File Copy

#### DIFFICULT TO RECONCILE DISTRIBUTION

ment distributed \$3,498,146,000 up the other \$2,814,988,000. for all kinds of welfare aid.

Based upon the fairly accurate assumption of a national population of 200 million this is a national per capita expenditure states got extremely low shares federal welfare funds and in the of \$17.40 for federal welfare aid. of this federal welfare dollar, final column is shown the

In the calendar year ending | Total expenditures for public | while some of the so-called | amount that state actually re-December 31, 1966 the federal welfare in that year amounted government through the Health, to \$6,313,134,000 with city, coun-Education and Welfare Depart- ty and state governments putting

> There was no discernable pattern to the distribution practices of the federal government. Some of the supposedly poor

wealthy states received an unexplainable lion's share of this federal loot.

The tabulation included with this article indicates the present estimated population of each state, the amount of money it would have gotten if it had received its per capita share of

ceived from these federal welfare funds during 1966.

Wealthy California actually received last year \$620,486,000 in federal welfare funds, while its per capita share would have been \$313,200,000. This results in the paradox of one of the nation's wealthiest states getting almost twice its fair share of the federal welfare pie.

New York with roughly the same population as California got a bit more than its fair share, but nothing to compare with Sunny California.

If one looks in Appalachia Land, where so many tears have been shed for sweet charity's sake, still no pattern appears.

West Virginia got more than its share but Tennessee and North Carolina fell far behind, and each of these is embraced in this pathos area.

Louisiana rightfully boasts of its wealth, its great cities and its roaring economy. But apparently the bureaucrats of HEW have not heard about all the prosperity in the bayous, since Louisiana's fair share of this swag would have been \$60,900,000: yet it actually received \$137,-931,000 - which is better than twice its fair share.

In Oklahoma even the indians are supposed to be rich, but this oil soaked domain of the sudden millionaire also boasts (if not publicly, at least to HEW) a great many indigent citizens, since Oklahoma's per capita slice of this welfare pie would have been \$43,500,000 and it stricken? If California is, why actually got \$130,595,000.

National sob stories have been published about citizens starving to death in Mississippi, but when it came time for HEW to pass out welfare funds Mississippi didn't fare nearly so well as Rich Louisiana or Oklahoma.

Governor Rockefeller of Arkansas must not be sharing his wealth too freely either since his state got a hugely disproportionate slice of this federal welfare loaf.

If one turns from the poverty stricken south and looks to staid New England the same inconsistencies are found. Rich Massachusetts gets a "leetle" more than it fair share, while poor potato picking Maine gets less than its equitable share.

The Granite State of Vermont fares fairly well but its poor sister state of New Hampshire gets just about half of what it should have coming under a per capita distribution of federal welfare funds. And explain Connecticutt's poor showing despite the fact that it is tucked tightly between New York and Massachusetts, where bigger slices of this welfare pie went.

Does welfare money from the federal till go to pockets of unemployment?

This is not supported by the help wanted ads in every major newspaper in California, which got twice its share of federal welfare funds, nor in Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas or New York where the most inequitable shares of this federal largess were sent.

Is the west coast poverty then isn't Oregon?

# JONES COUNT

NUMBER 25

TRENTON, N. C., THURSDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1967

**VOLUME XIX** 

## Edmund Huffman's Suit Against Wife Shot Down Again by State Courts

\$50,000 damages suit brought loss of consciousness and loss of by Edmond Huffman against memory." his wife, Ella Huffman.

In the February term of Superior Court, Judge Mintz dismissed Huffman's suit against Mrs. Huffman and ordered the plaintiff to pay court costs. At that time Huffman filed notice of his appeal to the Supreme Court and bond was set for \$200.

In his complaint against his wife. Huffman stated that he was permanently injured in an automobile accident that was the result of his wife's carelessness. Huffman was riding on the fender of his car which was being pushed by another car and being operated by his wife in an effort to start the car when the incident occurred.

