

FILE COPY

# THE JONES COUNTY JOURNAL

NUMBER 4 TRENTON, N. C., THURSDAY, MAY 25, 1967 VOLUME XIX

## Jones Central Baccalaureate Sermon Sunday, Graduation at 8 Wednesday Light Week's Work In Recorder's Court

The finals for the largest graduating class in the history of Jones Central High School are set for Sunday and Wednesday.

At 3 Sunday The Reverend Floyd B. Cherry of Black Jack will preach the Baccalaureate Sermon and at 8 Wednesday night graduation exercises will be held.

Principal Speaker for the graduation exercises will be First District Congressman Walter Jones of Farmville.

Special honors are being extended to Tommy Johnson and Jere Mills, who maintained 4-year averages of 95 or higher in all courses.

Other honor graduates with a 4-year average on all subjects of 90 or above include Sue Booth, Ella Hawkins, Tommy Houston, Nancy O'Bryan, Dorothy Smith and Danny Turner.

Officers of the Class of '67 are President Jere Mills, Vice-President Nell Jones, Secretary Brenda Murphy and Treasurer Catherine Humphrey.

Marshals serving for both services are Chief Jimmy Swink, Jo Ann Bryan, Claudia Armstrong, Francenia Stayhorn, Larry Mallard, Storma Thomas, Robin Knight, Janice Jones, Sherwood McDaniel and Michael Thompson.

### Chowan Graduate



Joseph Allen Mallard, son of Mr. and Mrs. Sylvanus D. Mallard of Route 1, Trenton, is scheduled to be among 216 graduates receiving diplomas and degrees during commencement exercises on Sunday when Chowan College completes its 118th year. He is completing his course of study in Chowan's School of Graphic Arts, famed school of printing, publishing and newspaper mechanical production.

In the past week just 11 cases have been cleared from the docket of Jones County Recorder's Court, including seven traffic charges and four that didn't involve motor vehicles.

Jasper Lee Foy and Ruby Hawkins of Trenton were found not guilty of trespassing, since the person who brought the charge was unable to prove that he owned the land upon which he was alleging trespass had been committed.

The prosecuting witness withdrew a simple assault charge against Norman Roberson of Trenton and paid the court costs.

Herbert Lee Bell of Kinston had a non-support charge noll prossed with leave.

Speeding tickets were paid off by Warren Helman Jr. of Chapel Hill, Ralph Crawford of Camp Lejeune, Tony Dale Crisitiello of Camp Lejeune and Floyd Murphy of Pollocksville appealed a speeding conviction to superior court.

Arsie Wiggins Willie of Pollocksville paid \$26 for speeding too fast for roadway conditions, Ruben Columbus Ellis of Maysville route 1 paid \$13 for not having had his car inspected and Erry Cleveland Cannon of Goldsboro paid \$13 for driving an improperly equipped car.

## Two Actions Filed for Damages Over Timber Cutting on Lands of Others

Jones County Superior Court Clerk Walter Henderson reports filing two suits for damages in the past week in which alleged wrongful cutting of timber is outlined.

In the first action Kenneth Lee Tucker seeks to collect \$5,458.52 from E. A. Piatt. Tucker alleges that Piatt cut timber valued at \$2,729.26 from his lands and under North Carolina law double damages may be levied against persons found guilty of cutting timber from lands upon which they have no legal claims.

The other action was brought by The Hyatt Memorial Home for Boys, Inc. and Hayes Beatty against J. R. Parker, Earl Heath, Elvin Brown, Herman Roberts, R. M. Tyndall, Fred Hill, Sammy J. Brewer, Jim Bert Gardner, Elijah Hall and Robert Lee Smith.

A temporary restraining order has been issued in this case by Judge Walter Cohoon, returnable May 27th before Judge Howard Hubbard, at which time the defendants must show why the temporary order shall not be made permanent.

This action alleges that the Hyatt Memorial Home's agents gave an option to Beatty for a tract of land in Jones and Craven counties, expiring on August 4, 1967, and the suit further alleges that after this option was given the defendants in the action went upon these optioned lands and began cutting and removing timber.

This action was brought to stop the cutting of timber and no assessment of damages has yet been made, and this is likely to come in subsequent litigation.

## Paula DeBruhl to Attend School for Gifted Students



Paula Regina DeBruhl of Jones Central High School has been accepted to participate in the superior and gifted student project at Western Carolina College this summer in the area of English.

She has been a member of the student council, secretary of the freshman class, business manager of the annual, first place science fair winner, member of the Future Teachers Association, and the Future Business Leaders of America.

Also, she has been quite active in her local church youth group.

Miss DeBruhl is the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Thurman R. DeBruhl of Trenton.

## COUNTRY EDITOR'S IMPRESSIONS from 'NATIONAL FOREIGN POLICY CONFERENCE'

By Jack Rider

Not even such exalted company as The Secretary of State of these United States is able to contradict that old saw, "You can take the boy out of the country but you can't take the country out of the boy!"

To some degree that covers this writer's first attendance of a "National Foreign Policy Conference". I left Kinston with some very strongly held views about our nation's foreign policy and although I came back to Kinston with a greater respect for those conducting this foreign policy there was no major change in my feelings.

