

'I can wait-- how about you?'



EDITORIALS

Never Forget That These Editorials Are The Opinion Of One Man
And He May Be Wrong

Bureaucratic Idiocy

Mrs. Hazel Taylor operates a nursing home on West Grainger Avenue in Kinston and has for many years, and the need for more and more of these smaller nursing homes, and the fact that Mrs. Taylor had done a good job with the one she now has caused officials to ask her to consider opening others of the same small, personalized type . . . and she did consider it, and is still considering it.

But welfare department officials tell her that \$165 per month is the absolute maximum they will pay for any indigent patient.

Welfare officials also tell Mrs. Taylor that she must have at least eight employees in the two homes she was considering using in this expansion.

But the wage and hour people tell her also that these people must be paid the minimum wage which is \$193.20 per month.

So with nine patients from the welfare department Mrs. Taylor's maximum

income would be \$1485 per month, and her minimum payroll would be \$1545.60.

When the cost of utilities, food, laundry and rent are added to this payroll cost it is very easy to see that Mrs. Taylor, and a great many more people who have a concern for nursing home patients and for small homes in which the institutional atmosphere would be avoided are eliminated from competition with the larger institutional type nursing homes in which the patient-to-employee ratio is only a fraction of what small home operators must have.

It would seem that even a bureaucrat of the lowest echelon could understand the idiocy of such conflicting regulations.

Of course it is all "the law," but as Mr. Bumble said, in an equally absurd situation in Dickens' "Olive Twist": "If the law supposes that, the law is a ass, a idiot!"

And it is.

Nausea

There was a time of anger, and then pity, but now the only feeling at the mention of Attorney General Ramsey Clark is nausea. In the national political sense one cannot avoid feeling like the little boy who ate too much at the party and warned the hostess: "I fink I'm gonna frow up!"

The nation's incumbent chief law enforcement officer is the same man who in true blubber-lipped fashion crawled into a congressional committee high chair and threw up all over the caucus room the smelly obscenity that there was absolutely no connection between the activist decisions of the supreme court and the current wave of crime.

This same Texas Tweedle-Dee advised that the best way to control the burning businesses was by ordering officers not to interfere with those arsonists and looters.

This same needle-headed nitwit advised the president, who is just as stupid, that the way to handle the Poor People's March was to give them an illegal right to ruin one of the beauty spots left after

the April burnings and to also become party to a conspiracy which ended up with another blubber-lipped disciple spending 20 days in jail as the curtain came down on "Insurrection City."

Now in his legal knee pants this same junior jerk has been led by the hand back up to Capitol Hill where he has told a congressional committee — which certainly hung onto every liped phrase that fell from his lips: "Capital punishment has to go."

Among other imbecilities he visited upon this committee was: "Extensive studies show that the death penalty does not deter crime."

Well, thanks to the usurpation of jurisdiction by the nine nitwits on the supreme court, capital punishment has been eliminated in these United States, and if this child in attorney general britches would just look at some of the statistics from his own department he would see what has happened to the crime rate since we stopped putting people to death for heinous crimes.

Pardon; does anyone have a sea-sick cup?

Very Little Noted

The liberal press and the TV pundits have taken very little note of an extremely important debate and vote in the House of Representatives on June 26, in which the appropriations bill for the department of health, education and welfare was being considered.

The debate came when house liberals tried to remove two sections of the HEW appropriation bill, sections 409 and 410. Perhaps a reading of these sections might give a clue to their being ignored by the liberals.

"Section 409. No part of the funds contained in this act may be used by any official, employee or agent of the United States Department of Education to force busing of students, abolishment of any school, or to force any student attending any elementary or secondary school to attend a particular school against the choice of his or her parents, or parent.

"Section 410. No part of the funds contained in this act shall be used by any official, employee, or agent of the United States Department of Education to force busing of students, the abolishment of any school or the attendance of students of a particular school as a condition precedent to obtaining federal funds otherwise available to any state, school district or school."

The liberal effort to take these sections out of the \$17 billion appropriations bill failed by a vote of 137 to 101. The bill is now before the senate where once more it is likely that the liberals will try to cut these two sections out.

The likelihood of these sections remaining in the finally adopted bill is not good . . . possible, of course, but not probable. The senate is more heavily laden with liberals than the house, and only 238 of the 435 member of the house were present on June 26th when the bill was adopted with sections 409 and 410 intact. It is not likely that the full membership of the house would support the bare quorum vote which originally passed it, but it at least shows that there is strong sentiment in every part of the nation opposed to current tactics of HEW in this realm.

The Liberal Tizzy

Until George Wallace really began exciting their tender sensibilities the nation's liberals were in near panic at the approachig probability of a national constitutional convention.

