

The Cleveland Star.

A PAPER OF THE PEOPLE, FOR THE PEOPLE, AND TO BE PAID FOR BY THE PEOPLE.

Vol. XL No. 16

Shelby, N. C., Wednesday April 2, 1903.

One Dollar per Year

How To Get Flesh

It has been known to many of us by taking SCOTT'S EMULSION of Cod Liver Oil, that it often gives the same results as the medicine to start the patient is able to eat his ordinary food and not do better in any other way the gain in weight and strength.

Amount of flesh is gained, if you have it, can get it by taking SCOTT'S EMULSION.

Emulsion

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Just as useful in summer as in winter. If you are thriving upon the weather is warm.

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE COD LIVER OIL WITH HYPOPHOSPHITES.

Prepared by SCOTT'S BROTHERS, PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTS, NEW YORK.

Superior Court Cases.

THE MATTERS DISPOSED OF DURING THE PRESENT TERM—MUCH CASH PAID INTO THE TREASURY.

The following cases were disposed of in the manner indicated below: D. C. Dodd and Nero Houser, affray; Dodd \$20; Houser, \$25. Ambrose Hoyle, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. Edgar Gudney, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. Tom Grigg, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. W. R. Everhart, d. m. p.; judgment suspended. Albert Norman, c. c. w.; to pay \$50. Julius Norman, resisting officer, to pay \$50. Tom Norman, c. c. w.; judgment suspended upon payment of cost. Hartsell Sain, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. R. L. Brackett, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. J. A. Randall, a. and b.; not guilty. George Campbell, retailing; not guilty. A. D. Dawkins and Nancy Smith, f. and a.; not guilty. Mary Sparrow and Ida Sparrow, keeping house of ill-fame; judgment suspended. Cordie Turner, c. b. c.; not guilty. (There were one or two other cases against Miss Turner, and every one of them proved groundless. She was completely vindicated and two physicians, Drs. V. J. Palmer and G. M. Gold, were present to testify to the existence of a watery tumor, which caused her to enlarge, and after the tumor burst, she would be reduced in size again. The prosecution, it is said, was instituted through malice by a party in that section.)

Death of Mr. McArthur. MR. DAVID MCARTHUR AN AGED AND RESPECTED CITIZEN PASSED AWAY ON TUESDAY NIGHT OF LAST WEEK.

Again death has entered our gates, and claimed for its victim one of our oldest citizens, in the person of Mr. David McArthur, who died on Tuesday night, the 25th inst. He had been feeble for some time, but had been confined to his room only a few days with pneumonia, when the end came. Mr. McArthur was about seventy-seven years old, and was the father of Messrs. W. E. and J. C. McArthur, photographers here, and Mrs. Geo. W. Young, who together with his aged wife, survive him.

The funeral services were conducted from the house on Wednesday afternoon by Rev. Chas. F. Sherrill, and the body was buried in the Shelby cemetery. Mr. McArthur was a good man, and we extend our sympathy to the bereaved ones.

Earl News. Farming is behind in this section on account of so much rain. Mr. Festus Turner, of Sharon, has been in town for a few days. Rev. D. G. Washburn has been elected pastor of New Hope to succeed Rev. G. P. Hamrick who resigned several days ago.

The Methodists church is about ready to hold service in the school at this place closed the 14th with appropriate exercises, consisting of dialogues, drills, marches, speeches, etc. The Sharon, South Carolina, string band furnished some excellent music for the occasion. The children and patron made up about twelve or fifteen dollars for the band. The whole was a success from start to finish. We need not expect anything else when Mrs. Hamrick and Mrs. Austell are the teachers.

The Judge Wanted to Look into it. The Stanley Enterprise of last week contained the following item in its report of the court proceedings: State vs. Jasper Russell; A. W. D. W.; pleads guilty. At this writing judgment has not been rendered. There was evidence to the effect that the prosecuting witness called Mr. Russell a d—son of a b—. Judge Shaw says a man who calls another that ought to be hit and hit hard. He will satisfy himself on that point before rendering judgment.

