

How?

Galloping Totalitarianism



EDITORIALS

Never Forget That These Editorials Are The Opinion Of One Man And He May Be Wrong

Is World Order Possible?

For just over 16 years the United Nations experiment has been stumbling toward an answer to the question: Is World Order Possible?

Now with the apparent murder of UN Secretary-General Dag Hammarskjold world leaders are unavoidably suffering an "Agonizing re-appraisal" of the true worth of this admittedly noble effort.

In every member-nation there has been an understandable reluctance to surrender national sovereignty to the UN. Even when the surrender of former national rights is exchanged for tremendous benefits this reluctance has continued. No nation has been more tortured on this point than the United States.

A hundred years ago, in the frontier era of our own national progress, every man was the protector of himself, his family and his property. Then law enforcement agencies were born, and expanded and our grandfathers hung their rifles and pistols up and left the majority part of maintaining law and order to the law enforcement agencies.

There were many who surrendered this individual sovereignty slowly; some in fact never. We still have people who take the law into their own hands when their lives, or property is threatened; and human nature being what it is the law accepts the right of the individual to self defense.

In a society of nations this same principle is accepted, but there are major and complex differences between the protection of individual and national life and property.

Castro stole Cuba legally. Huey Long stole Louisiana "legally"; because each controlled the apparatus by which they could make any act legal.

Nasser by the same process stole Egypt legally. Our forefathers legally stole the United States from England.

So defending a nation becomes far more intricate a process than the simple defense of an individual. The UN has been trying to solve this intricate problem; which involves not only politics, but economics and sociology as well.

Judged on the basis of 16 years, the UN has been far more successful in its effort than its predecessor, The League of Nations. But the success the UN has had so far is very largely due to the military, financial and political backing it has been given by the United States.

Russia, the other major world power of the moment, has done all it possibly could to wreck this effort to world order, because the UN is dedicated to a world in which free men have a free choice to their political system, while the Soviets are dedicated to exactly the opposite system.

But, at this moment with the emergence of so many so-called nations in Africa the absurdity of exactly equal representation in the UN is threatening to destroy the entire project. Soviet Russia and the United States each have one vote in the UN. So do such tribal fiefdoms as Mali, Ghana and such unstable masses as The Congo.

Either the constitution of the UN must be amended to eliminate this weird stupidity, which is compounded further by the fact that the United States is now and has all along carried the major part of the financial burden of the UN, or the United States will withdraw ultimately and that will mean the end of the UN.

And now, with these tiny sheikdoms and so-called republics having numerical preponderance in the UN it is highly unlikely that any such reorganization is possible.

The original plans of representation and financing the UN was unrealistic, and it is now likely that the entire project will founder on that particular point.

A Guest Editorial

Should any of the states, in the present-day conditions, resume nuclear weapons tests, it is not difficult to imagine the consequences of this act. Other states possessing the same weapons would be forced to take the same road. An impulse would be given to resume nuclear arms testing of any capacity, under any conditions, and unlimited by anything. The government which would be the first to begin the nuclear weapons tests would take upon itself a heavy responsibility before the peoples. . . . Should any side violate the obligations to which it has committed itself the instigators of such violations

will cover themselves with shame, and they will be condemned by the peoples of the world. — Premier Khrushchev in speeches to the Supreme Soviet of the U. S. S. R. on Jan. 4 and 14, 1960.

Due to the elimination of the wheat wheat exemption for 1962, farmers that have not planted any wheat on their farms in 1959, 1960 or 1961 cannot plant any wheat for harvest on their farms for 1962. If a farmer plants wheat for harvest on his farm for 1962 and hasn't planted any wheat for the past three years, he will have to pay a penalty for all the wheat produced on his farm which will be not less than approximately \$1.34 per bushel."

Above is a press release from the United States Department of Agriculture which shows clearly how much independence the farmer has left.

Oh, it will be pointed out, the farmers voted overwhelmingly in favor of the wheat program.

True, but only farmers who were growing wheat were eligible to vote. Now those hundreds of thousands of farmers who were not eligible to vote on the wheat program must abide by the vote of those who already

Taxation Tyranny

This past week a good friend of ours, Leslie White, was indicted for fraudulently filing federal income tax returns for the years 1956, '57, and '58. The federal tax man says White paid a total of \$192.66 federal tax during those three years, and alleges that he actually owed the government \$4,663.20 for those three years.

White still remains to be tried in the courts, and we have no way of knowing if he is innocent or guilty. But we do know that the federal income tax laws are tyrannical, inequitable and illegal.

White has a family of three: Himself, his wife and a daughter. If he were working for wages, and having his income tax taken out in advance as all wage earners, he would only have had to earn \$32 per week to owe the amount of income tax he paid; \$192.66. That is annual income of \$1664 — gross income, too; not net income.

