct and discrediting church and God, and on the contrary, stands for those things which you believe will make a better life and a better world for your child."—American Way Features