

# THE YANCEY RECORD

BURNSVILLE NORTH CAROLINA

ESTABLISHED 1936

EDWARD A. YUZIUK - EDITOR & PUBLISHER

CAROLYN R. YUZIUK - ASSOCIATE EDITOR

ARCHIE BALLEW - PHOTOGRAPHER & PRESSMAN

MISS PATSY BRIGGS - OFFICE MANAGER

PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY BY  
YANCEY PUBLISHING COMPANY

SECOND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT BURNSVILLE, N.C.

THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 1970 NUMBER TWENTY-SIX

SUBSCRIPTION RATES \$3.00/YEAR  
OUT OF COUNTY \$5.00/YEAR

## SENATOR SAM ERVIN ★ SAYS ★



WASHINGTON -- The National Labor Relations Board has been the subject of much controversy over the years, but more recently it has been criticized in a report filed by the Senate Subcommittee on Separation of Powers, of which I am Chairman. The Senate report, released on February 25th, charges that the Board has subjugated the rights of employees and has been overly responsive to political interests.

I concurred in the report, which culminated 20 months of Subcommittee study. Basically, its findings recognize that our present Federal laws regulating management-employee union activities are in need of revision because not only does the applicable law contain many ambiguities, but also the NLRB has consistently sought to give its own interpretation to the clearest provisions of the law by ignoring the plain intent of Congress.

A majority of the Subcommittee found that the NLRB uses procedures which, in many instances, promote delays and harm the substantive rights of employees, and also found that the NLRB's General Counsel, who possesses the unreviewable power to issue or refuse to issue complaints under Federal labor law, has often acted arbitrarily and has subordinated employee rights to union interests.

As a consequence, there is much feeling abroad in the land that the NLRB is not achieving the purposes of the National Labor Relations Act, and that a solution to the problem may be to divest the Board of its jurisdiction over such cases in the Federal district courts.

On April 3, 1970, Senator John Tower introduced S.3671, a measure which seeks to transfer certain NLRB functions to the Federal district courts. The bill would allow parties who are subjected to an unfair labor practice to bring a complaint in the appropriate Federal district court or to obtain assistance from his local U.S. district attorney in filing suit in the Federal courts. If the United States attorney is called upon to do this, he would notify the parties concerned and then file the complaint unless he finds the charge to be "frivolous, or otherwise without basis in fact or law."

I have long felt that something constructive should be done to improve the manner in which the NLRB decides the rights of parties appearing before it. Legislators and labor law specialists have suggested several alternatives for accomplishing such a reform.

The Tower bill represents one such alternative which the Subcommittee will consider during hearings beginning on July 21st. In addition to Senator Tower, the Subcommittee has invited the Attorney General, the Chairman and the General Counsel of the NLRB, and a number of representatives of both management and labor and private citizens to testify on this legislation.

I am hopeful that these hearings will prove helpful in finding a constructive means of insuring that those who have unfair labor practice cases will receive a fair and impartial decision, because I feel that this area of substantive rights merits Congressional attention.

## Don't Forget The Enemy

A query for our peace-seekers and SALT delegates: Wasn't it Russia who supplied arms to Castro? Stationed missile-launching ships off the coast of Cuba? Sent weapons to North Viet Nam, the Arab nations and Nigeria? Wherever trouble is brewing, the Communists usu-

ally turn up, catering to the haves-nots, steadily entrenching themselves and their ideals. Yet it is the Communists and their misguided leftist sympathizers who go to any and all lengths to convince us that our country should disarm itself. Beware!

## straight talk

By Tom Anderson

### THE CORRUPTION OF OUR YOUTH



"The perfect 'lead' for an American short story," said the teacher to the journalism class, "would contain something of deity, royalty, sex, and mystery." And a budding Hemingway turned in his story with this opening sentence: "My God," said the Queen, "I'm pregnant again. I wonder who did it?"

In today's "sex-educated," free-loving Sweden, hardly anybody knows who did it. That formerly placid and stable land is ridden with neurosis, suicides and venereal disease. One hundred and forty Swedish doctors recently signed a petition to the government which stated that Sweden's young people are obsessed with sex and, panting from one partner to another, some have as many as 200 different sex partners!

Incidentally, Sweden has the highest suicide rate in the "civilized" world, is a haven for our draft dodgers, helps the Viet Cong, sits out all wars and trades with both sides, and is Russia's pimp.