Found Dead. King's Mt. Democrat. Mr. Dial Hullinger, an aged man lived below Grover, was found dead in a gulch by the road side between his brother's where he made his home, near Grover, on last Thursday morning. Some small colored boys were passing and found him and reported to the authorities at Grover. The coroner of Cherokee county was notified and came to the scene. A doctor was summoned and their verdict was that he came to his death from natural causes.

The old gentleman was quite aged and a very despatched character. The supposition is that he fell there about Saturday night, he having left home several days before.

Her Usual Progressive Policy. Asheville Citizen. Cleveland county, in keeping with her usual progressive policy, had a good roads convention. The date was fixed for it, March 24, during court week. Other counties of the west ought to imitate this worthy example. There is need for better roads throughout the country, and only by organization can they be obtained.

heavy blows, on the ground that Thomas "had done him wrong," and he made Thomas admit it in the jail before he ceased pounding him. However, when the trial came on Thomas held to his original opinion that Locket stole the axe. Locket is a genuine African, full-blooded and no adulteration. His forehead slants back like a mountain slope and his ears are set away down low on his jaw. But none of these things helped Bob. Thomas in convicting him.

Judge Starbuck walks into fellows who tote pistols, misbehave at public gatherings and attempt to carve up their antagonists in a fight. These are specialties with His Honor and if all the sentences for such offences were similar to those he imposes, it would have the effect of either stopping the commission of the offences or bankrupting the offenders.

The negro naturally takes to the court house. It strikes a responsive chord in his nature and he appears to like the uncertainty which attends a trial and the excitement incident to the final wind up of affairs. Did you ever think how seldom it is that you see a negro get frightened on the witness stand?

Mighty few "suspensions of judgment upon payment of cost" this time! It was more often \$30 or \$50 or a six eight or twelve months on the chancery.

The grand and petit jury at this term of court were composed of men of exceptional intelligence, capacity and character. They discharged their duty with dispatch and intelligence and their acts are entitled to the fullest confidence.

State Committee Meeting. THE POLL TAX MUST BE PAID BEFORE MAY 1st—DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION TO MEET IN GREENSBORO. Raleigh Post. The next Democratic State Convention will be held in Greensboro. That was the decision of the Executive Committee, in session here last night, by a vote of 33 to 32, Raleigh being the other place voted for.

At 4:30 o'clock yesterday afternoon Chairman Simmons called to order in the Senate chamber the largest meeting of the Democratic State Executive Committee that has been held within the memory of the oldest member. At the opening roll-call there were present in person and by proxy 56 members out of a total of 67. Several others came in later, so that when the vote was taken on the place of holding the next convention there were 65 votes cast. This showing denotes an unprecedented interest on the part of Democratic leaders. More than two-thirds of the members were present in person.

State Committee Meeting.

THE POLL TAX MUST BE PAID BEFORE MAY 1st—DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION TO MEET IN GREENSBORO.

Raleigh Post. The next Democratic State Convention will be held in Greensboro. That was the decision of the Executive Committee, in session here last night, by a vote of 33 to 32, Raleigh being the other place voted for.

At 4:30 o'clock yesterday afternoon Chairman Simmons called to order in the Senate chamber the largest meeting of the Democratic State Executive Committee that has been held within the memory of the oldest member. At the opening roll-call there were present in person and by proxy 56 members out of a total of 67. Several others came in later, so that when the vote was taken on the place of holding the next convention there were 65 votes cast. This showing denotes an unprecedented interest on the part of Democratic leaders. More than two-thirds of the members were present in person.

NEW MEMBERS CHOSEN. After the roll-call Chairman Simmons announced the resignation of Judge M. H. Justice of Rutherford as a member of the central committee. Mr. A. D. Watts of Iredell was elected to succeed Judge Justice.

The resignation of Judge Walter D. Neal of Scotland, member from the Sixth district, was read, and Mr. A. S. Dockery of Richmond was elected to succeed him.

