

Notice Of Sale For 1957 Taxes—City Of Raleigh

Under and by virtue of the power vested in me by the laws of the State of North Carolina, particularly G. S. 105-387, as amended and pursuant to an order of the City Council of the City of Raleigh, I will offer for sale and will sell at public auction, for cash, to the highest bidder, at the West door of the City Hall, in the City of Raleigh at 12 o'clock noon Monday, the 18th of May 1959, liens upon the real estate described below for the non-payment of taxes owing for the year 1958 the name of the owner or of the person who listed the real estate for taxes, the real estate which is subject to the lien, and the amount of the lien being set out below. Reference is made to the records in the office of the Register of Deeds of Wake County and in the office of the Tax Collector for more particular description of said real estate. And notice is hereby given that the amounts of the liens set out below are subject to be increased by the addition of interest and penalties as provided by law and the costs of sale. This 16th day of April, 1959.

ERVIE T. GLOVER Collector of Revenue City of Raleigh.

Table listing property owners and addresses for tax sale, including names like Adams, Gao, Church St., and various street addresses in Raleigh, NC.

Table listing property owners and addresses for tax sale, including names like Adams, Gao, Church St., and various street addresses in Raleigh, NC.

"Long Road" Nears End

Supreme Court Jurists To Settle Northampton Issue

BY GLENFORD E. MITCHELL

For almost two years now, the citizens of North Carolina have awaited anxiously the outcome of a case which began in Northampton County, the effect of which is hoped will unsettle the voting policies of the whole State. It is the case of a mother who was denied the right to vote, her lawyer who was jailed and fined for probing into the matter, and the six-county-supported fund that provides the wherewithal for its sustenance.



MRS. LOUISE LASSITER AND ATTORNEY JAMES R. WALKER.

It was fined \$500. His appeal to the State Supreme Court was lost on a technicality, although the Assistant Attorney General questioned the strength of the indictment brought against him. The court threw out the indictment charging disturbance of a registrar but did not deal with the question of constitutional rights. Mrs. Lassiter presented the matter to the U. S. District Court, asking that consideration be given to the elimination of the literacy test as a means of determining one's eligibility to vote. But just seven days before the court hearing, the North Carolina General Assembly weakened the case by amending the laws governing registration. In its legislation, the assembly set up a system of appeals from the rulings of registrars, including appeal to the highest court in North Carolina.

RELSKA VODKA advertisement with price tags: \$2.50 PINT, \$3.95 4-5 QUART.