Huffman said that he was pouring gasoline into, and making adjustments on, the car's carburetor when his wife suddenly stopped the car causing him to be thrown to the high-

In charging his wife with careless, reckless, and negligent acthe skull, a concussion of the year.

A North Carolina Supreme brain and rupture of the lower Court appeal judgment has been three vertebrae in his spinal returned upholding the Jones column, causing the plaintiff to County Superior Court decision | be confused and disoriented and of Judge Rudolph Mintz in the subject to seizures, periods of

> In suing for \$50,000 in damages incurred, Huffman took three years to file his complaint. The accident occurred November 11, 1962 and Huffman filed complaint November 13, 1965.

> In answer to her husband's charges, Mrs. Huffman stated that if she was negligent in her actions her husband was also careless in assuming such a dangerous position while trying to start the car.

### Claim and Delivery Seeks Recovery of TV, Furniture

A claim and delivery proceeding has been filed in the Clerk of Superior Court's office by Billy Mills, representing Mills and Thomas Furniture and Appliance Store of Maysville, against George H. Wright.

Mills states in his complaint that Wright is default in payments on a television set and a nine-piece dining room group worth \$500 that Wright contracted to purchase on January 18, 1966.

Wright is under a \$1000 bond, or twice the amount of the contracted purchase value as prescribed by law.

#### Superintendent Allen Speaks to J. E. Morris P.T.A. in October Meet

J. E. Morris School held its | first 1967-68 P.T.A. meeting Monday night, October 9th. Presdent George Wooten presid-

After the devotion was conducted J. E. Wynn, Principal of J. E. Morris School thanked the parents for the fine way they tion, Huffman said that he suf- supported the P.T.A. and the fered " . . . a linear fracture of school program in the previous

He also told the parents about the progress that was made at the school since the last P.T.A. meeting. This included painting the entire building adding a new mobile classroom and eliminating some combination grades.

The meeting was high lighted by a speech by J. W. Allen, Superintendent of Jones County Public Schools. He spoke on the New Trends in education after his speech he had a question and answer period.

The room count was taken and the attendance went to the Seventh Grade, Mrs. A. B. Ward

There were about 60 parents

# Friday The 13th Lucky for Some, Unlucky for Others in Court Term

in the following judgments: ston, driving v Edward Rich of Route 3, Kindriver's license.

ston, was found guilty of assault and disturbing a school (in violation of General Statute 14-273) and was assigned to work the public roads for 60 days; that he remain of good behavior for two years. Rich was also ordered to stay off the premises of Jones Central High School and in no way molest, threaten or intimidate R. C. Lewis, Principal of Jones Central High School.

Shad Randolph of Route 3, Kinston, was found guilty of trespassing and assault. Randolph was given a 60-day suspended sentence and ordered to pay a \$125 fine and costs.

ty by Judge Becton of following ly. too closely, but she gave notice of appeal to Superior Court and bond was set at \$100.

Theodore Roosevelt Jones, 66, of 511 E. Shine St., Kinston, \$13 for not stopping at a stop bond was set at \$100.

Two traffic violation cases sign.

The traffic violation cases sign.

Leroy Outlaw, 54-year-old female of 501 Cox Alley, Kinston, Margaret Miner Hinnant, 32, of Margaret Miner Hinnant, 3

The Friday, October 13, term | speeding 65 mph. in a 55 mph of Recorder's Court held by zone; and, John Henry Good-Judge Joseph H. Becton resulted ing, 17, 1401 Andrew St., Kinston, driving without a valid

Judge Becton dismissed the case against Paul M. Teachey, 53, of Goldsboro, charged with driving without a valid driver's license because Teachey prosentence suspended on condition | duced as evidence for the Court he pay a \$50 fine and costs and a letter from the State Department of Motor Vehicle indicating the Department's failure to send his notice of his license expiration.