Mr. Ed. S. Abell of Johnston was elected to succeed Mr. Wiley Rush, deceased, in the Fourth district.

PAYMENT OF POLL TAX. Chairman Simmons stated that he had requested Hon. George Rountree, who was a well-known and able lawyer, one in whom the people have confidence, and the chairman of the suffrage committee in the last Legislature to prepare an opinion on the matter of the payment of poll tax as provided for in the constitutional amendment. Mr. Rountree had completed with the request and his opinion was read and unanimously adopted by the committee as the opinion of the party, with the thanks of the committee to Mr. Rountree for his able and conclusive opinion, and a request that all Democratic papers in the State publish the opinion. It is in full as follows:

Wilmington, N. C., March 15, 1902. Hon. F. M. Simmons, U. S. Senator, Washington, D. C.: Dear Sir—I am in receipt of your letter of recent date requesting my opinion as to the validity of that provision of the present election law, Acts 1901, chapter 89, section 13, requiring as a condition precedent to the right to register and vote, the applicant to show that he had paid his poll tax, on or before the 1st of May, as applied to the general election to November, 1901.

After giving the matter careful and attentive consideration, I have no hesitation in saying that, in my opinion, the act is perfectly valid. The only suggestion that I have heard, or can imagine, against its vitality is that, as the suffrage Amendment requiring the payment of the poll tax on or before May 1st in which applicant offers to vote does not go into effect until July 1st, 1902, there was no valid law requiring the payment of that tax on May 1st, 1902, and to give effect to that constitutional amendment in this respect at the November election will be abnoxious to the objection of passing an ex post facto law, or impairing vested rights.

This is plausible, but not sound. The election law was ratified and went into effect on March 14th, 1901, by the terms of which all persons, otherwise qualified desirous of voting at the next general election were notified that they could not do so unless they paid their poll tax on or before May 1st.

The cardinal objection to an ex post facto law is that, under it, a person is punished for doing an act not criminal when done, and which act he had no possible means of knowing would be punished, and a law is not ex post facto merely because retrospective—it must, in addition to be retrospective, impose a punishment.

Now, it is arguable that this section of the election law is valid under the old Constitution, as the Constitution itself requires the payment of a poll tax, though not as a condition precedent to the right to vote; it is also arguable that the old Constitution, article 6, was repealed immediately upon the adoption of the Suffrage Amendment in 1900, leaving the Legislature free to prescribe any qualifications, within the restriction of the Fifteenth Amendment, it saw fit, but these propositions may be admitted to be doubtful, and therefore waived.

According to accepted legal theory there is no right to vote except that which is conferred by positive law, constitutional or statutory, and the right, such as it is, is not a civil right, but a more political privilege which is given or withheld in accordance with prevalent views of public policy.

Prof. Fomeroy says: "The voter possesses a mere privilege; that taking it away, or what is the same thing, refusing to confer it, does not impair a right, and cannot be regarded as a penalty or punishment."

Pemery Const. Law Sec. 535. Cooley Const. Lim. (6th ed.) p. 752. If this be the true view, and it is upon this ground alone that the exclusion of women and children can be defended, then the withdrawal of the privilege, or right, to vote from any person or class of persons, by the sovereign power, cannot be an impairment of a vested right or the enforcement of an ex post facto law, for the simple reason that no right has been impaired nor punishment inflicted; there has been a change in the views of the law-making power as to what is best for the State, that is all. Of course the change must be made in a lawful manner—by the power competent to make it; as here, by the Constitutional Amendment.

I do not deem it necessary to elaborate the point, because the question whether the State can lawfully deprive a man of the right to vote because of an act done previous to the passage of the law has been decided.

In *Wainwright vs. State* (75 Ala., 582), 51 Am. Rep. 479, in a very able opinion by Somerville, Jr., it is decided that a man who was convicted of larceny in 1871, and who was not thereby deprived of his vote, might be punished for voting in 1884, when the Constitution of 1875 had gone into effect, and which provided that no person should vote who had been convicted of larceny.