Now with Cousin George breathing on their pink little necks there are two booger bears scaring hell out of this easily frightened collection of do-gooders.

The very thought of the people, through a constitutional convention, having anything to do with running the country simply put them to chewing rugs, and now that a man from the Deep South with an unconcealable Southern drawl is threatening to upset this two-party tea cup there simply is no end to their panic.

This modern pseudo-liberal concerns himself perpetually with the "rights" and whose "diet" will be what, where and when.

Those who fought with simple righteousness for "Freedom of Choice" in the public schools are now finding out that what they actually fought for was not for the "Freedom of Choice" of the individual parent or pupil but was the "Freedom of Choice" of some faceless Washington bureaucrat, or the runaway power-lust of a federal judge.

And, of course, the battle cry of these same liberals for minority rights has blown up in their faces as these minority blocs have decided that if one law is unjust, ipso facto, all laws must be unjust.

Finally, and the cruelest blow of all is that the Negro minority with the exception of those still living off of white largess have turned on their heel and spit the bitterest kind of venom into the white liberal eye.

The Rat Browns and Stokeley Carmichaels and Floyd McKissicks all freely confess that they prefer a George Wallace, who at least is honest in his stance, to the hand-wringing white liberal, who preaches integration while practicing segregation, in an endless list of ways.

PERSONAL PARAGRAPHS

BY JACK RIDER

One of the factions in "CORE" at its recent convention offered a resolution calling for the setting up of a black nation extending from Maryland, all the way down the coast and across the gulf to include Mississippi. The resolution wasn't adopted, since the convention ended up in one big argument with nobody agreeing to do anything except to have another fish fry (sometimes called a convention) next month.

One thing you can certainly say about these blacks; they know the ham part of the hog when they see it, because they sure wanted to take out the choice portion of these here united states. Seems to me there was another movement about a hundred or so years ago when some other fellows wanted just about the same little slice of the country for their own reservation.

History has come full around now with blacks wanting exactly what the whites wanted two generations ago. Of course, the whole notion of setting up a black nation inside the United States is idiocy, but if they want to put it to a vote, I'll support and vote for a resolution to give them Alaska and possibly throw in California so they'll have some hot weather and cool weather both to suit the tastes of those blacks who don't like it too hot or too cold. But North Carolina: Hell No!

One of the more amusing sides of the current racial hassle is the inability of Negroes to get along with niggers, and then on top of that the blacks can't stand either Negroes or niggers and when these three groups get through disagreeing there is still one more splinter movement which call themselves Africans who can't get along with Negroes, niggers or blacks. And there is even one more group which titles itself Afro-American. To tell the truth these Negro-nigger-black-African-Afro-Americans are beginning to sound, and act a helluva lot like white people. Kinda like Baptists and Catholics or Jews and Arabs or Democrats and Republicans.

But in all of this hassling among the many splinter organizations who are grabbing for a slice of this racial pie their seems to be one crystal clear conclusion: All of them are sick as hell of phony white liberalism, which basically tends to denigrate the Negro and lock him even more tightly into the chain step mistakes of white society.

Whether it is the Black Muslim, or the more literate firebrands of Negro intelligentsia they all seem to be polarizing around a far more rigidly segregated society than this country has ever known. And this ultimately is the way it has to be if Negroes — whatever they finally decide to call themselves — want to climb out of second class citizenship and into pride in themselves and their race which are as necessary to their survival as bread and water.

But there are dangers even in this path as Senior Negro Leader Roy Wilkins pointed out this past week: "In teaching race pride, it will be disastrous if we teach a false distinction and a false superiority. We do not correct white racism by erecting black racism."

Those who speak and write for public consumption such as myself who through these troubled recent years have stood strongly for racial segregation in all the social spheres, including schools have been called racists by a vast majority of those who preach integration but practice the most rigid kinds of segregation for themselves and their families.

But I rest completely comfortable in the certain knowledge that I have never declared, and never will declare that white people are superior to Negroes. I have, and will always insist that the two races are different . . . different in so many ways that to force them too closely together threatens to destroy both, or whichever happens to be in the minority on a given day and at a given place.

JONES JOURNAL
PUBLISHED BY JACK RIDER, PUBLISHER
Published every Thursday by The Lenoir County News Company, Inc., 225 North Heritage St., Kinston, N. C. 28501. Phone JA-3-2372. Entered as Second Class Matter May 5, 1959, at Post Office at Trenton, North Carolina, under the Act of March 3, 1879. It will first class . . . \$3.00 per year. Second class . . . \$1.00. Sales Tax. Subscription rates: Single copy . . . \$0.25. Second class postage paid at Trenton, N. C.