> Defendant's waiving appearance and paying fines and costs were: Lacy Pierce, 35, of 404 Allen Alley, Kinston, charged with driving without a valid inspection certificate, \$13.

Dan Rand, 38, of Richlands, \$26 for speeding 70 mph in a 60 mph zone.

Alvah B. Dickerson III, 17, of Brenda Edwards Armstrong, Cove City, \$13 for failing to see 23, of New Bern was found guil-movement could be made safe-

is the teacher.

#### **Bell Beats Pair of** Trattic Charges

Edward Earl Bell, 77-year-old retired farmer of Jones County in less than two years been charged with being the cause of two automobile accidents and has not been found guilty of either.

On November 3, 1965 Bell was charged by Highway Patrolman B. O. Mercer with failing to give an audible signal when overtaking and passing another car. The case against Bell was dismissed by Recorder's Court Judge Joseph H. Becton.

On October 3, 1967 Bell was charged by State Trooper C. W. Oakley with failing to see movement could be made in safety when Bell ran into a car driven by Mrs. Floyd Murphy.

Bell was found not guilty by Judge Becton.

driver's license. Terry Lee Anders, 19, of

State	Pop*	Fair Share	HEW Allocation
Alabama	3.5	\$ 60,900,000	\$ 91,470,000
Alaska	.3	1,480,000	2,460,000
Arizona	1.5	26,100,000	21,940,000
California	18.0	313,200,000	620,480,000
Colorado	1.8	21,320,000	50,310,000
Connecticutt	2.6	48,720,000	34,790,000
Deleware	.5	8,700,000	4,770,000
District of Col.	.8	13,920,000	11,480,000
Florida	5.0	87,000,000	84,380,000
Georgia	4.0	69,400,000	90,130,000
Hawaii	.7	12,180,000	8,760,000
Idaho	.7	12,180,000	10,700,000
Illinois	11.0	191,400,000	147,070,000
Indiana	5.0	87,000,000	30,960,000
Iowa	3.0	52,000,000	35,990,000
Kansas	2.5	43,500,000	32,225,000
Kentucky	3.5	60,900,000	74,385,000
Louisiana	3.5	60,900,000	137,931,000
Maine	1.0	17,400,000	16,410,000
Maryland	3.5	60,900,000	42,190,000
Michigan	8.0	139,200,000	107,611,000
Minnesota	3.5	60,900,000	73,914,000
Mississippi	3.0	38,280,000	46,804,000
Missouri	4.5	78,300,000	96,974,000
Montana	.7	12,180,000	7,908,000
Nebraska	1.5	26,100,000	20,079,000
Nevada	.3	5,200,000	4,208,000
New Hampshire		10,440,000	5,580,000
New Jersey	7.0	121,800,000	56,066,000
New Mexico	1.0	17,400,000	20,368,000
New York	18.0	313,200,000	326,041,000
North Carolina	5.0	87,000,000	73,407,000
North Dakota	.7	10,440,000	11,746,000
Ohio	10.0	174,000,000	111,035,000
Oklahoma	2.5	43,500,000	130,595,000
Oregon	2.5	43,500,000	24,230,000
Pennsylvania	12.0	208,800,000	153,749,000
Rhode Island	1.0	17,400,000	16,594,000
South Carolina	2.5	43,500,000	24,783,000
South Dakota	.7	12,180,000	10,075,000
Tennessee	4.0	69,400,000	60,545,000
Texas	10.0	174,000,000	186,433,000
Utah	.9	15,660,000	15,393,000
Vermont	.4	6,940,000	7,227,000
Virginia	4.0	69,400,000	27,441,000
Washington	3.0		54,010,000
West Virginia	1.9	21,320,000	38,630,000
Wisconsin	4.0	69,400,000	47,684,000
\$1.77.161404		0.70	3,290,000
Wyoming * Population in	.4 millio	6,940,000 ns, latest estimates.	3,270,000
	THE RESERVE TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	and the second s	