The act was held neither to impair a vested right nor to be ex post facto.

Superior Court Cases.

THE MATTERS DISPOSED OF DURING THE PRESENT TERM—MUCH CASH PAID INTO THE TREASURY.

The following cases were disposed of in the manner indicated below: D. C. Dodd and Nero Houser, affray; Dodd \$20; Houser, \$25. Ambrose Hoyle, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. Edgar Gudney, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. Tom Grigg, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. W. R. Everhart, d. m. p.; judgment suspended. Albert Norman, c. c. w.; to pay \$50. Julius Norman, resisting officer, to pay \$50. Tom Norman, c. c. w.; judgment suspended upon payment of cost. Hartsell Sain, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. R. L. Brackett, c. c. w.; to pay \$30. J. A. Randall, a. and b.; not guilty. George Campbell, retailing; not guilty. A. D. Dawkins and Nancy Smith, f. and a.; not guilty. Mary Sparrow and Ida Sparrow, keeping house of ill-fame; judgment suspended. Cordie Turner, c. b. c.; not guilty. (There were one or two other cases against Miss Turner, and every one of them proved groundless. She was completely vindicated and two physicians, Drs. V. J. Palmer and G. M. Gold, were present to testify to the existence of a watery tumor, which caused her to enlarge, and after the tumor burst, she would be reduced in size again. The prosecution, it is said, was instituted through malice by a party in that section.)

Death of Mr. McArthur. MR. DAVID MCARTHUR AN AGED AND RESPECTED CITIZEN PASSED AWAY ON TUESDAY NIGHT OF LAST WEEK.

Again death has entered our gates, and claimed for its victim one of our oldest citizens, in the person of Mr. David McArthur, who died on Tuesday night, the 25th inst. He had been feeble for some time, but had been confined to his room only a few days with pneumonia, when the end came. Mr. McArthur was about seventy-seven years old, and was the father of Messrs. W. E. and J. C. McArthur, photographers here, and Mrs. Geo. W. Young, who together with his aged wife, survive him.

The funeral services were conducted from the house on Wednesday afternoon by Rev. Chas. F. Sherrill, and the body was buried in the Shelby cemetery. Mr. McArthur was a good man, and we extend our sympathy to the bereaved ones.

Earl News. Farming is behind in this section on account of so much rain. Mr. Festus Turner, of Sharon, has been in town for a few days. Rev. D. G. Washburn has been elected pastor of New Hope to succeed Rev. G. P. Hamrick who resigned several days ago.

The Methodists church is about ready to hold service in the school at this place closed the 14th with appropriate exercises, consisting of dialogues, drills, marches, speeches, etc. The Sharon, South Carolina, string band furnished some excellent music for the occasion. The children and patron made up about twelve or fifteen dollars for the band. The whole was a success from start to finish. We need not expect anything else when Mrs. Hamrick and Mrs. Austell are the teachers.

The Judge Wanted to Look into it. The Stanley Enterprise of last week contained the following item in its report of the court proceedings: State vs. Jasper Russell; A. W. D. W.; pleads guilty. At this writing judgment has not been rendered. There was evidence to the effect that the prosecuting witness called Mr. Russell a d—son of a b—. Judge Shaw says a man who calls another that ought to be hit and hit hard. He will satisfy himself on that point before rendering judgment.

Found Dead. King's Mt. Democrat. Mr. Dial Hullinger, an aged man lived below Grover, was found dead in a gulch by the road side between his brother's where he made his home, near Grover, on last Thursday morning. Some small colored boys were passing and found him and reported to the authorities at Grover. The coroner of Cherokee county was notified and came to the scene. A doctor was summoned and their verdict was that he came to his death from natural causes.

The old gentleman was quite aged and a very despatched character. The supposition is that he fell there about Saturday night, he having left home several days before.