We say, and have said repeatedly before that it is illegal for the government to take one man's money before he ever sees it and let another have the full use of all his income, and the final opportunity to tell the tax form what ever kind of fact or fancy he may decide upon.

We know of large tobacco farmers who have NEVER filed either a state or federal income tax return. Yet the government through its tobacco control program knows and has records on the income of every tobacco farmer in the nation.

Let some small business hire an extra laborer, or let the housewife hire a part-time maid and the tax man is whining and threatening jail, heavy fines and penalties, but people, living like oriental potentates can thumb their nose at the government and wind up with a slap on the wrist.

Everybody despises taxes, but the only intolerable tax is that which is inequitably levied, unfairly administered and indifferently enforced.

The federal and state income tax laws fully fit this description.

We've found a merchant who recognizes that people want service even more than price, and just as badly as quality.

Why does each generation believe that "It lives in the most perilous time civilization has ever confronted?"

will cover themselves with shame, and they will be condemned by the peoples of the world. — Premier Khrushchev in speeches to the Supreme Soviet of the U. S. S. R. on Jan. 4 and 14, 1960.

JONES JOURNAL

JACK RIDER, Publisher

Published Every Thursday by The Lenoir County News Company, Inc., 403 West Vernon Ave., Kinston, N. C., Phone JA 3-2375. Entered as Second Class Matter May 5, 1949, at the Post Office at Trenton, North Carolina, under the Act of March 3, 1879. By Mail in First Zone — \$3.00 Per Year. Subscription Rates Payable in Advance. Second Class Postage Paid at Trenton, N. C.

had their heads in the feed trough.

This, we know, is identical to the tobacco program; but wheat and tobacco have little in common except they both grow out of the ground.

Tobacco is the American equivalent of the Asian opium trade. Most basically tobacco is a revenue producer for the assorted units of government that levy upon its use.

Wheat is a basic foodstuff.

Wonder if any of our national planners ever read about Joseph's service in Egypt?

PERSONAL PARAGRAPHS BY JACK RIDER

This is an un-solicited testimonial, given freely without mental reservation or hope or compensation. But all the hogwash I have been exposed to on TV, in the four-color magazines and in other glaring forms of advertisement bring me to this unusual contribution.

This a few kind words, and perhaps a slam or two at Colgate toothpaste which I have used all my life, and still use in spite of all the claims by the makers of Crest toothpaste and the help the makers of Crest have been given by the American Dental Society.

I was 44 years old on August 4th of this year. I have all my teeth except two impacted wisdom teeth that crowded my jaw bone too much, and one other lower front tooth that got knocked out in a teenaged fist fight. In spite of never having brushed my teeth with Crest, and never having taken a drink of water knowingly that had been adulterated with fluorides, I only have one filling in my teeth and that came from biting into a piece of steel that was hidden in a sausage, which broke off one side of said tooth and caused me to need a filling on the side to take the place of that piece of tooth the steel chipped off.

All this was done while I used nothing but Colgate Toothpaste, plus eating a well-balanced diet and not being able to abide the leftover taste of sweets in my mouth. I like sweets — all kinds — but after I have eaten I cannot stand the lingering tastes and have to rinse my mouth out as quickly as I can get to a source of water.

Crest Toothpaste may be the miracle it is called by its makers and the dentists union, but in advertising it so highly and so frequently I'm afraid that a false sense of tooth security is being built up in the minds of many parents and nearly all children.

I might also, insert parenthetically, that I have made less than a dozen visits to the dentist in my 44 years. Too much proguing and too much brushing have destroyed more teeth than neglect ever did.

I don't advise anybody to neglect their teeth, because dirty teeth are about the most detracting thing a person can have. Colgate toothpaste has kept my teeth clean, and along with the use of the small amount of commonsense I have, I have kept my teeth in excellent condition.

Colgate has also been guilty of some pretty crummy advertising. You know; the "invisible shield," that keeps your breath kissing sweet all day long. That's a damned lie. Brushing one's teeth every morning to get that "little bird" taste out and after supper or before sack time is frequent enough, and even then the brushing should not be too often and care should be exercised to not injure the gums.

That's not the advice of dentists, but it is the advice of a fellow, to wit me, who has better teeth than 99 out of every 100 dentists. My dentist, Dr. H. F. Civils, who'll probably deny this since I go to him so seldom, agrees that diet is more basic to good teeth than toothpaste or trips to the dentist. Plenty of cornbread, fresh vegetables, sea food and milk or milk products will do more for your child's teeth than Crest, or Colgate, or your dentist and they taste a helluva lot better and cost a great deal less.