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## SENATOR SAM ERVIN \# SAYS

WASIIINGTON - How one views the arguments over free trade and import quota legislation depends to some extent on what you do for a living and where you live, but textile quotas to most North Carolinians represent a matter of economic survival. Nearly 50 percent of our citizens derive their livelihood from textiles which have been hard hit by foreign imports in recent years.

Pressure has been building up in the Congress over the last decade to cumb a rising tide in imports into this countrywhich have made hundreds of thousands of American workers lose their jobs. With unemployment rates soaring to new highs \& many workers on a reduced work week, there is renewed interest in our trade policies.

The magnitude of the problem can best be illustrated by the fact that the House Ways and Means Committee, which has original jurisdiction over trade legislation, recently had before it some 47 bills related to textile imports, some 24 bills related to footwear, some 59 bills related to steel imports, and some 95 bills related to import ceilings dealing with other troubled domestic industries.

A few days ago, the Ways and Means Committee, recognizing the problems created by foreign imports, agreed to report a bill establishing import quotas for textiles and shoes. The bill would also provide that the President shall have new authority to limit imports on other goods under certain conditions. While the President is said to be opposed to some of the conditions of this bill, it is no secret that the bill deals with one of the most important economic facts of life facing our domestic industries, and particularly North Carolina's textile industry.

Basically, what the bill seeks to dispel is the myth that American industry can survive in a healthy state no matter how solicitous our trade negotiators may be of the interests of foreign competitors who produce goods for sale in this country. For many years, I have attempted to point out that our economy is endangered when we give almost every advantage to foreign manufacturers and give virtually no consideration to the economic well-being of American manufacturers and their employees.

Actually, those who argue that we must maintain free trade at all costs ignore the fact that we have at stake some 60 million American jobs which are dependent on the survi val of our domestic industries, while there are only 4 million American jobs dependent on our import industry. Moreover, since the passage of the 1934 Reciprocal Trade Act, times have changed and industries are confronted with import problems they did not have a generation ago. Then the elimination of existing trade barriers was in the interest of this mation because we produced many goods in surplus quantities. Indeed, one of the prime considerations in the enactment of the 1934 Act was to give American producers a market to sell their trade surpluses. Even so, the Hull doctrine announced the policy that "satisfactory trade was an exchange of surpluses," Actually, the failure to adhere to the Hull doctrine is precise ly the problem we have had with the Japanese in recent years. Our domestic textile industry as well as the Japanese textile industry has been seeking the same American market, and this has produced the current controversy which negotiators up to now have failed to resolve.
For months now, U. S. and Japanese negotiators have accomplished virtually nothing in reaching a voluntary agree ment to curb the flood of Japanese textile goods coming into this country be cause Japanese insist that we should agree to to their terms.

I think it is time for the Congress to enact reasonabletrade laws to give our nation an orderly marketing system, and I Sexpect to sprpportsuch legislation when it comes before the Senate.