Her Usual Progressive Policy. Asheville Citizen. Cleveland county, in keeping with her usual progressive policy, had a good roads convention. The date was fixed for it, March 24, during court week. Other counties of the west ought to imitate this worthy example. There is need for better roads throughout the country, and only by organization can they be obtained.

heavy blows, on the ground that Thomas "had done him wrong," and he made Thomas admit it in the jail before he ceased pounding him. However, when the trial came on Thomas held to his original opinion that Locket stole the axe. Locket is a genuine African, full-blooded and no adulteration. His forehead slants back like a mountain slope and his ears are set away down low on his jaw. But none of these things helped Bob. Thomas in convicting him.

Judge Starbuck walks into fellows who tote pistols, misbehave at public gatherings and attempt to carve up their antagonists in a fight. These are specialties with His Honor and if all the sentences for such offences were similar to those he imposes, it would have the effect of either stopping the commission of the offences or bankrupting the offenders.

The negro naturally takes to the court house. It strikes a responsive chord in his nature and he appears to like the uncertainty which attends a trial and the excitement incident to the final wind up of affairs. Did you ever think how seldom it is that you see a negro get frightened on the witness stand?

Mighty few "suspensions of judgment upon payment of cost" this time! It was more often \$30 or \$50 or a six eight or twelve months on the chancery.

The grand and petit jury at this term of court were composed of men of exceptional intelligence, capacity and character. They discharged their duty with dispatch and intelligence and their acts are entitled to the fullest confidence.

State Committee Meeting. THE POLL TAX MUST BE PAID BEFORE MAY 1st—DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION TO MEET IN GREENSBORO. Raleigh Post. The next Democratic State Convention will be held in Greensboro. That was the decision of the Executive Committee, in session here last night, by a vote of 33 to 32, Raleigh being the other place voted for.

At 4:30 o'clock yesterday afternoon Chairman Simmons called to order in the Senate chamber the largest meeting of the Democratic State Executive Committee that has been held within the memory of the oldest member. At the opening roll-call there were present in person and by proxy 56 members out of a total of 67. Several others came in later, so that when the vote was taken on the place of holding the next convention there were 65 votes cast. This showing denotes an unprecedented interest on the part of Democratic leaders. More than two-thirds of the members were present in person.

State Committee Meeting.

THE POLL TAX MUST BE PAID BEFORE MAY 1st—DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION TO MEET IN GREENSBORO.

Raleigh Post. The next Democratic State Convention will be held in Greensboro. That was the decision of the Executive Committee, in session here last night, by a vote of 33 to 32, Raleigh being the other place voted for.

At 4:30 o'clock yesterday afternoon Chairman Simmons called to order in the Senate chamber the largest meeting of the Democratic State Executive Committee that has been held within the memory of the oldest member. At the opening roll-call there were present in person and by proxy 56 members out of a total of 67. Several others came in later, so that when the vote was taken on the place of holding the next convention there were 65 votes cast. This showing denotes an unprecedented interest on the part of Democratic leaders. More than two-thirds of the members were present in person.

NEW MEMBERS CHOSEN. After the roll-call Chairman Simmons announced the resignation of Judge M. H. Justice of Rutherford as a member of the central committee. Mr. A. D. Watts of Iredell was elected to succeed Judge Justice.

The resignation of Judge Walter D. Neal of Scotland, member from the Sixth district, was read, and Mr. A. S. Dockery of Richmond was elected to succeed him.

Mr. Ed. S. Abell of Johnston was elected to succeed Mr. Wiley Rush, deceased, in the Fourth district.

PAYMENT OF POLL TAX. Chairman Simmons stated that he had requested Hon. George Rountree, who was a well-known and able lawyer, one in whom the people have confidence, and the chairman of the suffrage committee in the last Legislature to prepare an opinion on the matter of the payment of poll tax as provided for in the constitutional amendment. Mr. Rountree had completed with the request and his opinion was read and unanimously adopted by the committee as the opinion of the party, with the thanks of the committee to Mr. Rountree for his able and conclusive opinion, and a request that all Democratic papers in the State publish the opinion. It is in full as follows:

Wilmington, N. C., March 15, 1902. Hon. F. M. Simmons, U. S. Senator, Washington, D. C.: Dear Sir—I am in receipt of your letter of recent date requesting my opinion as to the validity of that provision of the present election law, Acts 1901, chapter 89, section 13, requiring as a condition precedent to the right to register and vote, the applicant to show that he had paid his poll tax, on or before the 1st of May, as applied to the general election to November, 1901.