"Just a few years ago," *Liberty Lobby* reminds us, "we laughed at dreamers talking about 'visitation' in school dormitories (one male, one female student alone in a bedroom with door closed!). We gave little or no credence to novels about male-female roommates in college, even less credence to co-ed fraternities! Who imagined elementary school children might be taught sex education with pornography in 'visuals' and detailed description of intercourse? The idea of nude "therapy" being forced on faculties and students was far too bizarre to be believed possible! Would you have believed Chinese brainwashing (Korean war) techniques would be forced on faculties and students ("sensitivity training")? Imagine anyone saying that in 1970 grade school students would regularly indulge in intercourse, making it seem commonplace! When no one then even spoke of homosexuality, how would you have known that in 1970 it would be actually encouraged? Do you know, even now, about teenage swap clubs? Sex clubs? Children arrested for prostitution?

Uncontrollable, recurring acid trips? It has all happened.

"Beyond belief? Look at these things to come! If you don't get involved, expect: Teachers performing the sex act with each student, as now recommended by some experts. Commitment of parents who object to children's sex education, procedures for which (commitment to mental hospitals) are already established (SIECUS). Youths are already living in communes, promiscuous sex producing babies with one, then another, without home or father; it will be very popular. Sexual attacks, crimes, homosexuality, perversions, etc. (already children have been butchered in public toilets while mother waited outside). Endless perversions, sensational temptations to youth . . . reducing Americans to animals and spineless, uneducated vegetables. . . . Yes, It will all happen!

"You can be sure it will happen. . . . It is planned that way! It's psycho-political warfare! Lenin and Marx predicted: The communist takeover of America is 'like plucking overripe fruit.' Believe it: Read current and back issues of the *Daily World* (communist) or any school underground paper! IT NEED NOT HAPPEN. If the American people rise in righteous rebellion they can stop it!" says *Liberty Lobby*.

And the Bible says:

"And God looked upon the Earth, and, behold, it was corrupt; for all flesh had corrupted His way upon the Earth. And God said unto Noah, 'The end of all flesh is come before me; for the Earth is filled with violence through them; and behold, I will destroy them with the earth.'"

Some people, to excuse their own doing, say: "God alone can save the world." It appears to me that God is more apt to destroy it. Or, at least destroy all except His chosen who have accepted Christ and are prepared for His Second Coming.—American Way Features

## The Manion Forum

By Marilyn Manion

### WHERE ARE THE BUSES GOING?



When the Supreme Court declared in 1954 that *de jure* segregation was illegal, most people thought about the deep South. Northerners, some of whose schools were as black or as white as those in Dixie, agreed that justice had finally prevailed on the other side of the Mason-Dixon line.

Today, however, Northern do-gooders are privately wondering if the opening of this Pandora's box wasn't a mistake. Who could have thought, 16 years ago, that the courts would start damning *de facto* segregation—and the whole neighborhood school system?

Father Daniel Lyons, a frequent guest on the Manion Forum radio program, discussed this subject on a recent broadcast. Father Lyons is an author, educator, and the editor of *Twin Circle*, the national Catholic newspaper. He is currently living in Los Angeles. Some of his thoughts on desegregation, in general, and busing in particular:

"A Superior Court judge named Alfred Gitelson decided that no school in the entire Los Angeles district should have less than 10% non-whites or more than 50%. So, very arbitrarily, you have to bus tens of thousands of children into areas like Watts, and you've got to bus the children out of Watts. The cost for the first year, if this is upheld, will be 42 million dollars. The school district is 60 miles long and 40 miles wide. The children will spend between two and four hours every day on the bus.

"This operation has no educational purpose. It destroys so much of the educational system. For example, in the City of Los Angeles we have 600 teachers who speak Spanish and English in order to help students who have a native language of Spanish. The whole system

will be thrown away. The Supreme Court has never said that *de facto* segregation is wrong. So, the judge has arbitrarily decided that he doesn't care about education and he doesn't care if he wrecks the school system. He has decided that he thinks it is a good idea to mix people up.

"The people who have the background and the ability to help their children get a better education are going to do so. Do you think for a moment that people from good backgrounds, people who have worked hard to get where they are, do you think for a moment they are going to allow their children to be sent into a school where there is no discipline, where there are narcotics, where the teachers don't care whether the students are slow learners or want to learn or don't want to speak English well, do you think that any parent in his right mind who has the ability to do better for his child is going to put up with that? He is going to move out of town.

"Actually, they are going to destroy the public school system if they keep this up. People are going to move into the suburbs—in the same way that almost every Congressman in Washington, D. C. has put his children and his grandchildren outside the City of Washington.

"The whole idea that the schools are not for education, that they are for some social manipulation, is preposterous. Agitators have always liked the sound of mass coercion in the name of bureaucratic administration, but this is not the way to make progress in a free society. Massive busing to other schools is not the answer, either in the South or in the North."—American Way Features