Foremost in the area of the Viet-nameese war my views were only strengthened: That we are there, and with some reasonably good excuse, but I differ with the State Department in that I think we should be there to win. Not with nuclear attack, but with the simple use of those less horrible instruments of military hardware that we have lavished so much money on in the past few years.

The single question I want to ask never got asked, either by myself or anyone else; since with 500 newsmen present the speakers obviously could not answer every question anyone wanted to ask and they just picked people who had other questions on their mind.

As I mention in my column this week, my question had to do with the morality of our joining England in economic sanctions against Rhodesia while that same England was hauling supplies of war into North Viet-nam that are helping to kill American boys.

The closest this problem was touched upon was in rather strong comment by one of the speakers in the conference, questioning England's close economic ties with Castro's Cuba, and in another speech when it was pointed out that "escalation" is a word that is used to describe the United States but never the other side, and in which the speaker said, "If we took the mines the Viet-Cong is putting in the harbor at Saigon and simply returned them to Haiphong harbor this would be called "escalation" on the part of the United States.

The attempts — and there were several — to justify the limited war concept in Viet-nam were all built around, "How much American pressure it would take to bring either China or Russia into the conflict in more meaningful ways than at present.

The question I had, on this point that I never got the opportunity to ask was, "If a naval blockade of Cuba in the missile crisis in 1962 did not excite Russia into overt acts, why would a naval blockade of Haiphong harbor be more dangerous?"

One eloquent young man whose job had to do

with Southeast Asia went on at great lengths about the progress in some areas of Asia, pointing to South Korea, Japan, Malaysia and Indonesia. But inclusion of South Korea in this company was hardly apt since our country has poured over \$9 billion into that country of less area than North Carolina; so with that kind of artificial boost the South Korean economy could hardly avoid rising sharply, whether the whole population benefitted or suffered from the inflation that was forced upon it by so much charity.

The most brilliant exposition of the conference was made by a man whose thesis was built upon the premise that there is a waning of ideological conflicts — largely brought about by the nuclear age, which has made the costs of a Christian or a communist crusade too high for the advantages that might be gained.

My view is that his point is true, but he put the cart before the horse; since the ideological cleavages still smoulder — as the Jewish-Arab embers that are now about to be fanned into flame, or as in the Sino-Soviet debate over the role of Marxism in the modern world.

True, the depth of the gap between capitalism and communism is less than a generation ago but for a combination of reasons, such as maturing philosophies and national economies.

Our own country has drifted away from "laissez-faire" capitalism which abused labor and into a sincere sense of social obligation, which is "socialism" in the minds of some and common decency in the minds of more.

There were moments of good humor in the midst of so much serious conversation, with the best line being, "There are hundreds of candidates for the Nobel Peace Prize wandering around the world; who come away from Hanoi eight months pregnant and about to deliver peace, but so far they have not delivered a single warm body that we could talk to."

There were several speakers during the conference with long experience in Russia, and from the most senior of these came some conclusions:

"Russia is a society of great contradictions. Able to compete in the space race, but with an industry that is at least 25 years behind ours and with an agriculture that is at least 50 years behind ours.

"And that though Russia is now celebrating its 50th birthday anniversary, this speaker stressed that the modern Russia represents a society that started more than 700 years ago.

"The engrained antipathy to the Chinese goes back to the subjugation of Russia 700 years ago by Gen-

gis Khan, and the 300-year rule of European Russia by his heirs and assigns."

We were reminded that one of the most dangerous aspects of Russian communism is that there is no constitutional process for a change of power.

From Lenin's death in 1924 it took Stalin four years to exile Trotsky, and another six years before he could begin his purge, and similarly with the death of Stalin it took Khrushchev more than three years to grab the reins of power and at present, following the removal of Dear Nikita the struggle for dominance is still going on in the Politburo.

We were repeatedly told that the central "preoccupation" of Russia is China and we were told — more frequently and firmly than I had expected that the United States could not expect any real cooperation from the Russians, except when such cooperation served purely Russian purposes.

We heard a great deal about Latin America, the Alliance for Progress and the Organization of American States; and we were chided for being too apathetic to the problems of Latin America.

One of the questions I did get in had to do with Latin America, where I asked, "If agrarian reform — land redistribution — is still a basic part of the American effort, and if so doesn't this contradict the American story in which less than three per cent of our population is over-feeding the other 97 per cent?"

I was told that agrarian reform is still a part of American policy; which means breaking up the old feudal holdings of the few great landholders and giving the land to those who theoretically will make that same land produce more. This same speaker confessed to another questioner that Haiti, where this agrarian reform took place over two generations ago, is the worst off country in this hemisphere.

When I persisted that American efforts should be toward helping those great landowners to apply the technology of American farming the speaker further dissembled by declaring that the small farmers in Latin America were producing more per acre than the big farmers, but he failed to point out that these small farmers are not producing surpluses and that most South American countries despite fertile soil and plentiful labor are food-deficit countries.

After hearing an official from the "war on hunger" outline the perilous state of the world's food supplies, and the failure so far to cope with the population explosion, I asked why our government is still paying farmers not to grow food." The reply was not too responsive: That the speaker did not want to touch on

(Continued on page 8)