After giving the matter careful and attentive consideration, I have no hesitation in saying that, in my opinion, the act is perfectly valid. The only suggestion that I have heard, or can imagine, against its vitality is that, as the suffrage Amendment requiring the payment of the poll tax on or before May 1st in which applicant offers to vote does not go into effect until July 1st, 1902, there was no valid law requiring the payment of that tax on May 1st, 1902, and to give effect to that constitutional amendment in this respect at the November election will be abnoxious to the objection of passing an ex post facto law, or impairing vested rights.

This is plausible, but not sound. The election law was ratified and went into effect on March 14th, 1901, by the terms of which all persons, otherwise qualified desirous of voting at the next general election were notified that they could not do so unless they paid their poll tax on or before May 1st.

The cardinal objection to an ex post facto law is that, under it, a person is punished for doing an act not criminal when done, and which act he had no possible means of knowing would be punished, and a law is not ex post facto merely because retrospective—it must, in addition to be retrospective, impose a punishment.

Now, it is arguable that this section of the election law is valid under the old Constitution, as the Constitution itself requires the payment of a poll tax, though not as a condition precedent to the right to vote; it is also arguable that the old Constitution, article 6, was repealed immediately upon the adoption of the Suffrage Amendment in 1900, leaving the Legislature free to prescribe any qualifications, within the restriction of the Fifteenth Amendment, it saw fit, but these propositions may be admitted to be doubtful, and therefore waived.

According to accepted legal theory there is no right to vote except that which is conferred by positive law, constitutional or statutory, and the right, such as it is, is not a civil right, but a more political privilege which is given or withheld in accordance with prevalent views of public policy.

Prof. Fomeroy says: "The voter possesses a mere privilege; that taking it away, or what is the same thing, refusing to confer it, does not impair a right, and cannot be regarded as a penalty or punishment."

Pemery Const. Law Sec. 535. Cooley Const. Lim. (6th ed.) p. 752. If this be the true view, and it is upon this ground alone that the exclusion of women and children can be defended, then the withdrawal of the privilege, or right, to vote from any person or class of persons, by the sovereign power, cannot be an impairment of a vested right or the enforcement of an ex post facto law, for the simple reason that no right has been impaired nor punishment inflicted; there has been a change in the views of the law-making power as to what is best for the State, that is all. Of course the change must be made in a lawful manner—by the power competent to make it; as here, by the Constitutional Amendment.

I do not deem it necessary to elaborate the point, because the question whether the State can lawfully deprive a man of the right to vote because of an act done previous to the passage of the law has been decided.

In *Wainwright vs. State* (75 Ala., 582), 51 Am. Rep. 479, in a very able opinion by Somerville, Jr., it is decided that a man who was convicted of larceny in 1871, and who was not thereby deprived of his vote, might be punished for voting in 1884, when the Constitution of 1875 had gone into effect, and which provided that no person should vote who had been convicted of larceny.

The act was held neither to impair a vested right nor to be ex post facto.

State Committee Meeting.

THE POLL TAX MUST BE PAID BEFORE MAY 1st—DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION TO MEET IN GREENSBORO.

Raleigh Post. The next Democratic State Convention will be held in Greensboro. That was the decision of the Executive Committee, in session here last night, by a vote of 33 to 32, Raleigh being the other place voted for.

At 4:30 o'clock yesterday afternoon Chairman Simmons called to order in the Senate chamber the largest meeting of the Democratic State Executive Committee that has been held within the memory of the oldest member. At the opening roll-call there were present in person and by proxy 56 members out of a total of 67. Several others came in later, so that when the vote was taken on the place of holding the next convention there were 65 votes cast. This showing denotes an unprecedented interest on the part of Democratic leaders. More than two-thirds of the members were present in person.

