What should we pay?

whether in real estate, ven-considerations. Increasingly, ture capital deals, diamonds, we see the merits of the longer bonds, or stocks, price must view, especially in the light of be a preeminent con the theory of rational exsideration. Most investors pectations. The direction of do? We should depend on price pectation for the 1980's of 8-to-real aftertax expected rates of would agree wholeheartedly policy movement, for analysis. with that statement. Never- example, might be the proper theless, it seems true that focus of investment thinking price analysis is really not rather than this year's or next very important to many in- year's econometric data. If vestors in their decisions current considerations had relating to stocks. Instead, succeeded in putting the most market participants market price down, then those seem inclined to respond investors sensitive to policy mainly to subjective "views" movement and price would or economic forecasts on the probably end up buying while implied assumption that the the consensus was selling. price will move in the same There is danger in acting on direction as those views and the basis of what could be an forecasts. It is true by oversimplification of today's definition that most people very complex and dangerous will act in line with human environment, but we believe natue. That is, to be bullish there is merit to the policywhen things look good and price approach. bearish when conditions are gloomy. But that, alas, is why we so often violate Rule 1 and stressed the movement of end up buying high and selling economic policy (monetary

seemingly trite observation stated earlier in prior deserves a lot of thought. We publications, that the "secular have observed from our own case" has become a consensus experiences in our investment view with only the extent of policy group deliberations private sector incentives a gyer the years that it is ex- matter of debate. Yet at the tremely difficult to think time when the tax outlook correctly in terms of in- looks better to more people, vestment decision making if the current environment has the analysis is largely sub- worsened because of Iranian lective or business-cycle upheavals and dislocations griented. We became con- feared from very high interest vinced long ago that price is rate levels. the great equalizer and that the surest route to good investment performance is to be If the circumstances seemed price-sensitive.

For a long time, we have policy, fiscal policy, and private sector tax incentives). In our opinion, this Indeed, we believe, as we

> So how do we act under these befuddling conditions?

they further dictate that the than at any time in the period equity portion should contain shown. In fact, the 7.3-to-8.0 a fair amount of "cash"; we percent range for 1979 is below are there now. So what do we our Economics Group's ex-

Exp.	707/12/25/23/2		ions & M. Exp.	20/2000	
CONTRACT.	tion	12.00	Return	D-03-10-10-22	
Rate	(a) Low	nO v	Low H	gh On	Low
1969	4.3	- 89	10.13	106	9.57
1970	3.8	69	10.77	93	9,60
1971	4.5	90	10.42	105	9.92
1972	4.4	102	9,96	119	9,50
1973	5.7	92	11.69	120	10,82
1974	6.9	62	14.69	100	12.72
1975	6,8	70	14.66	96	13.11
1976	6.8	91	13.74	108	12,99
1977	6.5	91	13.85	107	13.07
1978	7.0	87	15.00	107	13.89
1979	7.3-8.0	98	14.70	109	14.30

Note: All 1979 figures are estimates of values for the year to date.

(a) Based on the term structure of interest rates at the time.

In the above table (excerpted from Kidder,

But we do not mean price pension portfolios should be in because inflation is now 11.5 percent, which would 27944.

In any decision to invest, that is based on short-term stocks-we are there now. If assumed to be much higher substantially increase ex--9 percent inflation.

> But that is far from the end of the analysis; the 14.7 per- tractive to very attractive. cent implied rate of return flows from an earningsgrowth-rate forecast of 9.0 percent a year, which, in our view, is inconsistent with an 8assumption. This brings us to one of our favorite subjects, inflation flowthrough. If we then earnings growth is more likely to be on the order of 10 percent or 11 percent a year (and perhaps higher), and expected rates of return would show up in the new high territory of 15 and a ½ to 16 and a ½ percent a year.

As Estep and Hanson point Peabody's Monthly Valuation out, however, inflation Framework and Forecasts, flowthrough is a tricky sub-Nov. 12, 1979, by Tony Estep ject; it matters greatly and Nick Hanson), we show whether the flowthrough is full the relationships over the past or partial, and if partial, 10 years among expected whether large or minimal. inflation rates, stack price Over the past 10 years, in fact, levels, and expected returns. the flowthrough seems to have It is clear that the 1979 ex- been only about 82 percent, pected return (shown at the S which, on the basis of an in-& P 500 level of 98) not only flation forecast of 8 to 9 pergreatly exceeds implied cent, would result in a 6.6 to 7.4 returns at most lows of the percent increment in the past 10 years but also rivals corporate earnings growth the much-higher-than average rate. If we assume 2.5 percent returns at the lows of the past real growth, then the nominal annual growth of profits would be 9.1 to 9.9 percent. Complete Surely, however, such rates flowthrough, of course, would to dictate that only half of of return should be high raise the growth rate to 10.5 to

pected rates of return from stocks. Examining this from a somewhat different angle, Estep and Hanson focus on return as the critical item and conclude that current returns might be described as at-

There is at least one big problem connected with all of the preceding discussion, however. And that is the ob--to--9 percent inflation servation (not subject to proof) that the price-earnings side of the equation seems to adjust to bad inflation news assume total flowthrough, before the corresponding adjustment is made in the earnings stream. Thus delcining P-Es seem to be the "real world" and rising earnings expectations the "theoretical world." Our only consolation is that both increasing use of quantitative methodologies and spreading belief in the theory of rational expectations appear to be closing the gap between the "real world" and the "theoretical world." As we said last month, it seems especially dangerous now to base equity policy (raising cash, for example) on this dilemma since, while it might be correct in the short term, it also might leave one heavily in cash at the market bottom.

> For a full text of current recommendations, write to Eric L. Fox, c/o THE PERQUIMANS WEEKLY, P. O. Box 277, Hertford, NC



A mountain of wood

A mountain of firewood this size should get neighbor of the Jordan's, who says the wood Jordan family in Belvidere through the pile actually keeps two family's in fuel, her's winter. Pictured here is Clara Layden, a too.





Peoples Bank is having a **Christmas Open House** Friday, December 14th at the newly remodeled main office in Hertford.

We've remodeled our Hertford Main Office just in time for Christmas. So we're celebrating with a special holiday Open House on Friday, December 14, and you're invited!

Stop by any time between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. and you'll receive a handsome metal serving tray with the North Carolina state seal on it

absolutely free (while supplies last). And you can register to win a \$100 savings account and terrific pewterlike mugs with the great seal of North Carolina embossed on the side.

And while you're there don't forget to ask about our many convenient checking plans, high-interest savings accounts, and our special luggage offer. They're just a few of the many good reasons why people bank at Peoples Bank.

So come on by and see our newly remodeled office, pick up your free metal serving tray, enjoy some refreshments and register for our special celebration drawing. It all happens Friday, December 14, during the Peoples Bank Hertford Christmas Open House!

The bank with your name on the door.