NEW MEMBERS CHOSEN. After the roll-call Chairman Simmons announced the resignation of Judge M. H. Justice of Rutherford as a member of the central committee. Mr. A. D. Watts of Iredell was elected to succeed Judge Justice.

The resignation of Judge Walter D. Neal of Scotland, member from the Sixth district, was read, and Mr. A. S. Dockery of Richmond was elected to succeed him.

Mr. Ed. S. Abell of Johnston was elected to succeed Mr. Wiley Rush, deceased, in the Fourth district.

PAYMENT OF POLL TAX. Chairman Simmons stated that he had requested Hon. George Rountree, who was a well-known and able lawyer, one in whom the people have confidence, and the chairman of the suffrage committee in the last Legislature to prepare an opinion on the matter of the payment of poll tax as provided for in the constitutional amendment. Mr. Rountree had completed with the request and his opinion was read and unanimously adopted by the committee as the opinion of the party, with the thanks of the committee to Mr. Rountree for his able and conclusive opinion, and a request that all Democratic papers in the State publish the opinion. It is in full as follows:

Wilmington, N. C., March 15, 1902. Hon. F. M. Simmons, U. S. Senator, Washington, D. C.: Dear Sir—I am in receipt of your letter of recent date requesting my opinion as to the validity of that provision of the present election law, Acts 1901, chapter 89, section 13, requiring as a condition precedent to the right to register and vote, the applicant to show that he had paid his poll tax, on or before the 1st of May, as applied to the general election to November, 1901.

After giving the matter careful and attentive consideration, I have no hesitation in saying that, in my opinion, the act is perfectly valid. The only suggestion that I have heard, or can imagine, against its vitality is that, as the suffrage Amendment requiring the payment of the poll tax on or before May 1st in which applicant offers to vote does not go into effect until July 1st, 1902, there was no valid law requiring the payment of that tax on May 1st, 1902, and to give effect to that constitutional amendment in this respect at the November election will be abnoxious to the objection of passing an ex post facto law, or impairing vested rights.

This is plausible, but not sound. The election law was ratified and went into effect on March 14th, 1901, by the terms of which all persons, otherwise qualified desirous of voting at the next general election were notified that they could not do so unless they paid their poll tax on or before May 1st.

The cardinal objection to an ex post facto law is that, under it, a person is punished for doing an act not criminal when done, and which act he had no possible means of knowing would be punished, and a law is not ex post facto merely because retrospective—it must, in addition to be retrospective, impose a punishment.

Now, it is arguable that this section of the election law is valid under the old Constitution, as the Constitution itself requires the payment of a poll tax, though not as a condition precedent to the right to vote; it is also arguable that the old Constitution, article 6, was repealed immediately upon the adoption of the Suffrage Amendment in 1900, leaving the Legislature free to prescribe any qualifications, within the restriction of the Fifteenth Amendment, it saw fit, but these propositions may be admitted to be doubtful, and therefore waived.

According to accepted legal theory there is no right to vote except that which is conferred by positive law, constitutional or statutory, and the right, such as it is, is not a civil right, but a more political privilege which is given or withheld in accordance with prevalent views of public policy.

Prof. Fomeroy says: "The voter possesses a mere privilege; that taking it away, or what is the same thing, refusing to confer it, does not impair a right, and cannot be regarded as a penalty or punishment."

Pemery Const. Law Sec. 535. Cooley Const. Lim. (6th ed.) p. 752. If this be the true view, and it is upon this ground alone that the exclusion of women and children can be defended, then the withdrawal of the privilege, or right, to vote from any person or class of persons, by the sovereign power, cannot be an impairment of a vested right or the enforcement of an ex post facto law, for the simple reason that no right has been impaired nor punishment inflicted; there has been a change in the views of the law-making power as to what is best for the State, that is all. Of course the change must be made in a lawful manner—by the power competent to make it; as here, by the Constitutional Amendment.

I do not